

**Policy** # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Acute Myeloid Leukemia is addressed separately in medical policy 00049.

## When Services Are Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider genetic testing for *c-KIT*, *FLT3* internal tandem duplication and tyrosine kinase domain mutations (*ITD and TKD*), *NPM1*, *CEBPA* (biallelic), *IDH1*, *IDH2*, *RUNX1*, *ASXL1*, *TP53*, *BCR-ABL*, and *PML-RAR* alpha variants in adult and pediatric patients with suspected or confirmed acute myeloid leukemia (AML) for prognostic and/or therapeutic purposes to be **eligible for coverage.\*\*** (see Policy Guidelines section).

#### Note:

When a multi-gene panel is being requested, it will be approved when billed with a single small panel CPT code (i.e., 81450). Based on NCCN guidelines, comprehensive next-generation sequencing (NGS) analysis is recommended for the ongoing management of AML and various phases of treatment.

## When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers genetic testing for *c-KIT*, *FLT3-ITD*, *FLT3-TKD*, *NPM1*, *CEBPA* (*biallelic*), *IDH1/IDH2*, *RUNX1*, *ASXL1*, *TP53*, *BCR-ABL*, and *PML-RAR* variants in all other situations to be **investigational.\*** 

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

Based on review of available data, the Company considers genetic testing for micro RNA (miRNA) expression or gene expression analysis for diagnosis or prognosis in patients with confirmed acute myeloid leukemia (AML) to be **investigational.\*** 

## **Policy Guidelines**

Genetic testing for cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia is intended to guide management decisions in patients who would receive treatment other than low-dose chemotherapy or best supportive care.

Analysis of gene sequencing of AML cases generally reveal more than 10 significant gene mutations; many of which are thought to participate in leukemogenesis. The most common gene mutations are as follows: FLT3 (28%), NPM1 (27%), DNMT3A (26%), IDH1 or IDH2 (20%), NRAS or KRAS (12%), RUNX1 (10%), TET2 (8%), TP53 (8%), CEBPA (6%), and WT1 (6%).

Gene expression profiling and microRNA expression profiling may also contribute to assessment and management of AML. Gene expression profiling has been used to differentiate between risk groups based on cytogenetic evaluation whereas microRNA profiling evaluates the regulation of gene expression. However, neither technique is used regularly in clinical practice as these techniques have yet to be widely validated.

## **Background/Overview**

### **Acute Myeloid Leukemia**

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a group of diverse hematologic malignancies characterized by the clonal expansion of myeloid blasts in the bone marrow, blood, and/or other tissues. It is the most common type of leukemia in adults and is generally associated with a poor prognosis. The American Cancer Society has estimated there will be 20,050 new cases of AML and 11,540 deaths from AML in the United States in 2022.

### Diagnosis and Prognosis of Acute Myeloid Leukemia

The most recent World Health Organization classification (2022) reflects the increasing number of acute leukemias that can be categorized based on underlying cytogenetic abnormalities (ie, at the level of the chromosome including chromosomal translocations or deletions) or molecular genetic abnormalities (ie, at the level of the function of individual genes, including gene variants) and those

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

distinguished by differentiation without defining genetic abnormalities. These cytogenetic and molecular changes form distinct clinicopathologic-genetic entities with diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic implications. Conventional cytogenetic analysis (karyotyping) is considered to be a mandatory component in the diagnostic evaluation of a patient with suspected acute leukemia because the cytogenetic profile of the tumor is considered to be the most powerful predictor of prognosis in AML and is used to guide the current risk-adapted treatment strategies.

Molecular variants have been analyzed to subdivide AML with normal cytogenetics into prognostic subsets. In AML, 3 of the most frequent molecular changes with prognostic impact are variants of *CEBPA*, encoding a transcription factor, variants of the *FLT3* gene, encoding a receptor of tyrosine kinase involved in hematopoiesis, and a variant of the *NPM1* gene, encoding a shuttle protein within the nucleolus. "AML with *NPM1* mutation" and "AML with *CEBPA* mutation" were included as categories in the 2022 World Health Organization classification of acute leukemias. AML with *FLT3* variants is not considered a distinct entity in the 2022 or prior 2016 classifications. The 2008 World Health Organization classification recommended determining the presence of *FLT3* variants because of the prognostic significance.

### **Treatment**

AML has a highly heterogeneous clinical course, and treatment generally depends on the different risk stratification categories. Depending on the risk stratification category, treatment modalities may include intensive remission induction chemotherapy, hypomethylating agents, enrollment in clinical trials with innovative compounds, palliative cytotoxic treatment, or supportive care only. For patients who achieve complete remission after induction treatment, possible postremission treatment options include intensive consolidation therapy, maintenance therapy, or autologous or allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant.

#### Measurable (Minimal) Residual Disease Monitoring

Relapse in AML is believed to be due to residual clonal cells that remain following "complete response" after induction therapy but are below the limits of detection using conventional morphologic assessment. Residual clonal cells that can be detected in the bone marrow or blood are referred to as measurable residual disease (MRD), also known as minimal residual disease. Measurable residual disease assessment is typically performed by multiparameter flow cytometry or polymerase chain reaction with primers for common variants. It is proposed that finding MRD at different time points in the course of the disease (eg, after initial induction, prior to allogenic

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

transplantation) may be able to identify patients at a higher risk for relapse. In those with a high risk of relapse during the first remission, stem cell transplantation may be a more appropriate treatment approach. Studies in both children and adults with AML have demonstrated the correlation between MRD and risk for relapse. The role of MRD monitoring in AML is evolving, and important limitations remain. Some patients may have relapse despite having no MRD, while others do not relapse despite being MRD positive. Standards have recently been introduced for identifying certain individual markers for MRD assessment, and threshold values delineating MRD positivity and negativity have recently been defined for multiparameter flow cytometry and some variants detected by polymerase chain reaction or other methods.

#### FLT3 Variants

FMS-like tyrosine kinase (*FLT3*) plays a critical role in normal hematopoiesis and cellular growth in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. Variants in *FLT3* are among the most frequently encountered in AML. *FLT3* variants are divided into 2 categories: (1) internal tandem duplications (*FLT3*-ITD) variants, which occur in or near the juxtamembrane domain of the receptor, and (2) point mutations resulting in single amino acid substitutions within the activation loop of the tyrosine kinase domain (*FLT3*-TKD).

FLT3-ITD variants are much more common than FLT3-TKD variants, occurring in 30% of newly diagnosed adult cases of AML, versus FLT3-TKD variants, occurring in about 10% of patients. FLT3-ITD variants are a well-documented adverse prognostic marker, particularly in patients younger than 60 years of age with normal- or intermediate-risk cytogenetics, and are associated with an increased risk of relapse and inferior overall survival. Patients with FLT3-ITD variants have a worse prognosis when treated with conventional chemotherapy, compared with patients with wild-type (WT; ie, nonmutated) FLT3. Although remission can be achieved in patients with FLT3-ITD variants using conventional induction chemotherapy at a frequency similar to other AML patients, the remission durations are shorter, and relapse rates are higher. The median time to relapse in patients with an FLT3-ITD variant is 6 to 7 months compared with 9 to 11 months in patients with other AML subtypes.

Because of the high-risk of relapse, hematopoietic cell transplantations as consolidation therapy of the first remission for an *FLT3*-ITD AML patient is often considered. However, this treatment must be weighed against the treatment-related mortality associated with a transplant.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

The clinical significance of an *FLT3* variant varies by the nature of the variant and the context in which it occurs. Longer *FLT3*-ITD variants have been associated with worse overall survival.

For *FLT3*-ITD variants, the *allelic ratio* refers to the number of ITD-mutated alleles compared with the number of WT (nonmutated) alleles. This ratio is influenced by the number of malignant versus benign cells in the sample tested and by the percentage of cells with 0, 1, or 2 mutated alleles. In most cases, the variant detected at diagnosis is also present at relapse. However, in some cases, as *FLT3*-ITD positive AML evolves from diagnosis to relapse, the variant present at diagnosis may be absent (or undetectable) at relapse. This is most commonly seen where the mutant allele burden is low (5%-15%) at diagnosis. The assays for detecting *FLT3*-ITD, was previously considered to be unsuitable for use as a marker of minimal residual disease. Higher mutant-to-WT allelic ratios have been associated with worse outcomes.

The prognostic impact of *FLT3*-TKD variants is less certain and conflicting. Some studies have suggested a negative impact of tyrosine kinase domain variants on event-free survival and overall survival, while other studies have found no prognostic value, or potentially a benefit if a *NPM1* mutation is also present. Next generation FLT3 tyrosine kinase inhibitors with greater specificity for FLT3 have been under clinical investigation, including gilteritinib, which was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2018.

#### **NPM1** Variants

A common molecular aberration in AML is a variant of *NPM1*, which is found in 28% to 35% of AML cases and is more common in cytogenetically normal AML. Up to 50% of AML with mutated *NPM1* also carry an *FLT3*-ITD. Mutated *NPM1* confers an independent favorable prognosis for patients with cytogenetically normal AML and either the presence or absence of an *FLT3*-ITD variant. Retrospective studies of banked clinical samples have suggested that an *NPM1* variant may mitigate the negative prognostic effect of an *FLT3*-ITD variant, but possibly only if the *FLT3*-ITD-to-WT allelic ratio is low. The prognostic impact in patients with an abnormal karyotype is unclear.

#### **CEBPA** Variants

CEBPA (CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein) is a transcription factor gene that plays a role in cell cycle regulation and cell differentiation. Variants of CEBPA are found in approximately 7% to 11% of AML patients. CEBPA variants can be either biallelic (double variants) or monoallelic. Monoallelic variants are prognostically similar to CEBPA WT variant and do not confer a favorable

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

prognosis in cytogenetically normal AML, with the exception of mutations in the basic leucine zipper region; double variants of *CEBPA* and variants with single mutations in the basic leucine zipper region have shown a better prognosis with higher rates of complete remission and overall survival after standard induction chemotherapy.

## FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

## U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Several laboratories offer these tests, including Quest Diagnostics, Medical Genetic Laboratories of Baylor College, Geneva Labs of Wisconsin, LabPMM, and ARUP Laboratories, and they are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

In May 2017, the FDA granted approval for midostaurin (Rydapt<sup>®‡</sup>, Novartis Pharmaceuticals). Rydapt is a targeted therapy to be used in combination with chemotherapy when an *FLT3* variant is detected by the LeukoStrat<sup>®‡</sup> CDx *FLT3* Mutation Assay (Invivoscribe). In 2018, gilteritinib (Xospata<sup>®‡</sup>, Astellas Pharma US) was approved by the FDA for the treatment of relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia with a *FLT3* mutation as detected by an FDA-approved test.

## Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is based on risk stratification, primarily related to patient age and tumor cytogenetics. In patients with cytogenetically normal AML, the identification

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

of variants in several genes, including *FLT3*, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA*, has been proposed to allow for further segregation in the management of this heterogeneous disease.

#### **Summary of Evidence**

For individuals who have cytogenetically normal AML who receive genetic testing for variants in *FLT3*, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* to risk-stratify AML, the evidence includes RCTs, retrospective observational studies, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. *FLT3* internal tandem duplication variants confer a poor prognosis, whereas *NPM1* (without the *FLT3* internal tandem duplication variant) and *CEBPA* variants (including biallelic mutations and single mutations in the basic leucine zipper region) confer a favorable prognosis. The prognostic effect of *FLT3* tyrosine kinase domain variants is uncertain. Data have suggested an overall survival benefit with transplantation for patients with *FLT3* internal tandem duplication, but do not clearly demonstrate an overall survival benefit of transplantation for patients with *NPM1* and *CEBPA* variants. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML with a genetic variant in *FLT3*, *NPM1*, or *CEBPA*, the evidence for measurable residual disease (MRD) monitoring of these genetic variants is limited to retrospective observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Detection of MRD based on *NPM1* variant presence is associated with higher risks for relapse and lower overall survival; prospective evaluations using MRD results to direct prognostic evaluation and treatment decisions are needed. For the use of genetic variants to detect MRD, the evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

#### **Additional Information**

Major professional societies and practice guidelines have recommended testing for these variants to risk-stratify and to inform treatment management decisions, including possible hematopoietic cell transplant. Specifically, guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (AML, version 2.2022) recommend workup of certain karyotypic and molecular abnormalities as they provide prognostic information for both treatment decisions and risk of relapse. Several gene mutations are associated with specific prognoses and may guide treatment decisions; these include *FLT3* internal tandem duplication, *FLT3* tyrosine kinase domain, *NPM1*, and *CEBPA* (biallelic). The

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

guidance recommends that all patients should be tested for mutations in these genes. The role of MRD assessment for prognosis and treatment is evolving and the use of MRD is still under investigation.

## **Supplemental Information**

### **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

### **National Comprehensive Cancer Network**

Current National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (v 5.2023) provide the following recommendations:

For the evaluation for acute leukemia, bone marrow core biopsy and aspirate analysis, including immunophenotyping, cytogenetic analyses, and molecular analyses for *FLT3*, *NPM1*, *CEBPA*, and other mutations, are needed to risk stratify patients.

"Several gene mutations are associated with specific prognoses in a subset of patients (category 2A) and may guide treatment decisions (category 2B). Presently, *c-KIT*, *FLT3-ITD*, *FLT3-TKD*, *NPM1*, *CEBPA* (biallelic), *IDH1/IDH2*, *RUNX1*, *ASXL1*, *TP53*, *BCR-ABL*, and *PML-RAR* alpha are included in this group. All patients should be tested for mutations in these genes, and multiplex gene panels and comprehensive next-generation sequencing (NGS) analysis are recommended for the ongoing management of AML and various phases of treatment. To appropriately stratify therapy options, test results of molecular and cytogenetic analyses of immediately actionable genes or chromosomal abnormalities (eg, *CBF*, *FLT3* [ITD or TKD], *NPM1*, *IDH1*, or *IDH2*) should be expedited."

The guideline defined the following risk status based on molecular abnormalities:

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

Table 1. Risk Factors Based on Genetic Abnormalities

Risk Category	Genetic Abnormality
Favorable	<ul> <li>t(8;21)(q22;q22.1); RUNX 1-RUNX1T1</li> <li>inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22); CBFB-MYH11</li> <li>Bialletic mutated CEBPA</li> <li>Mutated NPM1 without FLT3-ITD or with FLT3-ITD<sup>low</sup></li> </ul>
Intermediate	<ul> <li>Mutated NPM1 and FLT3-ITD<sup>high</sup></li> <li>Wild-type NPM1 without FLT3-ITD or with FLT3-ITD<sup>low</sup> (without adverse-risk genetic lesions)</li> <li>t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3); MLLT3-KMT2A</li> <li>Cytogenetic abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse</li> </ul>
Poor/Adverse	<ul> <li>t(6;9)(p23;q34.1); <i>DEK-NUP214</i></li> <li>t(v;11q23.3); <i>KMT2A</i> rearranged</li> <li>t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2); <i>BCR-ABL</i>1</li> <li>inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2); <i>GATA2,MECOM(EVII)</i></li> <li>-5 or del(5q); -7; -17/abn(17p)</li> <li>Complex karyotype, monosomal karyotype</li> <li>Wild-type <i>NPM1</i> and <i>FLT3</i>-ITD<sup>high</sup></li> <li>Mutated <i>RUNX1</i></li> <li>Mutated <i>ASXL1</i></li> <li>Mutated <i>TP53</i></li> </ul>

Adapted from NCCN guidelines for AML (v 5.2023).

ITD: internal tandem duplication

The role of measurable (minimal) residual disease (MRD) assessment for prognosis and treatment is evolving and the use of MRD is still under investigation. Currently available evidence has "demonstrated the correlation between MRD and risks for relapse, as well as the prognostic significance of MRD measurements after initial induction therapy." Limitations of incorporating MRD into routine practice include "a lack of standardization and established cutoff values." The guideline notes that "the most frequently employed methods for MRD assessment include real-time quantitative polymerase chain reactions (RQ-PCR) assays (ie, NPM1, CBFB-MYH11, RUNX1-

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

*RUNX1T1*) and multicolor flow cytometry (MFC) assays specifically designed to detect abnormal MRD immunophenotypes. The threshold to define MRD+ and MRD- samples depends on the technique and subgroup of AML. Next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assays to detect mutated genes (targeted sequencing, 20 to 50 genes per panel) is not routinely used, as the sensitivity of PCR-based assays and flow cytometry is superior to what is achieved by conventional NGS."

### **European LeukemiaNet**

The European LeukemiaNet international expert panel recommendations for the diagnosis and management of adults with AML were updated in 2017 and again in 2022. The most recent update reflects the 2022 changes to the World Health Organization classification of AML. The panel recommended that screening for *NPM1*, *CEBPA*, and *FLT3* variants should be part of the diagnostic workup in patients with cytogenetically normal AML because they define disease categories that can inform treatment decisions. Table 2 outlines the risk stratification by genetic variants, and Table 3 summarizes recommended conventional care regimens based on patient fitness and risk characteristics, including mutations and other considerations.

The European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party is an international expert panel convened with the objective of providing guidelines for technical assessment and clinical use of immunophenotypic and molecular MRD testing in AML; the panel's first consensus recommendations were published in 2018, and updated recommendations were published in 2021. In the 2021 update, the panel recommended that molecular MRD be assessed by real-time quantitative or digital polymerase chain reaction in patients with *NPM1*, *CBFB-MYH11*, or *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* mutations, and by MFC in all other patients. NGS-based MRD monitoring is considered by the panel to be "useful to refine prognosis in addition to MFC but, to date, there are insufficient data to recommend NGS-MRD as a stand-alone technique." The panel also defined MRD positivity thresholds according to whether <FC or polymerase chain reaction techniques were used, and provisional MRD positivity thresholds for NGS techniques.

Table 2. Risk Stratification by Genetic Variant

Risk Category	Genetic Abnormality	
Favorable	<ul> <li>t(8;21)(q22;q22.1)/RUNX1::RUNX1T1</li> <li>inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22)/ CBFB::MYH11</li> <li>Mutated NPM1 without FLT3-ITD</li> </ul>	

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

	Basic leucine zipper in-frame mutated <i>CEBPA</i>			
	Basic leucine zipper in-frame mutated CEBPA			
Intermediate	<ul> <li>Mutated <i>NPM1</i> with <i>FLT3</i>-ITD</li> <li>Wild-type <i>NPM1</i> with <i>FLT3</i>-ITD (without adverse-risk genetic lesions)</li> <li>t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3)/<i>MLLT3</i>::<i>KMT2A</i></li> <li>Cytogenetic and/or molecular abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse</li> </ul>			
Adverse	<ul> <li>t(6;9)(p23.3;q34.1)/DEK::NUP214</li> <li>t(v;11q23.3)/KMT2A-rearranged</li> <li>t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2)/BCR::ABL1</li> <li>t(8;16)(p11.2;p13.3)/KAT6A::CREBBP</li> <li>inv(3)(q21.3q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2)/ GATA2, MECOM(EVII)</li> <li>t(3q26.2;v)/MECOM(EVII)-rearranged</li> <li>-5 or del(5q); -7; -17/abn(17p)</li> <li>Complex karyotype, monosomal karyotype</li> <li>Mutated ASXL1, BCOR, EZH2, RUNX1, SF3B1, SRSF2, STAG2, U2AF1, and/or ZRSR2</li> <li>Mutated TP53</li> </ul>			

Adapted from Döhner et al (2022).

ITD: internal tandem duplication.

Table 3. Selected Conventional Care Regimens by Fitness and Risk Characteristics

Patient Characteristics	Induction Therapy	Consolidation Therapy	Maintenance Therapy	Salvage therapy		
Considered fit fo	Considered fit for intensive therapy					
With FLT3 mutation	Anthracycline plus cytarabine ("7 + 3") plus midostaurin	<ul> <li>Intermediate-dose cytarabine plus midostaurin and/or</li> <li>If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone</li> </ul>	Mido-staurin	Gilteritinib or options for other fit patients listed below		

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

		>35% to 40%*: allo-HCT  • Intermediate-dose		
Without FLT3 mutation	"7 + 3"	<ul> <li>Intermediate-dose cytarabine and/or</li> <li>If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone &gt;35% to 40%*: allo-HCT</li> </ul>	Oral azacitidine	
CD33-positive AML with favorable- or intermediate- risk disease	"7 + 3" with ("other" option) or without gemtuzumab ozogamicin	<ul> <li>Intermediate-dose cytarabine with ("other" option) or without gemtuzumab ozogamicin, and/or</li> <li>If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone &gt;35% to 40%*: allo-HCT</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Intermediatedose cytarabine with or without anthracycline</li> <li>FLAG-IDA chemotherapy</li> <li>MEC chemotherapy</li> <li>CLAG-M chemotherapy</li> </ul>
AML with myelodysplasia- related changes or therapy- related AML	"7 + 3" or liposomal- coformu- lated dauno- rubicin and cytarabine ("other" option)	<ul> <li>Intermediate-dose cytarabine or liposomal-coformulated daunorubicin and cytarabine ("other" option), and/or</li> <li>If relapse probability with chemotherapy alone</li> </ul>		• allo-HCT

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

		>35% to 40%*: allo-HCT		
Not considered f	it for intensive	e therapy		
With FLT3 mutation	Venetoclax plus either azacitidine or decitabine     Gilteritinib			
Without FLT3 mutation	azacitidine  Best supportive care		<ul> <li>IDH1 mutation: ivosidenib</li> <li>IDH2 mutation: enasidenib</li> </ul>	

Adapted from Döhner et al (2022).

allo: allogeneic, AML: acute myeloid leukemia, HCT: hematopoietic cell transplant.

#### **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations**

Not applicable.

### **Medicare National Coverage**

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

### **Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Select currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 4.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

<sup>\*</sup>Examples include intermediate- or adverse-risk disease and/or inadequate clearance of measurable residual disease.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

**Table 4. Summary of Key Trials** 

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT01296178	PROTOCOL FOR First Line TREATMENT ADAPTED TO RISK of Acute Myeloblastic Leukemia in Patients LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 65 YEARS	200	Dec 2021 (last update posted Mar 2021)
NCT02156297	Sorafenib to Treat AML Patients with FLT3-ITD Mutation, a Non-interventional Cohort Study	100	Aug 2022 (last update posted Feb 2020)
NCT02668653 <sup>a</sup>	Phase 3, Double-Blind, Placebo-controlled Study of Quizartinib Administered in Combination With Induction and Consolidation Chemotherapy, and Administered as Maintenance Therapy in Subjects 18 to 75 Years Old With Newly Diagnosed FLT3-ITD (+) Acute Myeloid Leukemia (QuANTUM-First)	539	Aug 2023
NCT03031249	Efficacy and Safety of ATO Plus ATRA in Nucleophosmin-1 Mutated Acute Myeloid Leukemia	80	Dec 2022
NCT02927262 <sup>a</sup>	A Phase 2 Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-controlled Trial of the FLT3 Inhibitor Gilteritinib (ASP2215) Administered as Maintenance Therapy Following Induction/Consolidation Therapy for Subjects with FLT3/ITD AML in First Complete Remission	98	Feb 2024
NCT02997202ª	A Trial of the FMS-like Tyrosine Kinase 3 (FLT3) Inhibitor Gilteritinib Administered as Maintenance	356	Jul 2025

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
	Therapy Following Allogeneic Transplant for Patients With FLT3/Internal Tandem Duplication (ITD) Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)		
Unpublished			
NCT01237808	Study of Low-Dose Cytarabine and Etoposide With or Without All-Trans Retinoic Acid in Older Patients Not Eligible for Intensive Chemotherapy With Acute Myeloid Leukemia and NPM1 Mutation	144	Jul 2018 (completed; last update posted 8/01/2018)
NCT00860639	Randomized Open Phase III Trial Testing Efficacy of Gemtuzumab Ozogamycin Associated to Intensive Chemotherapy for Patients Aged Between 18-60 Years and Presenting an AML With Intermediate Risk	327	Sep 2016 (completed; last update posted 01/27/2017)

NCT: national clinical trial.

## References

- 1. American Cancer Society (ACS). What Are the Key Statistics About Acute Myeloid Leukemia? 2022; https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/about/key-statistics.html.
- 2. Khoury JD, Solary E, Abla O, et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. Leukemia. Jul 2022; 36(7): 1703-1719. PMID 35732831
- 3. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. Blood. May 19 2016; 127(20): 2391-405. PMID 27069254
- 4. Döhner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. Blood. Jan 21 2010; 115(3): 453-74. PMID 19880497

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

- 5. Newell LF, Cook RJ. Advances in acute myeloid leukemia. BMJ. Oct 06 2021; 375: n2026. PMID 34615640
- 6. Ehinger M, Pettersson L. Measurable residual disease testing for personalized treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. APMIS. May 2019; 127(5): 337-351. PMID 30919505
- 7. Heuser M, Freeman SD, Ossenkoppele GJ, et al. 2021 Update on MRD in acute myeloid leukemia: a consensus document from the European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party. Blood. Dec 30 2021; 138(26): 2753-2767. PMID 34724563
- 8. Levis M. FLT3 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: what is the best approach in 2013?. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2013; 2013: 220-6. PMID 24319184
- 9. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Version 5.2023. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/aml.pdf
- 10. Papaemmanuil E, Gerstung M, Bullinger L, et al. Genomic Classification and Prognosis in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N Engl J Med 2016;374: 2209-2221. PMID: 27276561
- 11. Lindsley RC, Mar BG, Mazzola E, et al. Myeloid Neoplasia: Acute myeloid leukemia ontogeny is defined by distinct somatic mutations. Blood. 2015;125:1367-1376; PMID: 25550361.
- 12. Dohner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood 2017;129:424-447. PMID: 27895058
- 13. Schiffer, C. (2021, 10/29/2021). Prognosis of acute myeloid leukemia. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/prognosis-of-acute-myeloid-leukemia
- 14. Whitman SP, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, et al. FLT3 internal tandem duplication associates with adverse outcome and gene- and microRNA-expression signatures in patients 60 years of age or older with primary cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. Blood. Nov 04 2010; 116(18): 3622-6. PMID 20656931
- 15. Patel JP, Gönen M, Figueroa ME, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. Mar 22 2012; 366(12): 1079-89. PMID 22417203
- 16. Polak TB, Van Rosmalen J, Dirven S, et al. Association of FLT3-internal tandem duplication length with overall survival in acute myeloid leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Haematologica. Oct 01 2022; 107(10): 2506-2510. PMID 35796012
- 17. Daver N, Schlenk RF, Russell NH, et al. Targeting FLT3 mutations in AML: review of current knowledge and evidence. Leukemia. Feb 2019; 33(2): 299-312. PMID 30651634
- 18. Bazarbachi A, Bug G, Baron F, et al. Clinical practice recommendation on hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia patients with FLT3 -internal tandem duplication:

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

- a position statement from the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Haematologica. Jun 2020; 105(6): 1507-1516. PMID 32241850
- 19. Liersch R, Müller-Tidow C, Berdel WE, et al. Prognostic factors for acute myeloid leukaemia in adults--biological significance and clinical use. Br J Haematol. Apr 2014; 165(1): 17-38. PMID 24484469
- 20. Martelli MP, Sportoletti P, Tiacci E, et al. Mutational landscape of AML with normal cytogenetics: biological and clinical implications. Blood Rev. Jan 2013; 27(1): 13-22. PMID 23261068
- 21. Ohgami RS, Ma L, Merker JD, et al. Next-generation sequencing of acute myeloid leukemia identifies the significance of TP53, U2AF1, ASXL1, and TET2 mutations. Mod Pathol. May 2015; 28(5): 706-14. PMID 25412851
- 22. Cagnetta A, Adamia S, Acharya C, et al. Role of genotype-based approach in the clinical management of adult acute myeloid leukemia with normal cytogenetics. Leuk Res. Jun 2014; 38(6): 649-59. PMID 24726781
- 23. Li HY, Deng DH, Huang Y, et al. Favorable prognosis of biallelic CEBPA gene mutations in acute myeloid leukemia patients: a meta-analysis. Eur J Haematol. May 2015; 94(5): 439-48. PMID 25227715
- 24. Tarlock K, Lamble AJ, Wang YC, et al. CEBPA-bZip mutations are associated with favorable prognosis in de novo AML: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. Blood. Sep 30 2021; 138(13): 1137-1147. PMID 33951732
- 25. Taube F, Georgi JA, Kramer M, et al. CEBPA mutations in 4708 patients with acute myeloid leukemia: differential impact of bZIP and TAD mutations on outcome. Blood. Jan 06 2022; 139(1): 87-103. PMID 34320176
- 26. Port M, Böttcher M, Thol F, et al. Prognostic significance of FLT3 internal tandem duplication, nucleophosmin 1, and CEBPA gene mutations for acute myeloid leukemia patients with normal karyotype and younger than 60 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Hematol. Aug 2014; 93(8): 1279-86. PMID 24801015
- 27. Dickson GJ, Bustraan S, Hills RK, et al. The value of molecular stratification for CEBPA(DM) and NPM1(MUT) FLT3(WT) genotypes in older patients with acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Haematol. Feb 2016; 172(4): 573-80. PMID 26847745
- 28. Wu X, Feng X, Zhao X, et al. Prognostic significance of FLT3-ITD in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. Mol Cell Biochem. Sep 2016; 420(1-2): 121-8. PMID 27435859

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

- 29. Kuwatsuka Y, Tomizawa D, Kihara R, et al. Prognostic value of genetic mutations in adolescent and young adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Int J Hematol. Feb 2018; 107(2): 201-210. PMID 29027108
- 30. Rinaldi I, Louisa M, Wiguna FI, et al. Prognostic Significance of Fms-Like Tyrosine Kinase 3 Internal Tandem Duplication Mutation in Non-Transplant Adult Patients with Acute Myeloblastic Leukemia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. Oct 01 2020; 21(10): 2827-2836. PMID 33112537
- 31. Issa GC, Bidikian A, Venugopal S, et al. Clinical outcomes associated with NPM1 mutations in patients with relapsed or refractory AML. Blood Adv. Nov 02 2022. PMID 36322818
- 32. Knapper S, Russell N, Gilkes A, et al. A randomized assessment of adding the kinase inhibitor lestaurtinib to first-line chemotherapy for FLT3-mutated AML. Blood. Mar 02 2017; 129(9): 1143-1154. PMID 27872058
- 33. Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, et al. Midostaurin plus Chemotherapy for Acute Myeloid Leukemia with a FLT3 Mutation. N Engl J Med. Aug 03 2017; 377(5): 454-464. PMID 28644114
- 34. Voso MT, Larson RA, Jones D, et al. Midostaurin in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and FLT3-TKD mutations: a subanalysis from the RATIFY trial. Blood Adv. Oct 13 2020; 4(19): 4945-4954. PMID 33049054
- 35. Perl AE, Martinelli G, Cortes JE, et al. Gilteritinib or Chemotherapy for Relapsed or Refractory FLT3 -Mutated AML. N Engl J Med. Oct 31 2019; 381(18): 1728-1740. PMID 31665578
- 36. Cortes JE, Khaled S, Martinelli G, et al. Quizartinib versus salvage chemotherapy in relapsed or refractory FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukaemia (QuANTUM-R): a multicentre, randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. Jul 2019; 20(7): 984-997. PMID 31175001
- 37. Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Krauter J, et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. May 01 2008; 358(18): 1909-18. PMID 18450602
- 38. Schlenk RF, Taskesen E, van Norden Y, et al. The value of allogeneic and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in prognostically favorable acute myeloid leukemia with double mutant CEBPA. Blood. Aug 29 2013; 122(9): 1576-82. PMID 23863898
- 39. Willemze R, Suciu S, Meloni G, et al. High-dose cytarabine in induction treatment improves the outcome of adult patients younger than age 46 years with acute myeloid leukemia: results of the EORTC-GIMEMA AML-12 trial. J Clin Oncol. Jan 20 2014; 32(3): 219-28. PMID 24297940

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

- 40. Chou SC, Tang JL, Hou HA, et al. Prognostic implication of gene mutations on overall survival in the adult acute myeloid leukemia patients receiving or not receiving allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantations. Leuk Res. Nov 2014; 38(11): 1278-84. PMID 25260824
- 41. Ma Y, Wu Y, Shen Z, et al. Is allogeneic transplantation really the best treatment for FLT3/ITD-positive acute myeloid leukemia? A systematic review. Clin Transplant. Feb 2015; 29(2): 149-60. PMID 25430616
- 42. Tarlock K, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, et al. Gemtuzumab Ozogamicin Reduces Relapse Risk in FLT3/ITD Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Report from the Children's Oncology Group. Clin Cancer Res. Apr 15 2016; 22(8): 1951-7. PMID 26644412
- 43. Ahn JS, Kim JY, Kim HJ, et al. Normal karyotype acute myeloid leukemia patients with CEBPA double mutation have a favorable prognosis but no survival benefit from allogeneic stem cell transplant. Ann Hematol. Jan 2016; 95(2): 301-10. PMID 26537612
- 44. Brunner AM, Li S, Fathi AT, et al. Haematopoietic cell transplantation with and without sorafenib maintenance for patients with FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukaemia in first complete remission. Br J Haematol. Nov 2016; 175(3): 496-504. PMID 27434660
- 45. Versluis J, In 't Hout FE, Devillier R, et al. Comparative value of post-remission treatment in cytogenetically normal AML subclassified by NPM1 and FLT3-ITD allelic ratio. Leukemia. Jan 2017; 31(1): 26-33. PMID 27416910
- 46. Döhner H, Wei AH, Roboz GJ, et al. Prognostic impact of NPM1 and FLT3 mutations in patients with AML in first remission treated with oral azacitidine. Blood. Oct 13 2022; 140(15): 1674-1685. PMID 35960871
- 47. Bornhäuser M, Illmer T, Schaich M, et al. Improved outcome after stem-cell transplantation in FLT3/ITD-positive AML. Blood. Mar 01 2007; 109(5): 2264-5; author reply 2265. PMID 17312001
- 48. DeZern AE, Sung A, Kim S, et al. Role of allogeneic transplantation for FLT3/ITD acute myeloid leukemia: outcomes from 133 consecutive newly diagnosed patients from a single institution. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. Sep 2011; 17(9): 1404-9. PMID 21324374
- 49. Doubek M, Muzík J, Szotkowski T, et al. Is FLT3 internal tandem duplication significant indicator for allogeneic transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia? An analysis of patients from the Czech Acute Leukemia Clinical Register (ALERT). Neoplasma. 2007; 54(1): 89-94. PMID 17233551
- 50. Gale RE, Hills R, Kottaridis PD, et al. No evidence that FLT3 status should be considered as an indicator for transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia (AML): an analysis of 1135 patients,

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

excluding acute promyelocytic leukemia, from the UK MRC AML10 and 12 trials. Blood. Nov 15 2005; 106(10): 3658-65. PMID 16076872

- 51. Guièze R, Cornillet-Lefebvre P, Lioure B, et al. Role of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation according to the NPM1/FLT3-ITD molecular status for cytogenetically normal AML patients: a GOELAMS study. Am J Hematol. Dec 2012; 87(12): 1052-6. PMID 22911473
- 52. Labouré G, Dulucq S, Labopin M, et al. Potent graft-versus-leukemia effect after reduced-intensity allogeneic SCT for intermediate-risk AML with FLT3-ITD or wild-type NPM1 and CEBPA without FLT3-ITD. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. Dec 2012; 18(12): 1845-50. PMID 22766221
- 53. Meshinchi S, Alonzo TA, Stirewalt DL, et al. Clinical implications of FLT3 mutations in pediatric AML. Blood. Dec 01 2006; 108(12): 3654-61. PMID 16912228
- 54. Ivey A, Hills RK, Simpson MA, et al. Assessment of Minimal Residual Disease in Standard-Risk AML. N Engl J Med. Feb 04 2016; 374(5): 422-33. PMID 26789727
- 55. Balsat M, Renneville A, Thomas X, et al. Postinduction Minimal Residual Disease Predicts Outcome and Benefit From Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation in Acute Myeloid Leukemia With NPM1 Mutation: A Study by the Acute Leukemia French Association Group. J Clin Oncol. Jan 10 2017; 35(2): 185-193. PMID 28056203
- 56. Dillon R, Hills R, Freeman S, et al. Molecular MRD status and outcome after transplantation in NPM1-mutated AML. Blood. Feb 27 2020; 135(9): 680-688. PMID 31932839
- 57. Grob T, Sanders MA, Vonk CM, et al. Prognostic Value of FLT3 -Internal Tandem Duplication Residual Disease in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. J Clin Oncol. Oct 31 2022: JCO2200715. PMID 36315929
- 58. Bataller A, Oñate G, Diaz-Beyá M, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation and favorable European LeukemiaNet category: outcome after preemptive intervention based on measurable residual disease. Br J Haematol. Oct 2020; 191(1): 52-61. PMID 32510599
- 59. Döhner H, Wei AH, Appelbaum FR, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. Sep 22 2022; 140(12): 1345-1377. PMID 35797463
- 60. Schuurhuis GJ, Heuser M, Freeman S, et al. Minimal/measurable residual disease in AML: a consensus document from the European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party. Blood. Mar 22 2018; 131(12): 1275-1291. PMID 29330221

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

# **Policy History**

Original Effecti	ve Date: 01/21/2015				
Current Effective	Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023				
01/08/2015	Medical Policy Committee review				
01/21/2015	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New policy.				
08/03/2015	Coding update: ICD10 Diagnosis code section added; ICD9 Procedure code section				
	removed.				
01/07/2016	Medical Policy Committee review				
01/22/2016	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added CEBPA mutations to				
	title and policy statements. Updated rationale/references.				
01/01/2017	Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes				
01/05/2017	Medical Policy Committee review				
01/18/2017	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility				
04/04/0040	unchanged.				
01/04/2018	Medical Policy Committee review				
01/17/2018	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Title changed from "Genetic				
	Testing for FLT3, NPM1, and CEBPA Mutations in Acute Myeloid Leukemia" t				
	"Genetic Testing for FLT3, NPM1, and CEBPA Mutations in Cytogenetically				
	Normal Acute Myeloid Leukemia". Changed genetic nomenclature from "mutations" to "variants" throughout the policy. Coverage eligibility unchanged.				
04/01/2018	Coding update				
07/01/2018	Coding update				
09/20/2018	Coding update				
01/10/2019	Medical Policy Committee review				
01/23/2019	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility				
	unchanged.				
01/03/2020	Medical Policy Committee review				
01/08/2020	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility				
	unchanged.				
01/07/2021	Medical Policy Committee review				
01/13/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility				
	unchanged.				
01/06/2022	Medical Policy Committee review				

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

01/12/2022	Medical	Policy	Implementation	Committee	approval.	Coverage	eligibility
------------	---------	--------	----------------	-----------	-----------	----------	-------------

unchanged.

03/02/2022 Coding update

11/03/2022 Medical Policy Committee review

11/09/2022 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Title changed from "Genetic

Testing for FLT3, NPM1, and CEBPA Variants in Cytogenetically Normal Acute Myeloid Leukemia" to "Genetic Testing in Acute Myeloid Leukemia". Revised the

coverage section. Added information to the Policy Guidelines.

01/25/2023 Coding update

11/02/2023 Medical Policy Committee review

11/08/2023 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility

unchanged.

Next Scheduled Review Date: 11/2024

## **Coding**

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2022 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
СРТ	0023U, 0046U, 0049U, 0050U, 0171U, 81120, 81121, 81175, 81176, 81206, 81207, 81208, 81218, 81245, 81246, 81272, 81310, 81334, 81403, 81450 Add codes effective 12/01/2023: 81351, 81352, 81353
HCPCS	No codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis All related Diagnoses	

\*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
  - 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
  - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
  - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

\*\*Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment,

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00459

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015 Current Effective Date: 12/11/2023

would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

**NOTICE:** Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

**NOTICE:** If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

**NOTICE:** Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.