

**Policy** # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Cryoablation of Tumors Located in the Kidney, Lung, Breast, Pancreas, or Bone is addressed separately in medical policy 00023.

Note: Radioembolization for Primary and Metastatic Tumors of the Liver is addressed separately in medical policy 00110.

Note: Radiofrequency Ablation of Miscellaneous Solid Tumors Excluding Liver Tumors is addressed separately in medical policy 00175.

Note: Radiofrequency Ablation of Primary or Metastatic Liver Tumors is addressed separately in medical policy 00182.

Note: Cryosurgical Ablation of Primary or Metastatic Liver Tumors is addressed separately in medical policy 00220.

Note: Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization (TACE) to Treat Primary or Metastatic Liver Malignancies is addressed separately in medical policy 00227.

# When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

## **Hepatic Tumors**

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider microwave ablation of primary or metastatic hepatic tumors to be **eligible for coverage.\*\*** 

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

## Patient Selection Criteria

Coverage eligibility for microwave ablation of primary or metastatic hepatic tumors will be considered when **ALL** criteria are met:

- The tumor is unresectable due to location of lesion[s] and/or comorbid conditions **AND**
- A single tumor of  $\leq 5$  cm or up to 3 nodules  $\leq 3$  cm each.

## **Lung Tumors**

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider microwave ablation of primary or metastatic lung tumors to be **eligible for coverage.**\*\*

### Patient Selection Criteria

Coverage eligibility for microwave ablation of primary or metastatic lung tumors will be considered when **ALL** criteria are met:

- The tumor is unresectable due to location of lesion[s] and/or comorbid conditions; **AND**
- A single tumor of  $\leq 3$  cm.

# When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers microwave ablation of primary or metastatic tumors other than liver or lung to be **investigational.\*** 

Based on review of available data, the Company considers microwave ablation of more than a single primary or metastatic tumor in the lung to be **investigational.\*** 

The use of microwave ablation when patient selection criteria are not met is considered to be **investigational.**\*

# Background/Overview

### **Microwave Ablation**

Microwave ablation (MWA) uses microwave energy to induce an ultra-high-speed, 915 MHz or 2 450 MHz (2.45 GHz), alternating electric field, which causes water molecule rotation and creates

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

heat. This results in thermal coagulation and localized tissue necrosis. In MWA, a single microwave antenna or multiple antennas connected to a generator are inserted directly into the tumor or tissue to be ablated; energy from the antennas generates friction and heat. The local heat coagulates the tissue adjacent to the probe, resulting in a small, 2 to 3 cm elliptical area of tissue ablation. In tumors greater than 2 cm in diameter, 2 to 3 antennas may be used simultaneously to increase the targeted area of MWA and shorten the operative time. Multiple antennas may also be used simultaneously to ablate multiple tumors. Tissue ablation occurs quickly, within 1 minute after a pulse of energy, and multiple pulses may be delivered within a treatment session, depending on tumor size. The cells killed by MWA are typically not removed but are gradually replaced by fibrosis and scar tissue. If there is a local recurrence, it occurs at the margins. Treatment may be repeated as needed. Microwave ablation may be used for the following purposes: (1) to control local tumor growth and prevent recurrence; (2) to palliate symptoms; and (3) to prolong survival.

Microwave ablation is similar to radiofrequency (RFA) and cryosurgical ablation. However, MWA has potential advantages over RFA and cryosurgical ablation. In MWA, the heating process is active, which produces higher temperatures than the passive heating of RFA and should allow for more complete thermal ablation in less time. The higher temperatures reached with MWA (>100°C) can overcome the "heat sink" effect in which tissue cooling occurs from nearby blood flow in large vessels, potentially resulting in incomplete tumor ablation. Microwave ablation does not rely on the conduction of electricity for heating and, therefore, does not flow electrical current through patients and does not require grounding pads, because there is no risk of skin burns. Additionally, MWA does not produce electric noise, which allows ultrasound guidance during the procedure without interference, unlike RFA. Finally, MWA can take 20% to 30% less time than RFA, because multiple antennas can be used simultaneously for multiple ablations. There is no comparable RFA system with the capacity to drive multiple electrically dependent electrodes.

#### **Adverse Events**

Complications from MWA may include pain and fever. Other complications associated with MWA include those caused by heat damage to normal tissue adjacent to the tumor (eg, intestinal damage during MWA of the kidney or liver), structural damage along the probe track (eg, pneumothorax as a consequence of procedures on the lung), liver enzyme elevation, liver abscess, ascites, pleural effusion, diaphragm injury, or secondary tumors if cells seed during probe removal. Microwave ablation should be avoided in pregnant women because potential risks to the patient and/or fetus

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

have not been established, and in patients with implanted electronic devices (eg, implantable pacemakers) that may be adversely affected by microwave power output.

## **Applications**

Microwave ablation was first used percutaneously in 1986 as an adjunct to liver biopsy. Since then, MWA has been used to ablate tumors and tissue to treat many conditions including hepatocellular carcinoma, breast cancer, colorectal cancer metastatic to the liver, renal cell carcinoma, renal hamartoma, adrenal malignant carcinoma, non-small-cell lung cancer, intrahepatic primary cholangiocarcinoma, secondary splenomegaly and hypersplenism, abdominal tumors, and other tumors not amenable to resection. Well-established local or systemic treatment alternatives are available for each of these malignancies. The potential advantages of MWA for these cancers include improved local control and other advantages common to any minimally invasive procedure (eg, preserving normal organ tissue, decreasing morbidity, shortening length of hospitalization). Microwave ablation also has been investigated as a treatment for unresectable hepatic tumors, as both primary and palliative treatment, and as a bridge to a liver transplant. In the latter setting, MWA is being assessed to determine whether it can reduce the incidence of tumor progression while awaiting transplantation and thus maintain a patient's candidacy while awaiting a liver transplant.

# FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

# **U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)**

Multiple MWA devices have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. These devices are indicated for soft tissue ablation, including partial or complete ablation of nonresectable liver tumors. Some devices are specifically cleared for use in open surgical ablation, percutaneous ablation, or laparoscopic procedures. Table 1 is a summary of selected MWA devices cleared by the FDA.

The FDA used determinations of substantial equivalence to existing radiofrequency and MWA devices to clear these devices. FDA product code: NEY.

This medical policy does not address MWA for the treatment of splenomegaly or ulcers, for cardiac applications, or as a surgical coagulation tool.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

Table 1. Selected Microwave Ablation Devices Cleared by FDA

Device	Indication	Manufacturer	Date Cleared	510(k) No.
MedWaves Microwave Coagulation/Ablation System	General surgery use in open procedures for the coagulation and ablation of soft tissues	MedWaves Incorporated	12/2007	K070356
Acculis Accu2i pMTA Microwave Tissue Ablation Applicator Acculis Accu2i pMTA Applicator and SulisVpMTA Generator	Intraoperative coagulation of soft tissue Software addition	Microsoulis Holdings, Ltd	8/2010 11/2012	K094021 K122762
MicroThermX Microwave Ablation System	Coagulation (ablation) of soft tissue; may be used in open surgical as well as percutaneous ablation procedures	BSD Medical Corporation	8/2010	K100786
Emprint <sup>™†</sup> Ablation System  Emprint <sup>™†</sup> Ablation System Emprint <sup>™†</sup> SX Ablation Platform with Thermosphere <sup>™†</sup> Technology  Emprint <sup>™†</sup> Ablation Platform with Thermosphere <sup>™†</sup>	Percutaneous, laparoscopic, and intraoperative coagulation (ablation) of soft tissue, including partial or complete ablation of non- resectable liver tumors  Same with design modification of device antenna for percutaneous use 3-D navigation feature	Medtronic	4/2014 12/2016 9/2017 2/2020	K133821 K163105 K171358 K193232

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

Technology and Emprint <sup>™†</sup> SX Ablation Platform with Thermosphere <sup>™†</sup> Technology	assists in the placement of antenna using real-time image guidance during intraoperative and laparoscopic ablation procedures  Antenna modification and update to instructions for use			
Certus 140 2.45 GHz Ablation System and Accessories Certus 140 <sup>™‡</sup> 2.45 GHz Ablation System and Accessories CertuSurg <sup>GT</sup> Surgical Tool Certus 140 <sup>™‡</sup> 2.45 GHz Ablation System and Accessories Certus 140 2.45 GHz Ablation System	Ablation (coagulation) of soft tissue Ablation (coagulation) of soft tissue in percutaneous, open surgical and in conjunction with laparoscopic surgical settings Surgical coagulation (including Planar Coagulation) in open surgical settings Same indication with probe redesign  Ablation (coagulation) of soft tissue in percutaneous, open surgical and in conjunction with	Johnson & Johnson	10/2010 01/2012 7/2013 5/2016 10/2018	K100744 K113237 K130399 K160936 K173756

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

	laparoscopic surgical settings, including the partial or complete ablation of non-resectable liver tumors			
NEUWAVE Flex Microwave Ablation System (FLEX)	Ablation (coagulation) of soft tissue; design evolution of Certus 140 2.45GHz Ablation System (K160936)	Johnson & Johnson	3/2017	K163118
Solero Microwave Tissue Ablation (MTA) System and Accessories	Ablation of soft tissue during open procedures	Angiodynamics, Inc.	5/2017	K162449
Microwave Ablation System	Coagulation (ablation) of soft tissue	Surgnova Healthcare Technologies (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd	7/2019	K183153
NEUWAVE Microwave Ablation System and Accessories	Ablation (coagulation) of soft tissue in percutaneous, open surgical and in conjunction with laparoscopic surgical settings, including the partial or complete ablation of non-resectable liver tumors; not intended for use in cardiac procedures	Johnson & Johnson	11/2020	K200081

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

# Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Microwave ablation (MWA) is a technique to destroy tumors and soft tissue using microwave energy to create thermal coagulation and localized tissue necrosis. Microwave ablation is used to treat tumors not amenable to resection and to treat patients ineligible for surgery due to age, comorbidities, or poor general health. Microwave ablation may be performed as an open procedure, laparoscopically, percutaneously, or thoracoscopically under image guidance (eg, ultrasound, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging) with sedation, or local or general anesthesia. This technique is also referred to as microwave coagulation therapy.

## **Summary of Evidence**

For individuals who have an unresectable primary or metastatic hepatic tumor who receive MWA, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs), comparative observational studies, and systematic reviews comparing MWA to radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and to surgical resection. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, symptoms, quality of life (QOL), and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The body of evidence indicates that MWA is an effective option in patients for whom resection is not an option. Although studies had methodological limitations, results consistently showed that that MWA and RFA had similar survival outcomes with up to 5 years of follow-up in patients with a single tumor ≤5 cm or up to 3 nodules ≤3 cm each. In a meta-analysis of observational studies, patients receiving MWA had higher local recurrence rates and lower survival than those who received resection, but the patient populations were not limited to those who had unresectable tumors. Microwave ablation was associated with lower complications, intraoperative blood loss, and hospital length of stay. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have an unresectable primary or metastatic lung tumor who receive MWA, the evidence includes a single RCT, retrospective observational studies, and systematic reviews of these

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, symptoms, QOL, and treatmentrelated mortality and morbidity. The body of evidence indicates that MWA is an effective option in patients for whom resection is not an option. In the RCT, direct comparison of MWA and RFA in patients with primary or metastatic lung cancer (mean tumor size, 1.90 cm [± 0.89] at baseline) found similar mortality rates up to 12 months of follow-up. In the first of 3 systematic reviews that included 12 retrospective observational studies, local recurrence rates were similar for MWA and RFA at a range of 9 to 47 months of follow-up. In the second systematic review with a meta-analysis, there was lower OS with MWA compared to RFA but studies were not directly comparable due to clinical and methodological heterogeneity. However, the authors concluded that percutaneous RFA and MWA were both effective with a high safety profile. In the third systematic review using a network meta-analysis, the weighted average OS rates for MWA were 82.5%, 54.6%, 35.7%, 29.6%, and 16.6% at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years, respectively. Limitations of the body of evidence included a lack of controlled studies and heterogeneity across studies. The RCT did not report results by tumor size or the number of metastases. The observational studies included in the systematic reviews did not report sufficient information to assess the effectiveness or safety of MWA in subgroups based on the presence of multiple tumors or total tumor burden. Therefore, conclusions about the evidence sufficiency can only be made about patients with single tumors. For this population, the evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have an unresectable primary or metastatic renal tumor who receive MWA, the evidence includes a single RCT that compared MWA to partial nephrectomy, retrospective reviews, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses of the retrospective reviews (with or without the single RCT) and case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, symptoms, QOL, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. In the RCT, overall local recurrence-free survival at 3 years was 91.3% for MWA and 96.0% for partial nephrectomy (p=.54). This positive outcome should be replicated in additional RCTs. There are also no controlled studies comparing MWA to other ablation techniques in patients with renal tumors. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have unresectable primary or metastatic solid tumors other than hepatic, lung, or renal who receive MWA, the evidence includes systematic reviews and case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, symptoms, QOL, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

# **Supplemental Information**

# **Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

## **2016 Input**

In response to requests, input was received from 2 physician specialty societies and 1 academic medical center while this policy was under review in 2016. This number of responses was less than optimal. Input overall was mixed. There was some support for the medical necessity of microwave ablation (MWA) in each category, with some reviewers indicating that it was standard of care for certain tumors. However, there were no indications for which all 3 reviewers agreed that MWA should be medically necessary.

## **2011 Input**

In response to requests, input was received from 2 physician specialty societies (3 reviews) and 4 academic medical centers (6 reviews) while this policy was in development. Eight reviewers considered MWA investigational to treat primary tumors such as hepatocellular carcinoma, benign and malignant renal tumors, lung tumors, adrenal tumors, or cholangiocarcinoma. The reviewers noted insufficient evidence and a need for further studies on MWA. However, 1 reviewer indicated MWA for primary tumors, including, but not limited to hepatocellular carcinoma, benign and malignant renal tumors, lung tumors, adrenal tumors, and cholangiocarcinoma, may be considered a treatment option, and another reviewer indicated that MWA for renal tumors may be considered a treatment option.

Four reviewers considered MWA investigational to treat liver metastases, and 2 reviewers indicated MWA for liver metastases may be considered a treatment option. One reviewer noted MWA may be appropriate for tumors not amenable to radiofrequency ablation or other local treatments. This reviewer also suggested MWA may be more appropriate for tumors located near large blood vessels.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

### **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

## **National Comprehensive Cancer Network**

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines on hepatobiliary cancers (v.2.2022) list MWA (along with radiofrequency ablation, cryoablation, and percutaneous alcohol injection) as a treatment option for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) tumors in patients who are not candidates for potential curative treatments (eg, resection and transplantation) and do not have large-volume extrahepatic disease. Ablation should only be considered when tumors are accessible by percutaneous, laparoscopic, or open approaches. The guidelines indicate "Ablation alone may be curative in treating tumors less than or equal to 3 cm [...] Lesions 3 to 5 cm may be treated to prolong survival using arterially directed therapies, or with combination of an arterially directed therapy and ablation as long as tumor location is accessible for ablation."

The guidelines on non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (v.3.2022) state that image-guided thermal ablation therapies such as cryotherapy, microwave, or radiofrequency may be an option for select medically inoperable patients not receiving stereotactic ablative radiotherapy or definitive radiotherapy. Image-guided thermal ablation therapy is considered an option for the management of NSCLC lesions <3 cm. Ablation for NSCLC lesions >3 cm has been associated with higher rates of local recurrence and complications.

Guidelines on small-cell lung cancer (v. 1.2023) state, "stereotactic ablative radiotherapy is an option for certain patients with medically inoperable stage I to IIA small-cell lung cancer."

The Network guidelines on neuroendocrine tumors (v.1.2022) state that cytoreductive surgery or ablative therapies (eg, radiofrequency, cryotherapy, microwave) may be considered in patients with progressive hepatic-predominant metastatic disease to reduce tumor bulk and relieve symptoms of hormone hypersecretion (category 2B). Additionally, although prospective data for ablative therapy interventions are limited, the guideline notes that "percutaneous thermal ablation, often using

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

microwave energy, can be considered for oligometastatic liver disease, generally up to 4 lesions each smaller than 3 cm.

The guidelines on kidney cancer (v.2.2023) do not specifically address the role of MWA, but state that other thermal ablation techniques (RFA and cryotherapy) may be an option for T1 renal lesions, particularly for masses <3 cm.

The guidelines on breast cancer (v 4.2022. ) do not address thermal ablation techniques such as MWA.

### **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2016) updated its guidance on MWA for treatment of metastases in the liver. The revised guidance states:

- Current evidence on MWA for treating liver metastases raises no major safety concerns and
  the evidence on efficacy is adequate in terms of tumor ablation. Therefore this procedure
  may be used provided that standard arrangements are in place for clinical governance,
  consent, and audit.
- Patient selection should be carried out by a hepatobiliary cancer multidisciplinary team.
- Further research would be useful for guiding the selection of patients for this procedure. This should document the site and type of the primary tumor being treated, the intention of treatment (palliative or curative), imaging techniques used to assess the efficacy of the procedure, long-term outcomes, and survival.

The Institute (2007) also published guidance on MWA for HCC. This guidance indicated: "Current evidence on the safety and efficacy of MWA of hepatocellular carcinoma appears adequate to support the use of this procedure...." The guidance also stated there are no major concerns about the efficacy of MWA, but noted that limited, long-term survival data are available.

The Institute (2013) has published guidance on MWA for lung tumors as well. This guidance indicated that "evidence that the procedure improves clinical outcomes and quality of life is limited in quantity and quality. There is a risk of complications, including pneumothorax, which may have serious implications for patients with already compromised lung function. Therefore this procedure should only be used with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent and audit." The guidance encourages further research.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

## **American College of Chest Physicians**

The American College of Chest Physicians (2013) evidence-based guidelines on the treatment of NSCLC noted that the role of ablative therapies in the treatment of high-risk patients with stage I NSCLC is evolving. The guidelines deal mostly with radiofrequency ablation.

## **American Urological Association**

The American Urological Association (2021) updated its guidelines on renal mass and localized renal cancer, which note that both RFA and cryoablation may be offered as options for patients who elect thermal ablation (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C). Thermal ablation can be considered as an alternate approach in the management of T1a solid renal masses <3 cm. In these patients, a percutaneous technique is preferred (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C). The guidelines do not specifically address MWA.

## **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations**

Not applicable.

## **Medicare National Coverage**

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

### **Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 2.

**Table 2. Summary of Key Trials** 

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT04197960	A Prospective Multicenter Study to Compare the Therapeutic Outcomes of Microwave Ablation with Surgical Resection for Micropapillary Thyroid Carcinoma	973	Dec 2022

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

NCT04626986	Comparison of Ultrasound Guided Percutaneous Microwave Ablation With Breast Conserving Surgery for Breast Tumor	300	May 2023
NCT04081168	COLLISION XL: Unresectable Colorectal Liver Metastases (3-5cm): Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy vs. Microwave Ablation (COLLISION-XL)	68	Jan 2025
NCT03775980 <sup>a</sup>	CIRSE Emprint Microwave Ablation Registry (CIEMAR)	1000	Jul 2026
NCT04365751	To Compare the Efficacy of Microwave Ablation and Laparoscopic Hepatectomy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma	1134	Dec 2026
NCT04107766 <sup>a</sup>	NeuWave Observational Liver Ablation Registry (NOLA)	1500	Dec 2027
NCT02642185	Microwave Ablation Versus Resection for Resectable Colorectal Liver Metastases (MAVERRIC)	102	Jan 2022

NCT: national clinical trial.

# References

- 1. Chinnaratha MA, Chuang MY, Fraser RJ, et al. Percutaneous thermal ablation for primary hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Feb 2016; 31(2): 294-301. PMID 26114968
- 2. Bertot LC, Sato M, Tateishi R, et al. Mortality and complication rates of percutaneous ablative techniques for the treatment of liver tumors: a systematic review. Eur Radiol. Dec 2011; 21(12): 2584-96. PMID 21858539
- 3. Ong SL, Gravante G, Metcalfe MS, et al. Efficacy and safety of microwave ablation for primary and secondary liver malignancies: a systematic review. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Jun 2009; 21(6): 599-605. PMID 19282763

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 4. Glassberg MB, Ghosh S, Clymer JW, et al. Microwave ablation compared with hepatic resection for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and liver metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. World J Surg Oncol. Jun 10 2019; 17(1): 98. PMID 31182102
- 5. Cui R, Yu J, Kuang M, et al. Microwave ablation versus other interventions for hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cancer Res Ther. 2020; 16(2): 379-386. PMID 32474527
- 6. Dou Z, Lu F, Ren L, et al. Efficacy and safety of microwave ablation and radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). Jul 29 2022; 101(30): e29321. PMID 35905207
- 7. Seki T, Wakabayashi M, Nakagawa T, et al. Percutaneous microwave coagulation therapy for patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison with percutaneous ethanol injection therapy. Cancer. Apr 15 1999; 85(8): 1694-702. PMID 10223562
- 8. Shibata T, Iimuro Y, Yamamoto Y, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of radio-frequency ablation and percutaneous microwave coagulation therapy. Radiology. May 2002; 223(2): 331-7. PMID 11997534
- 9. Xu HX, Xie XY, Lu MD, et al. Ultrasound-guided percutaneous thermal ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma using microwave and radiofrequency ablation. Clin Radiol. Jan 2004; 59(1): 53-61. PMID 14697375
- Lu MD, Xu HX, Xie XY, et al. Percutaneous microwave and radiofrequency ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma: a retrospective comparative study. J Gastroenterol. Nov 2005; 40(11): 1054-60. PMID 16322950
- 11. Tanaka K, Shimada H, Nagano Y, et al. Outcome after hepatic resection versus combined resection and microwave ablation for multiple bilobar colorectal metastases to the liver. Surgery. Feb 2006; 139(2): 263-73. PMID 16455336
- 12. Wang ZL, Liang P, Dong BW, et al. Prognostic factors and recurrence of small hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatic resection or microwave ablation: a retrospective study. J Gastrointest Surg. Feb 2008; 12(2): 327-37. PMID 17943391
- 13. Ohmoto K, Yoshioka N, Tomiyama Y, et al. Comparison of therapeutic effects between radiofrequency ablation and percutaneous microwave coagulation therapy for small hepatocellular carcinomas. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Feb 2009; 24(2): 223-7. PMID 18823439
- 14. Yin XY, Xie XY, Lu MD, et al. Percutaneous thermal ablation of medium and large hepatocellular carcinoma: long-term outcome and prognostic factors. Cancer. May 01 2009; 115(9): 1914-23. PMID 19241423

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 15. Kuang M, Xie XY, Huang C, et al. Long-term outcome of percutaneous ablation in very early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastrointest Surg. Dec 2011; 15(12): 2165-71. PMID 21972056
- 16. Imura S, Shimada M, Utsunomiya T, et al. Ultrasound-guided microwave coagulation assists anatomical hepatic resection. Surg Today. Jan 2012; 42(1): 35-40. PMID 22075665
- 17. Qian GJ, Wang N, Shen Q, et al. Efficacy of microwave versus radiofrequency ablation for treatment of small hepatocellular carcinoma: experimental and clinical studies. Eur Radiol. Sep 2012; 22(9): 1983-90. PMID 22544225
- 18. Chinnaratha MA, Sathananthan D, Pateria P, Tse E, MacQuillan G, Wigg AJ. Predictors of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence post thermal ablation. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013;28(Suppl. 2):66-67.
- 19. Ding J, Jing X, Liu J, et al. Comparison of two different thermal techniques for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Eur J Radiol. Sep 2013; 82(9): 1379-84. PMID 23726122
- 20. Stattner S, Jones RP, Yip VS, et al. Microwave ablation with or without resection for colorectal liver metastases. Eur J Surg Oncol. Aug 2013; 39(8): 844-9. PMID 23769976
- 21. Takami Y, Ryu T, Wada Y, et al. Evaluation of intraoperative microwave coagulo-necrotic therapy (MCN) for hepatocellular carcinoma: a single center experience of 719 consecutive cases. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. Mar 2013; 20(3): 332-41. PMID 22710886
- 22. Zhang L, Wang N, Shen Q, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation versus microwave ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma. PLoS One. 2013; 8(10): e76119. PMID 24146824
- 23. Abdelaziz A, Elbaz T, Shousha HI, et al. Efficacy and survival analysis of percutaneous radiofrequency versus microwave ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma: an Egyptian multidisciplinary clinic experience. Surg Endosc. Dec 2014; 28(12): 3429-34. PMID 24935203
- 24. Shi J, Sun Q, Wang Y, et al. Comparison of microwave ablation and surgical resection for treatment of hepatocellular carcinomas conforming to Milan criteria. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014; 29(7): 1500-7. PMID 24628534
- 25. Tan K, DU X, Yin J, et al. Microwave tissue coagulation technique in anatomical liver resection. Biomed Rep. Mar 2014; 2(2): 177-182. PMID 24649092
- 26. Zhang NN, Cheng XJ, Liu JY. Comparison of high-powered MWA and RFA in treating larger hepatocellular carcinoma. J Pract Oncol. 2014;29:349-356.
- 27. Abdelaziz AO, Nabeel MM, Elbaz TM, et al. Microwave ablation versus transarterial chemoembolization in large hepatocellular carcinoma: prospective analysis. Scand J Gastroenterol. Apr 2015; 50(4): 479-84. PMID 25592058

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 28. Vogl TJ, Farshid P, Naguib NN, et al. Ablation therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma: a comparative study between radiofrequency and microwave ablation. Abdom Imaging. Aug 2015; 40(6): 1829-37. PMID 25601438
- 29. Xu J, Zhao Y. Comparison of percutaneous microwave ablation and laparoscopic resection in the prognosis of liver cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015; 8(9): 11665-9. PMID 26617907
- 30. Potretzke TA, Ziemlewicz TJ, Hinshaw JL, et al. Microwave versus Radiofrequency Ablation Treatment for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Comparison of Efficacy at a Single Center. J Vasc Interv Radiol. May 2016; 27(5): 631-8. PMID 27017124
- 31. Zhang EL, Yang F, Wu ZB, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of percutaneous microwave coagulation versus liver resection for single hepatocellular carcinoma 3 cm with Child-Pugh A cirrhosis. Eur J Surg Oncol. May 2016; 42(5): 690-7. PMID 26995115
- 32. Li W, Zhou X, Huang Z, et al. Short-term and long-term outcomes of laparoscopic hepatectomy, microwave ablation, and open hepatectomy for small hepatocellular carcinoma: a 5-year experience in a single center. Hepatol Res. Jun 2017; 47(7): 650-657. PMID 27487979
- 33. Philips P, Scoggins CR, Rostas JK, et al. Safety and advantages of combined resection and microwave ablation in patients with bilobar hepatic malignancies. Int J Hyperthermia. Feb 2017; 33(1): 43-50. PMID 27405728
- 34. Ryu T, Takami Y, Wada Y, et al. Oncological outcomes after hepatic resection and/or surgical microwave ablation for liver metastasis from gastric cancer. Asian J Surg. Jan 2019; 42(1): 100-105. PMID 29254868
- 35. Song P, Sheng L, Sun Y, et al. The clinical utility and outcomes of microwave ablation for colorectal cancer liver metastases. Oncotarget. Aug 01 2017; 8(31): 51792-51799. PMID 28881688
- 36. Xu Y, Shen Q, Wang N, et al. Microwave ablation is as effective as radiofrequency ablation for very-early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. Chin J Cancer. Jan 19 2017; 36(1): 14. PMID 28103953
- 37. Yu J, Yu XL, Han ZY, et al. Percutaneous cooled-probe microwave versus radiofrequency ablation in early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomised controlled trial. Gut. Jun 2017; 66(6): 1172-1173. PMID 27884919
- 38. Zhang QB, Zhang XG, Jiang RD, et al. Microwave ablation versus hepatic resection for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and oesophageal variceal bleeding in cirrhotic patients. Int J Hyperthermia. May 2017; 33(3): 255-262. PMID 27817240

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 39. Chen ZB, Qin F, Ye Z, et al. Microwave-assisted liver resection vs. clamp crushing liver resection in cirrhosis patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Hyperthermia. Dec 2018; 34(8): 1359-1366. PMID 29353503
- 40. Chong CCN, Lee KF, Chu CM, et al. Microwave ablation provides better survival than liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with borderline liver function: application of ALBI score to patient selection. HPB (Oxford). Jun 2018; 20(6): 546-554. PMID 29352659
- 41. Chinnaratha MA, Sathananthan D, Pateria P, et al. High local recurrence of early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma after percutaneous thermal ablation in routine clinical practice. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Mar 2015; 27(3): 349-54. PMID 25563141
- 42. Cillo U, Noaro G, Vitale A, et al. Laparoscopic microwave ablation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective cohort study. HPB (Oxford). Nov 2014; 16(11): 979-86. PMID 24750429
- 43. Correa-Gallego C, Fong Y, Gonen M, et al. A retrospective comparison of microwave ablation vs. radiofrequency ablation for colorectal cancer hepatic metastases. Ann Surg Oncol. Dec 2014; 21(13): 4278-83. PMID 24889486
- 44. Di Vece F, Tombesi P, Ermili F, et al. Coagulation areas produced by cool-tip radiofrequency ablation and microwave ablation using a device to decrease back-heating effects: a prospective pilot study. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. Jun 2014; 37(3): 723-9. PMID 24196263
- 45. Hompes R, Fieuws S, Aerts R, et al. Results of single-probe microwave ablation of metastatic liver cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol. Aug 2010; 36(8): 725-30. PMID 20605397
- 46. Kamal A, Elmoety AAA, Rostom YAM, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency versus microwave ablation for management of hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomized controlled trial. J Gastrointest Oncol. Jun 2019; 10(3): 562-571. PMID 31183208
- 47. Lee KF, Wong J, Hui JW, et al. Long-term outcomes of microwave versus radiofrequency ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma by surgical approach: A retrospective comparative study. Asian J Surg. Jul 2017; 40(4): 301-308. PMID 26922631
- 48. Liu Y, Li S, Wan X, et al. Efficacy and safety of thermal ablation in patients with liver metastases. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Apr 2013; 25(4): 442-6. PMID 23470267
- 49. Liu W, Zheng Y, He W, et al. Microwave vs radiofrequency ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma within the Milan criteria: a propensity score analysis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. Sep 2018; 48(6): 671-681. PMID 30063081
- 50. Sakaguchi H, Seki S, Tsuji K, et al. Endoscopic thermal ablation therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma: a multi-center study. Hepatol Res. Jan 2009; 39(1): 47-52. PMID 18761680

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 51. Santambrogio R, Chiang J, Barabino M, et al. Comparison of Laparoscopic Microwave to Radiofrequency Ablation of Small Hepatocellular Carcinoma (3 cm). Ann Surg Oncol. Jan 2017; 24(1): 257-263. PMID 27581608
- 52. Sever I H, Sucu M, Biyikli E. Radiofrequency and Microwave Ablation in the Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Iran J Radiol. 2018;15(3):e62396. doi: 10.5812/iranjradiol.62396.
- 53. Shady W, Petre EN, Do KG, et al. Percutaneous Microwave versus Radiofrequency Ablation of Colorectal Liver Metastases: Ablation with Clear Margins (A0) Provides the Best Local Tumor Control. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Feb 2018; 29(2): 268-275.e1. PMID 29203394
- 54. Simo KA, Sereika SE, Newton KN, et al. Laparoscopic-assisted microwave ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma: safety and efficacy in comparison with radiofrequency ablation. J Surg Oncol. Dec 2011; 104(7): 822-9. PMID 21520094
- 55. Sparchez Z, Mocan T, Hajjar NA, et al. Percutaneous ultrasound guided radiofrequency and microwave ablation in the treatment of hepatic metastases. A monocentric initial experience. Med Ultrason. Aug 31 2019; 21(3): 217-224. PMID 31476199
- 56. Tian W, Kuang M, Lv M, et al. A randomised comparative trial on liver tumors treated with ultrasound-guided percutaneous radiofrequency versus microwave ablation. Chin J Hepatobiliary Surg 2014;20:11922.
- 57. van Tilborg AA, Scheffer HJ, de Jong MC, et al. MWA Versus RFA for Perivascular and Peribiliary CRLM: A Retrospective Patient- and Lesion-Based Analysis of Two Historical Cohorts. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. Oct 2016; 39(10): 1438-46. PMID 27387188
- 58. Vietti Violi N, Duran R, Guiu B, et al. Efficacy of microwave ablation versus radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: a randomised controlled phase 2 trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. May 2018; 3(5): 317-325. PMID 29503247
- 59. Yang B, Li Y. A comparative study of laparoscopic microwave ablation with laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation for colorectal liver metastasis. J BUON. May-Jun 2017; 22(3): 667-672. PMID 28730772
- 60. Chong CCN, Lee KF, Cheung SYS, et al. Prospective double-blinded randomized controlled trial of Microwave versus RadioFrequency Ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma (McRFA trial). HPB (Oxford). Aug 2020; 22(8): 1121-1127. PMID 32044268
- 61. Zaitoun MMA, Elsayed SB, Zaitoun NA, et al. Combined therapy with conventional transarterial chemoembolization (cTACE) and microwave ablation (MWA) for hepatocellular carcinoma 3-5 cm. Int J Hyperthermia. 2021; 38(1): 248-256. PMID 33615957

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 62. Loveman E, Jones J, Clegg AJ, et al. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of ablative therapies in the management of liver metastases: systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess. Jan 2014; 18(7): vii-viii, 1-283. PMID 24484609
- 63. Bala MM, Riemsma RP, Wolff R, et al. Microwave coagulation for liver metastases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Oct 13 2013; (10): CD010163. PMID 24122576
- 64. Pathak S, Jones R, Tang JM, et al. Ablative therapies for colorectal liver metastases: a systematic review. Colorectal Dis. Sep 2011; 13(9): e252-65. PMID 21689362
- 65. Mimmo A, Pegoraro F, Rhaiem R, et al. Microwave Ablation for Colorectal Liver Metastases: A Systematic Review and Pooled Oncological Analyses. Cancers (Basel). Mar 03 2022; 14(5). PMID 35267612
- 66. Yuan Z, Wang Y, Zhang J, et al. A Meta-Analysis of Clinical Outcomes After Radiofrequency Ablation and Microwave Ablation for Lung Cancer and Pulmonary Metastases. J Am Coll Radiol. Mar 2019: 16(3): 302-314. PMID 30642784
- 67. Jiang B, Mcclure MA, Chen T, et al. Efficacy and safety of thermal ablation of lung malignancies: A Network meta-analysis. Ann Thorac Med. Oct-Dec 2018; 13(4): 243-250. PMID 30416597
- 68. Nelson DB, Tam AL, Mitchell KG, et al. Local Recurrence After Microwave Ablation of Lung Malignancies: A Systematic Review. Ann Thorac Surg. Jun 2019; 107(6): 1876-1883. PMID 30508527
- 69. He W, Hu XD, Wu DF, et al. Ultrasonography-guided percutaneous microwave ablation of peripheral lung cancer. Clin Imaging. Jul-Aug 2006; 30(4): 234-41. PMID 16814137
- 70. Wolf FJ, Grand DJ, Machan JT, et al. Microwave ablation of lung malignancies: effectiveness, CT findings, and safety in 50 patients. Radiology. Jun 2008; 247(3): 871-9. PMID 18372457
- 71. Vogl TJ, Naguib NN, Gruber-Rouh T, et al. Microwave ablation therapy: clinical utility in treatment of pulmonary metastases. Radiology. Nov 2011; 261(2): 643-51. PMID 22012906
- 72. Lu Q, Cao W, Huang L, et al. CT-guided percutaneous microwave ablation of pulmonary malignancies: Results in 69 cases. World J Surg Oncol. May 07 2012; 10: 80. PMID 22564777
- 73. Carrafiello G, Mangini M, Fontana F, et al. Microwave ablation of lung tumours: single-centre preliminary experience. Radiol Med. Jan 2014; 119(1): 75-82. PMID 24234180
- 74. Liu H, Steinke K. High-powered percutaneous microwave ablation of stage I medically inoperable non-small cell lung cancer: a preliminary study. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. Aug 2013; 57(4): 466-74. PMID 23870347

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 75. Vogl TJ, Worst TS, Naguib NN, et al. Factors influencing local tumor control in patients with neoplastic pulmonary nodules treated with microwave ablation: a risk-factor analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. Mar 2013; 200(3): 665-72. PMID 23436860
- 76. Wei Z, Ye X, Yang X, et al. Microwave ablation in combination with chemotherapy for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. Feb 2015; 38(1): 135-42. PMID 24809754
- 77. Yang X, Ye X, Zheng A, et al. Percutaneous microwave ablation of stage I medically inoperable non-small cell lung cancer: clinical evaluation of 47 cases. J Surg Oncol. Nov 2014; 110(6): 758-63. PMID 24965604
- 78. Zheng A, Wang X, Yang X, et al. Major complications after lung microwave ablation: a single-center experience on 204 sessions. Ann Thorac Surg. Jul 2014; 98(1): 243-8. PMID 24793688
- 79. Acksteiner C, Steinke K. Percutaneous microwave ablation for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in the elderly: a promising outlook. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. Feb 2015; 59(1): 82-90. PMID 25335916
- 80. Wei Z, Ye X, Yang X, et al. Microwave ablation plus chemotherapy improved progression-free survival of advanced non-small cell lung cancer compared to chemotherapy alone. Med Oncol. Feb 2015; 32(2): 464. PMID 25572816
- 81. Egashira Y, Singh S, Bandula S, et al. Percutaneous High-Energy Microwave Ablation for the Treatment of Pulmonary Tumors: A Retrospective Single-Center Experience. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Apr 2016; 27(4): 474-9. PMID 26944360
- 82. Ko WC, Lee YF, Chen YC, et al. CT-guided percutaneous microwave ablation of pulmonary malignant tumors. J Thorac Dis. Oct 2016; 8(Suppl 9): S659-S665. PMID 28066666
- 83. Li B, Wang Z, Zhou K, et al. Safety and feasibility within 24 h of discharge in patents with inoperable malignant lung nodules after percutaneous microwave ablation. J Cancer Res Ther. Dec 2016; 12(Supplement): C171-C175. PMID 28230012
- 84. Macchi M, Belfiore MP, Floridi C, et al. Radiofrequency versus microwave ablation for treatment of the lung tumours: LUMIRA (lung microwave radiofrequency) randomized trial. Med Oncol. May 2017; 34(5): 96. PMID 28417355
- 85. Maxwell AW, Healey TT, Dupuy DE. Percutaneous Thermal Ablation for Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Initial Experience with Ten Tumors in Nine Patients. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Dec 2016; 27(12): 1815-1821. PMID 27776982
- 86. Vogl TJ, Eckert R, Naguib NN, et al. Thermal Ablation of Colorectal Lung Metastases: Retrospective Comparison Among Laser-Induced Thermotherapy, Radiofrequency Ablation,

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- and Microwave Ablation. AJR Am J Roentgenol. Dec 2016; 207(6): 1340-1349. PMID 27680945
- 87. Zheng A, Ye X, Yang X, et al. Local Efficacy and Survival after Microwave Ablation of Lung Tumors: A Retrospective Study in 183 Patients. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Dec 2016; 27(12): 1806-1814. PMID 27789077
- 88. Healey TT, March BT, Baird G, et al. Microwave Ablation for Lung Neoplasms: A Retrospective Analysis of Long-Term Results. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Feb 2017; 28(2): 206-211. PMID 27993505
- 89. Nour-Eldin NA, Exner S, Al-Subhi M, et al. Ablation therapy of non-colorectal cancer lung metastases: retrospective analysis of tumour response post-laser-induced interstitial thermotherapy (LITT), radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and microwave ablation (MWA). Int J Hyperthermia. Nov 2017; 33(7): 820-829. PMID 28540791
- 90. Wei Z, Ye X, Yang X, et al. Advanced non small cell lung cancer: response to microwave ablation and EGFR Status. Eur Radiol. Apr 2017; 27(4): 1685-1694. PMID 27436020
- 91. Yang X, Ye X, Huang G, et al. Repeated percutaneous microwave ablation for local recurrence of inoperable Stage I nonsmall cell lung cancer. J Cancer Res Ther. 2017; 13(4): 683-688. PMID 28901314
- 92. Zhong L, Sun S, Shi J, et al. Clinical analysis on 113 patients with lung cancer treated by percutaneous CT-guided microwave ablation. J Thorac Dis. Mar 2017; 9(3): 590-597. PMID 28449467
- 93. Uhlig J, Strauss A, Rucker G, et al. Partial nephrectomy versus ablative techniques for small renal masses: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Eur Radiol. Mar 2019; 29(3): 1293-1307. PMID 30255245
- 94. Guan W, Bai J, Liu J, et al. Microwave ablation versus partial nephrectomy for small renal tumors: intermediate-term results. J Surg Oncol. Sep 01 2012; 106(3): 316-21. PMID 22488716
- 95. Katsanos K, Mailli L, Krokidis M, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of thermal ablation versus surgical nephrectomy for small renal tumours. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. Apr 2014; 37(2): 427-37. PMID 24482030
- 96. Martin J, Athreya S. Meta-analysis of cryoablation versus microwave ablation for small renal masses: is there a difference in outcome? Diagn Interv Radiol. Nov-Dec 2013; 19(6): 501-7. PMID 24084196
- 97. De Cobelli F, Papa M, Panzeri M, et al. Percutaneous Microwave Ablation Versus Cryoablation in the Treatment of T1a Renal Tumors. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. Jan 2020; 43(1): 76-83. PMID 31451888

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 98. Guo J, Arellano RS. Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Category T1a Renal Cell Carcinoma: Intermediate Results on Safety, Technical Feasibility, and Clinical Outcomes of 119 Tumors. AJR Am J Roentgenol. Jan 2021; 216(1): 117-124. PMID 32603227
- 99. Aarts BM, Prevoo W, Meier MAJ, et al. Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Histologically Proven T1 Renal Cell Carcinoma. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. Jul 2020; 43(7): 1025-1033. PMID 32052093
- 100. Muto G, Castelli E, Migliari R, et al. Laparoscopic microwave ablation and enucleation of small renal masses: preliminary experience. Eur Urol. Jul 2011; 60(1): 173-6. PMID 21531501
- 101. Bai J, Hu Z, Guan W, et al. Initial experience with retroperitoneoscopic microwave ablation of clinical T(1a) renal tumors. J Endourol. Dec 2010; 24(12): 2017-22. PMID 20932080
- 102. Castle SM, Salas N, Leveillee RJ. Initial experience using microwave ablation therapy for renal tumor treatment: 18-month follow-up. Urology. Apr 2011; 77(4): 792-7. PMID 21324512
- 103. Guan W, Bai J, Hu Z, et al. Retroperitoneoscopic microwave ablation of renal hamartoma: middle-term results. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci. Oct 2010; 30(5): 669-71. PMID 21063854
- 104. Zhao Z, Wu F. Minimally-invasive thermal ablation of early-stage breast cancer: a systemic review. Eur J Surg Oncol. Dec 2010; 36(12): 1149-55. PMID 20889281
- 105. Zhou W, Zha X, Liu X, et al. US-guided percutaneous microwave coagulation of small breast cancers: a clinical study. Radiology. May 2012; 263(2): 364-73. PMID 22438362
- 106. Keane MG, Bramis K, Pereira SP, et al. Systematic review of novel ablative methods in locally advanced pancreatic cancer. World J Gastroenterol. Mar 07 2014; 20(9): 2267-78. PMID 24605026
- 107. Cui T, Jin C, Jiao D, et al. Safety and efficacy of microwave ablation for benign thyroid nodules and papillary thyroid microcarcinomas: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Radiol. Sep 2019; 118: 58-64. PMID 31439259
- 108. Wu X, Jiang Z, Liu J, et al. The efficacy and safety of microwave ablation versus conventional open surgery for the treatment of papillary thyroid microcarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gland Surg. Jun 2022; 11(6): 1003-1014. PMID 35800741
- 109. Li X, Fan W, Zhang L, et al. CT-guided percutaneous microwave ablation of adrenal malignant carcinoma: preliminary results. Cancer. Nov 15 2011; 117(22): 5182-8. PMID 21523760
- 110. Pusceddu C, Sotgia B, Fele RM, et al. Treatment of bone metastases with microwave thermal ablation. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Feb 2013; 24(2): 229-33. PMID 23200605

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

- 111. Yu MA, Liang P, Yu XL, et al. Sonography-guided percutaneous microwave ablation of intrahepatic primary cholangiocarcinoma. Eur J Radiol. Nov 2011; 80(2): 548-52. PMID 21300500
- 112. Egorov AV, Vasilyev IA, Musayev GH, et al. The role of microwave ablation in management of functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Gland Surg. Dec 2019; 8(6): 766-772. PMID 32042685
- 113. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Hepatobiliary Cancers. Version 2.2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/hepatobiliary.pdf.
- 114. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Version 3.2022 https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf.
- 115. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Small Cell Lung Cancer. Version 1.2023. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/sclc.pdf.
- 116. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Neuroendocrine and Adrenal Tumors. Version 1.2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf.
- 117. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Kidney Cancer. Version 2.2023. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/kidney.pdf.
- 118. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Breast Cancer. Version 4.2022.
  - https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/breast.pdf.
- 119. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Microwave ablation for treating liver metastases [IPG553]. 2016; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg553.
- 120. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Microwave Ablation of Hepatocellular Carcinoma [IPG214]. 2007; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg214.
- 121. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Microwave ablation for treating primary lung cancer and metastases in the lung [IPG469]. 2013; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg469.
- 122. Howington JA, Blum MG, Chang AC, et al. Treatment of stage I and II non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest. May 2013; 143(5 Suppl): e278S-e313S. PMID 23649443

123. Campbell SC, Clark PE, Chang SS, et al. Renal Mass and Localized Renal Cancer: Evaluation, Management, and Follow-Up: AUA Guideline: Part I. J Urol. Aug 2021; 206(2): 199-208. PMID 34115547

# **Policy History**

I OHO, III	<del>101,</del>
Original Effecti	ve Date: 10/01/2017
Current Effective	ve Date: 05/08/2023
07/06/2017	Medical Policy Committee review
07/19/2017	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New policy.
01/01/2018	Coding update
07/05/2018	Medical Policy Committee review
07/11/2018	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
07/03/2019	Medical Policy Committee review
07/17/2019	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
11/07/2019	Medical Policy Committee review
11/13/2019	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage changes from
	investigational position only to may be eligible for hepatic and lung tumors with
	specific criteria. For Hepatic tumors conditions for may be eligible for coverage
	include: the tumor is unresectable due to location of lesion[s] and/or comorbid
	conditions and a single tumor of ≤5 cm or up to 3 nodules <3 cm each. For Lung
	tumors conditions include: the tumor is unresectable due to location of lesion and/or
	comorbid conditions and a single tumor of $\leq 3$ cm.
04/02/2020	Medical Policy Committee review
04/08/2020	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
04/01/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
04/14/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
04/07/2022	Medical Policy Committee review

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

04/13/2022 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility

unchanged.

04/06/2023 Medical Policy Committee review

04/12/2023 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility

unchanged.

Next Scheduled Review Date: 04/2024

# **Coding**

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2022 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	19499, 32998, 47382, 50592, 76940, 77499
HCPCS	C9751
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related diagnoses

\*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
  - 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
  - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
  - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

\*\*Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00569

Original Effective Date: 10/01/2017 Current Effective Date: 05/08/2023

C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

**NOTICE:** If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

**NOTICE:** Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

©2023 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.