



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- *Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and*
- *Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.*

Patent Foramen Ovale

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider the percutaneous transcatheter closure of a patent foramen ovale (PFO) using AMPLATZER PFO Occluder or the Gore Cardioform Septal Occluder to be **eligible for coverage**** to reduce the risk of recurrent ischemic stroke if individual meets all of the following criteria:

- Between 18 and 60 years of age
- Diagnosed with patent foramen ovale with a right-to-left interatrial shunt confirmed by echocardiography with at least one of the following characteristics:
 - PFO with large shunt, defined as >30 microbubbles in the left atrium within 3 cardiac cycles, after opacification of the right atrium.
 - PFO associated with atrial septal aneurysm on transesophageal examination: septum primum excursion >10 mm
- Documented history of cryptogenic ischemic stroke due to a presumed paradoxical embolism, as determined by a neurologist and cardiologist following an evaluation to exclude any other identifiable cause of stroke, including large vessel atherosclerotic disease and small vessel occlusive disease

AND none of the following are present:

- Uncontrolled vascular risk factors, including uncontrolled diabetes or uncontrolled hypertension

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

- Other sources of right-to-left shunts, including an atrial septal defect and/or fenestrated septum.
- Active endocarditis or other untreated infections
- Inferior vena cava filter.

Atrial Septal Defect

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider transcatheter closure of secundum atrial septal defects (ASD) when using a device that has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for that purpose and used according to the labeled indications to be **eligible for coverage**** when patient selection criteria are met.

Patient Selection Criteria

Three devices have been approved by the U.S. FDA for atrial septal defect closure: the Amplatzer^{TM†} Septal Occluder, the GORE HELEX Septal Occluder (discontinued), and the GORE CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder.

The labeled indications for these devices are similar and include:

- Individuals with echocardiographic evidence of ostium secundum atrial septal defect; AND either of the following
 - Clinical evidence of right ventricular volume overload (ie, 1.5:1 degree of left-to-right shunt or right ventricular enlargement); OR
 - Clinical evidence of paradoxical embolism.

When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers transcatheter closure of secundum atrial septal defects for all other indications not meeting criteria outlined above to be **investigational.***

Policy Guidelines

Two devices approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for patent foramen ovale closure and atrial septal defect closure are currently marketed: the Amplatzer^{TM†} Septal Occluder and

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

the GORE[®]† CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder. The GORE[®]† HELEX Septal Occluder has been discontinued.

Background/Overview

Patent Foramen Ovale

The foramen ovale, a component of fetal cardiovascular circulation, consists of a communication between the right and left atrium that functions as a vascular bypass of the uninflated lungs. The ductus arteriosus is another feature of the fetal cardiovascular circulation, consisting of a connection between the pulmonary artery and the distal aorta. Before birth, the foramen ovale is held open by the large flow of blood into the left atrium from the inferior vena cava. Over the course of months after birth, an increase in left atrial pressure and a decrease in right atrial pressure result in permanent closure of the foramen ovale in most individuals. However, a PFO is a common finding in 25% of asymptomatic adults. In some epidemiologic studies, PFO has been associated with cryptogenic stroke, defined as an ischemic stroke occurring in the absence of potential cardiac, pulmonary, vascular, or neurologic sources. Studies have also shown an association between PFO and migraine headache.

Atrial Septal Defects

Unlike PFO, which represents the postnatal persistence of normal fetal cardiovascular physiology, ASDs represent an abnormality in the development of the heart that results in free communication between the atria. ASDs are categorized by their anatomy. Ostium secundum describes defects located mid septally and are typically near the fossa ovalis. Ostium primum defects lie immediately adjacent to the atrioventricular valves and are within the spectrum of atrioventricular septal defects. Primum defects occur commonly in individuals with Down syndrome. Sinus venous defects occur high in the atrial septum and are frequently associated with anomalies of the pulmonary veins.

Ostium secundum ASDs are the third most common form of congenital heart disorder and among the most common congenital cardiac malformations in adults, accounting for 30% to 40% of these individuals older than age 40 years. The ASD often goes unnoticed for decades because the physical signs are subtle, and the clinical sequelae are mild. However, virtually all individuals who survive into their sixth decade are symptomatic; fewer than 50% of individuals survive beyond age 40 to 50 years due to heart failure or pulmonary hypertension related to the left-to-right shunt. Symptoms related to ASD depend on the size of the defect and the relative diastolic filling properties of the left

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

and right ventricles. Reduced left ventricular compliance, and mitral stenosis will increase left-to-right shunting across the defect. Conditions that reduce right ventricular compliance and tricuspid stenosis will reduce left-to-right shunting or cause a right-to-left shunt. Symptoms of an ASD include exercise intolerance and dyspnea, atrial fibrillation, and less commonly, signs of right heart failure. Individuals with ASDs are also at risk for paradoxical emboli.

Treatment of Atrial Septal Defects

Repair of ASDs is recommended for those with a pulmonary-to-systemic flow ratio ($Q_p: Q_s$) exceeding 1.5:1.0. Despite the success of surgical repair, there has been interest in developing a transcatheter-based approach to ASD repair to avoid the risks and morbidity of open heart surgery. A variety of devices have been researched. Technical challenges include minimizing the size of the device so that smaller catheters can be used, developing techniques to center the device properly across the ASD, and ensuring that the device can be easily retrieved or repositioned, if necessary.

Individuals with ASDs and a history of cryptogenic stroke are typically treated with antiplatelet agents, given an absence of evidence that systemic anticoagulation is associated with outcome improvements.

Transcatheter Closure Devices

Transcatheter PFO and ASD occluders consist of a single or paired wire mesh discs covered or filled with polyester or polymer fabric that are placed over the septal defect. Over time, the occlusion system is epithelialized. ASD occluder devices consist of flexible mesh discs delivered via catheter to cover the ASD.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Patent Foramen Ovale Closure Devices

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved 2 devices for PFO closure through the premarket approval process or a premarket approval supplement: the Amplatzer PFO Occluder and the GORE CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder (see Table 1).

FDA product code: MLV.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016
Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002
Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

In 2002, 2 transcatheter devices were cleared for marketing by the FDA through a humanitarian device exemption as a treatment for patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO: the CardioSEAL^{®†} Septal Occlusion System (NMT Medical; device no longer commercially available) and the Amplatzer PFO Occluder (Amplatzer, now St. Jude Medical). Following the limited FDA approval, use of PFO closure devices increased by more than 50-fold, well in excess of the 4000 per year threshold intended under the humanitarian device exemption, prompting the FDA to withdraw the humanitarian device exemption approval for these devices in 2007. The Amplatzer PFO Occluder was approved through the premarket approval process in 2016.

In March 2018, the FDA granted an expanded indication to the Gore Cardioform Septal Occluder to include the closure of PFO to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke (see Table 1). The new indication was based on the results of the Reduction in the Use of Corticosteroids in Exacerbated COPD (REDUCE) pivotal clinical trial.

Table 1. Patent Foramen Ovale Closure Devices Approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Device	Manufacturer	PMA Approval Date	Indications
Amplatzer PFO Occluder	St. Jude Medical	Nov 2016	For percutaneous transcatheter closure of a PFO to reduce the risk of recurrent ischemic stroke in patients, predominantly between the ages of 18 and 60 years, who have had a cryptogenic stroke due to a presumed paradoxical embolism, as determined by a neurologist and cardiologist following an evaluation to exclude known causes of ischemic stroke.
GORE CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder	W.L. Gore & Associates	Mar 2018 (supplement)	PFO closure to reduce the risk of recurrent ischemic stroke in patients, predominantly between the ages of 18 and 60 years, who have had a cryptogenic



Louisiana

Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

			stroke due to a presumed paradoxical embolism, as determined by a neurologist and cardiologist following an evaluation to exclude known causes of ischemic stroke.
--	--	--	--

PFO: patent foramen ovale; PMA: premarket approval.
FDA product code: MLV.

Atrial Septal Defect Closure Devices

The FDA has approved 4 devices for ASD closure through the premarket approval process or a premarket approval supplement: the Amplatzer Septal Occluder, the GORE HELEX Septal Occluder (discontinued), GORE CARDIOFORM ASD Occluder, and the GORE CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder (see Table 2).

FDA product code: MLV.

Table 2. Atrial Septal Defect Closure Devices Approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Device	Manufacturer	PMA Approval Date	Indications
Amplatzer Septal Occluder	St. Jude Medical (Abbott Medical)	Dec 2001	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Occlusion of ASDs in the secundum position• Use in patients who have had a fenestrated Fontan procedure who require closure of the fenestration• Patients indicated for ASD closure have echocardiographic evidence of ostium secundum ASD and

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

			clinical evidence of right ventricular volume overload.
GORE HELEX Septal Occluder	W.L. Gore & Associates	Aug 2006 (discontinued)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Percutaneous, transcatheter closure of ostium secundum ASDs
GORE CARDIOFORM ASD Occluder	W.L. Gore & Associates	May 2019 (supplement)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Percutaneous, transcatheter closure of ostium secundum ASDs
GORE CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder	W.L. Gore & Associates	Apr 2015 (supplement)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Percutaneous, transcatheter closure of ostium secundum ASDs

ASD: atrial septal defect; PMA: premarket approval.
FDA product code: MLV.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. FDA approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Patent foramen ovale and ASDs are relatively common congenital heart defects that can be associated with a range of symptoms. PFOs may be asymptomatic but have been associated with higher rates of cryptogenic stroke. PFOs have also been investigated for a variety of other conditions, such as a migraine. Depending on their size, ASDs may lead to left-to-right shunting and signs and symptoms of pulmonary overload. Repair of ASDs is indicated for individuals with a significant degree of left-to-right shunting. Transcatheter closure devices have been developed to repair PFO

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

and ASDs. These devices are alternatives to open surgical repair for ASDs or treatment with antiplatelet and/or anticoagulant medications in individuals with cryptogenic stroke and PFO.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have patent foramen ovale (PFO) and cryptogenic stroke who receive PFO closure with a transcatheter device, the evidence includes multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing device-based PFO closure with medical therapy, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, overall survival, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. The RCTs comparing PFO closure with medical management have suggested that PFO closure is more effective than medical therapy in reducing event rates. Although these results were not statistically significant by intention to treat (ITT) analyses in earlier trials (ie, Amplatzer PFO Occluder with Medical Treatment in Patients with Cryptogenic Embolism [PC-Trial] and Randomized Evaluation of Recurrent Stroke Comparing PFO Closure to Established Current Standard of Care Treatment [RESPECT; initial study]), they were statistically significant in later trials (ie, RESPECT [extended follow-up], Reduction in the Use of Corticosteroids in Exacerbated COPD [REDUCE], and Patent Foramen Ovale Closure or Anticoagulants versus Antiplatelet Therapy to Prevent Stroke Recurrence [CLOSE]). Use of appropriate patient selection criteria to eliminate other causes of cryptogenic stroke in RESPECT, REDUCE, and CLOSE trials contributed to findings of the superiority of PFO closure compared with medical management. Of note, higher rates of atrial fibrillation were reported in a few of the individual trials and in the meta-analysis that incorporated evidence from RESPECT, REDUCE, and CLOSE trials. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have PFO and migraines who receive PFO closure with a transcatheter device, the evidence includes 3 RCTs of PFO closure, multiple observational studies reporting on the association between PFO and migraine, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. Two sham-controlled randomized trials did not demonstrate significant improvements in migraine symptoms after PFO closure. A third RCT with blinded endpoint evaluation did not demonstrate reductions in migraine days after PFO closure compared to medical management but likely was underpowered. Nonrandomized studies have shown highly variable rates of migraine reduction after PFO closure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

For individuals who have PFO and conditions associated with PFO other than cryptogenic stroke or migraine (eg, platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome, myocardial infarction with normal coronary arteries, decompression illness, high-altitude pulmonary edema, obstructive sleep apnea) who receive PFO closure with a transcatheter device, the evidence includes small case series and case reports. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. Comparative studies are needed to evaluate outcomes in similar patient groups treated with and without PFO closure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have atrial septal defects (ASD) and evidence of left-to-right shunt or right ventricular overload who receive ASD closure with a transcatheter device, the evidence includes systematic reviews, nonrandomized comparative studies, and single-arm studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity and mortality. The available nonrandomized comparative studies and single-arm case series have shown rates of closure using transcatheter-based devices approaching the high success rates of surgery, which are supported by meta-analyses of these studies. The percutaneous approach has a low complication rate and avoids the morbidity and complications of open surgery. In systematic reviews, the risk of overall mortality was similar with transcatheter device versus surgical closure, whereas in-hospital mortality was significantly reduced with transcatheter device closure. If the percutaneous approach is unsuccessful, ASD closure can be achieved using surgery. Because of the benefits of percutaneous closure over open surgery, it can be determined that transcatheter ASD closure improves outcomes in patients with an indication for ASD closure. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

In response to requests, input was received from 2 academic medical centers (1 of which provided 2 responses) while this policy was under review in 2016. Input was mixed about the

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

medical necessity of closure devices for PFO in individuals with cryptogenic stroke or transient ischemic attack due to presumed paradoxical embolism through the PFO. There was a consensus that use of closure devices for PFO in individuals with other conditions (eg, migraine, platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome) is not medically necessary.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American College of Chest Physicians

In 2012, the American College of Chest Physicians updated its guidelines on antithrombotic therapy and the prevention of thrombosis, which made the following recommendations related to PFO and cryptogenic stroke:

"We suggest that individuals with stroke and PFO are treated with antiplatelet therapy following the recommendations for individuals with noncardioembolic stroke.... In individuals with a history of noncardioembolic ischemic stroke or TIA, we recommend long-term treatment with aspirin (75-100 mg once daily), clopidogrel (75 mg once daily), aspirin/extended release dipyridamole (25 mg/200 mg bid), or cilostazol (100 mg bid) over no antiplatelet therapy (Grade 1A), oral anticoagulants (Grade 1B), the combination of clopidogrel plus aspirin (Grade 1B), or triflusal (Grade 2B)."

American Academy of Neurology

In 2020, the American Academy of Neurology updated its evidence-based guidelines on the management of individuals with stroke and PFO to address whether percutaneous closure of PFO is superior to medical therapy alone. This update to the practice advisory published in 2016 was completed due to the approval of the Amplatzer PFO Occluder and the GORE CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder. Following a systematic review of the literature and structured formulation of recommendations, the Academy developed the following conclusions addressing percutaneous PFO closure as compared to medical therapy alone. For individuals with cryptogenic stroke and PFO, percutaneous PFO closure:

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

- "probably reduces the risk of stroke recurrence with an HR [hazard ratio] of 0.41 (95% CI [confidence interval], 0.25–0.67, $I^2 = 12\%$) and an absolute risk reduction of 3.4% (95% CI, 2.0%–4.5%) at 5 years,"
- "probably is associated with a periprocedural complication rate of 3.9% (95% CI, 2.3%–5.7%), and
- "probably is associated with the development of serious non-periprocedural atrial fibrillation, with a relative risk of 2.72 (95% CI, 1.30–5.68, $I^2 = 0\%$)."

The guidelines recommended:

"In individuals being considered for PFO closure, clinicians should ensure that an appropriately thorough evaluation has been performed to rule out alternative mechanisms of stroke, as was performed in all positive PFO closure trials (level B). In individuals with a PFO detected after stroke and no other etiology identified after a thorough evaluation, clinicians should counsel that having a PFO is common; that it occurs in about 1 in 4 adults in the general population; that it is difficult to determine with certainty whether their PFO caused their stroke; and that PFO closure probably reduces recurrent stroke risk in select individuals (level B)."

"In individuals younger than 60 years with a PFO and an embolic-appearing infarct and no other mechanism of stroke identified, clinicians may recommend closure following a discussion of potential benefits (reduction of stroke recurrence) and risks (procedural complication and atrial fibrillation) (level C). PFO closure may be offered in other populations, such as for a patient who is aged 60–65 years with a very limited degree of traditional vascular risk factors (i.e., hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, or smoking) and no other mechanism of stroke detected following a thorough evaluation, including prolonged monitoring for atrial fibrillation (level C). PFO closure may be offered to younger individuals (e.g., <30 years) with a single, small, deep stroke (<1.5 cm), a large shunt, and absence of any vascular risk factors that would lead to intrinsic small-vessel disease such as hypertension, diabetes, or hyperlipidemia (level C)."

American Heart Association and American Stroke Association

In 2021, the American Heart Association and American Stroke Association updated their guidelines on the prevention of stroke in individuals with ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. The guidelines made the following recommendations for device-based closure for PFO:

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

- "In individuals 18 to 60 years of age with a nonlacunar ischemic stroke of undetermined cause despite a thorough evaluation and a PFO with high-risk anatomic features* it is reasonable to choose closure with a transcatheter device and long-term antiplatelet therapy over anti-platelet therapy alone for preventing recurrent stroke (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B-Randomized)"
- "In individuals 18 to 60 years of age with a nonlacunar ischemic stroke of undetermined cause despite a thorough evaluation and a PFO without high-risk anatomic features,* the benefit of closure with a transcatheter device and long-term antiplatelet therapy over antiplatelet therapy alone for preventing recurrent stroke is not well established (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C-Limited Data)"
- "In individuals 18 to 60 years of age with a nonlacunar ischemic stroke of undetermined cause despite a thorough evaluation and a PFO, the comparative benefit of closure with a transcatheter device versus warfarin is unknown (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C-Limited Data)"

*The guideline notes that high-risk anatomic features are not uniformly described throughout the literature.

The guideline also defined the following relevant terms:

- "*Cryptogenic stroke*: An imaging-confirmed stroke with unknown source despite thorough diagnostic assessment (including, at a minimum, arterial imaging, echocardiography, extended rhythm monitoring, and key laboratory studies such as a lipid profile and hemoglobin A1c [HbA1c])."
- "*Embolic stroke of undetermined source (ESUS)*: A stroke that appears nonlacunar on neuroimaging without an obvious source after a minimum standard evaluation (including arterial imaging, echocardiography, extended rhythm monitoring, and key laboratory studies such as a lipid profile and HbA1c) to rule out known stroke etiologies such as cardioembolic sources and atherosclerosis proximal to the stroke. A diagnosis of ESUS implies that the stroke is embolic in origin, given the nonlacunar location; however, the source of the embolus is unknown, despite a minimal standard evaluation. Although cryptogenic stroke similarly implies that the cause of the origin is unknown, the stroke is not necessarily embolic. Individuals with ESUS have cryptogenic stroke, but the converse is not always the case."

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association

In 2018, the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association updated guidelines on the management of adults with congenital heart disease. The treatment recommendations are summarized in Table 3. Recommendations for surgical closure versus transcatheter closure are dependent on the underlying condition.

Table 3. American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association Recommendations for Treating Atrial Septal Defect

Condition	Recommendation	COR ^a /LOE ^b
Symptomatic isolated secundum ASD, right atrial and/or RV enlargement, and net left-to-right shunt sufficiency large enough to cause physiological sequelae, without cyanosis at rest or during exercise	Transcatheter or surgical closure	I1/B-NR2
Symptomatic primum ASD, sinus venosus defect, or coronary sinus defect, right atrial and/or RV enlargement, and net left-to-right shunt sufficiency large enough to cause physiological sequelae, without cyanosis at rest or during exercise	Surgical closure unless precluded by comorbidities	I1/B-NR2
Asymptomatic isolated secundum ASD, right atrial and RV enlargement, and net left-to-right shunt sufficiency large enough to cause physiological sequelae, without cyanosis at rest or during exercise	Transcatheter or surgical closure	I1a1/C-LD2
Secundum ASD when a concomitant surgical procedure is being performed and there is a net left-to-right shunt sufficiently large enough to cause physiological sequelae, and right atrial and RV enlargement without cyanosis at rest or during exercise	Surgical closure	I1a1/C-LD2
ASD when net left-to-right shunt is $\geq 1.5:1$, PA systolic pressure and/or pulmonary vascular resistance is greater than of one-third of systemic resistance	Percutaneous or surgical closure	I1b1/B-NR2

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016
Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002
Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

ASD with PA systolic pressure greater than two-thirds systemic, pulmonary vascular resistance greater than two-thirds systemic, and/or a net left-to-right shunt	ASD closure should not be performed	III-Harm1/C-LD2
--	-------------------------------------	-----------------

Adapted from Stout et al (2019). ASD: atrial septal defect; COR: class (strength) of recommendation; LOE: level (quality) of evidence; PA: pulmonary artery; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RV: right ventricular.
^a COR key: I=strong; IIa=moderate; IIb=weak; III: No Benefit=weak; III: Harm=strong.
^b LOE key: A=high quality from >1 RCT, meta-analyses of high-quality RCTs, ≥1 RCT corroborated by high-quality registry studies; B-R=randomized, moderate-quality evidence from ≥1 RCT or meta-analysis of moderate-quality RCTs; B-NR=nonrandomized, moderate-quality evidence from ≥1 well-designed, well-executed nonrandomized study, observational study, or registry study, or meta-analyses of such studies; C-LD: limited data, randomized or nonrandomized observational or registry studies with limitations of design or execution, meta-analyses of such studies, or physiological or mechanistic studies in human subjects; C-EO: expert opinion.

European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions

In 2021, the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions Scientific Documents and Initiatives Committee invited 8 European scientific societies and international experts to develop interdisciplinary position statements on the management of PFO; 3 US-based experts were listed as authors on part II of the position paper.

For decompression sickness, authors note: *"If behavioral and technical changes are not possible or not effective, PFO closure can be proposed with shared decision making underscoring the lack of evidence"*

For migraines, authors note: *"Consider PFO closure only in clinical trials or for compassionate use in migraine with aura."*

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 4.

Table 4. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
<i>Ongoing</i>			
NCT03309332 ^a	OBS Lead-AMPLATZER PFO Occluder New Enrollment Study	1214	Apr 2030
NCT04100135 ^a	GORE® CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder Migraine Clinical Study: A Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Transcatheter Closure of Patent Foramen Ovale for Relief of Migraine Headaches	150	Aug 2027
NCT05561660	Comparison of the Effect of Device Closure in Alleviating Migraine With Patent Foramen Oval (COMPETE-2)	460	Oct 2025
NCT04029233 ^a	Prospective, Open-label, Multicenter, Non-randomized Investigation on Percutaneous Patent Foramen Ovale (PFO) Closure Using the Occlutech PFO Occluder to Prevent Recurrence of Stroke in Patients With Cryptogenic Stroke and High Risk PFO	836	Sep 2026
<i>Unpublished</i>			
NCT02985684 ^a	GORE® CARDIOFORM ASD Occluder Clinical Study: A Study to Evaluate Safety and Efficacy in the Treatment of Transcatheter Closure of	125	Sep 2022

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

	Ostium Secundum Atrial Septal Defects (ASDs) - The Gore ASSURED Clinical Study		
--	---	--	--

NCT: national clinical trial.

^aDenotes industry sponsored or co-sponsored trial

References

1. Messé SR, Kasner SE. Is closure recommended for patent foramen ovale and cryptogenic stroke? Patent foramen ovale in cryptogenic stroke: not to close. *Circulation*. Nov 04 2008; 118(19): 1999-2004. PMID 18981314
2. Slottow TL, Steinberg DH, Waksman R. Overview of the 2007 Food and Drug Administration Circulatory System Devices Panel meeting on patent foramen ovale closure devices. *Circulation*. Aug 07 2007; 116(6): 677-82. PMID 17679629
3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of safety and effectiveness data. Gore Cardioform Septal Occluder. March 30, 2018. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf5/P050006s060b.pdf.
4. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED): Patent Foramen Ovale (PFO) Occluder (PMA P120021). 2016; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf12/P120021B.pdf.
5. Kent DM, Dahabreh IJ, Ruthazer R, et al. Device Closure of Patent Foramen Ovale After Stroke: Pooled Analysis of Completed Randomized Trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Mar 01 2016; 67(8): 907-917. PMID 26916479
6. Li J, Liu J, Liu M, et al. Closure versus medical therapy for preventing recurrent stroke in patients with patent foramen ovale and a history of cryptogenic stroke or transient ischemic attack. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Sep 08 2015; 2015(9): CD009938. PMID 26346232
7. Shah R, Nayyar M, Jovin IS, et al. Device Closure Versus Medical Therapy Alone for Patent Foramen Ovale in Patients With Cryptogenic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. Mar 06 2018; 168(5): 335-342. PMID 29310136
8. De Rosa S, Sievert H, Sabatino J, et al. Percutaneous Closure Versus Medical Treatment in Stroke Patients With Patent Foramen Ovale: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. Mar 06 2018; 168(5): 343-350. PMID 29310133
9. Søndergaard L, Kasner SE, Rhodes JF, et al. Patent Foramen Ovale Closure or Antiplatelet Therapy for Cryptogenic Stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Sep 14 2017; 377(11): 1033-1042. PMID 28902580

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

10. Mas JL, Derumeaux G, Guillon B, et al. Patent Foramen Ovale Closure or Anticoagulation vs. Antiplatelets after Stroke. *N Engl J Med.* Sep 14 2017; 377(11): 1011-1021. PMID 28902593
11. Meier B, Kalesan B, Mattle HP, et al. Percutaneous closure of patent foramen ovale in cryptogenic embolism. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 21 2013; 368(12): 1083-91. PMID 23514285
12. Alushi B, Lauten A, Cassese S, et al. Patent foramen ovale closure versus medical therapy for prevention of recurrent cryptogenic embolism: updated meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Clin Res Cardiol.* Sep 2018; 107(9): 788-798. PMID 29644412
13. Carroll JD, Saver JL, Thaler DE, et al. Closure of patent foramen ovale versus medical therapy after cryptogenic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 21 2013; 368(12): 1092-100. PMID 23514286
14. Saver JL, Carroll JD, Thaler DE, et al. Long-Term Outcomes of Patent Foramen Ovale Closure or Medical Therapy after Stroke. *N Engl J Med.* Sep 14 2017; 377(11): 1022-1032. PMID 28902590
15. Rogers T, Slack M, Waksman R. Overview of the 2016 US Food and Drug Administration Circulatory System Devices Panel Meeting on the Amplatzer Patent Foramen Ovale Occluder. *Am J Cardiol.* Jan 01 2017; 119(1): 153-155. PMID 27810099
16. Lee PH, Song JK, Kim JS, et al. Cryptogenic Stroke and High-Risk Patent Foramen Ovale: The DEFENSE-PFO Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* May 22 2018; 71(20): 2335-2342. PMID 29544871
17. Andersen A, Matzen KL, Andersen G, et al. Atrial fibrillation after closure of patent foramen ovale in the REDUCE clinical study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* Apr 2022; 99(5): 1551-1557. PMID 34773685
18. Rigatelli G, Pedon L, Zecchel R, et al. Long-Term Outcomes and Complications of Intracardiac Echocardiography-Assisted Patent Foramen Ovale Closure in 1,000 Consecutive Patients. *J Interv Cardiol.* Oct 2016; 29(5): 530-538. PMID 27500752
19. Wintzer-Wehekind J, Alperi A, Houde C, et al. Long-Term Follow-Up After Closure of Patent Foramen Ovale in Patients With Cryptogenic Embolism. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* Jan 29 2019; 73(3): 278-287. PMID 30678757
20. Lip PZ, Lip GY. Patent foramen ovale and migraine attacks: a systematic review. *Am J Med.* May 2014; 127(5): 411-20. PMID 24355354
21. Dowson A, Mullen MJ, Peatfield R, et al. Migraine Intervention With STARFlex Technology (MIST) trial: a prospective, multicenter, double-blind, sham-controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of patent foramen ovale closure with STARFlex septal repair implant to resolve refractory migraine headache. *Circulation.* Mar 18 2008; 117(11): 1397-404. PMID 18316488

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

22. Wang YL, Wang FZ, Zhang Y, et al. Association of migraine with patent foramen ovale closure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc*. Apr 2022; 39: 100992. PMID 35330668
23. Mattle HP, Evers S, Hildick-Smith D, et al. Percutaneous closure of patent foramen ovale in migraine with aura, a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Heart J*. Jul 07 2016; 37(26): 2029-36. PMID 26908949
24. Tobis JM, Charles A, Silberstein SD, et al. Percutaneous Closure of Patent Foramen Ovale in Patients With Migraine: The PREMIUM Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Dec 05 2017; 70(22): 2766-2774. PMID 29191325
25. Mas JL, Guillon B, Charles-Nelson A, et al. Patent foramen ovale closure in stroke patients with migraine in the CLOSE trial. The CLOSE-MIG study. *Eur J Neurol*. Aug 2021; 28(8): 2700-2707. PMID 33938088
26. Snijder RJ, Luermans JG, de Heij AH, et al. Patent Foramen Ovale With Atrial Septal Aneurysm Is Strongly Associated With Migraine With Aura: A Large Observational Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. Dec 01 2016; 5(12). PMID 27930349
27. Tobis J, Shenoda M. Percutaneous treatment of patent foramen ovale and atrial septal defects. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Oct 30 2012; 60(18): 1722-32. PMID 23040567
28. Mojadidi MK, Gevorgyan R, Nouredin N, et al. The effect of patent foramen ovale closure in patients with platypnea-orthodoxia syndrome. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. Oct 2015; 86(4): 701-7. PMID 26063336
29. Du ZD, Hijazi ZM, Kleinman CS, et al. Comparison between transcatheter and surgical closure of secundum atrial septal defect in children and adults: results of a multicenter nonrandomized trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jun 05 2002; 39(11): 1836-44. PMID 12039500
30. Chambault AL, Olsen K, Brown LJ, et al. Transcatheter versus surgical closure of atrial septal defects: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical outcomes. *Cardiol Young*. Jan 2022; 32(1): 1-9. PMID 34819196
31. Rigatelli G, Zuin M, Roncon L, et al. Secundum atrial septal defects transcatheter closure versus surgery in adulthood: a 2000-2020 systematic review and meta-analysis of intrahospital outcomes. *Cardiol Young*. Apr 2021; 31(4): 541-546. PMID 33827735
32. Butera G, Biondi-Zoccai G, Sangiorgi G, et al. Percutaneous versus surgical closure of secundum atrial septal defects: a systematic review and meta-analysis of currently available clinical evidence. *EuroIntervention*. Jul 2011; 7(3): 377-85. PMID 21729841

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

33. Abaci A, Unlu S, Alsancak Y, et al. Short and long term complications of device closure of atrial septal defect and patent foramen ovale: meta-analysis of 28,142 patients from 203 studies. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* Dec 01 2013; 82(7): 1123-38. PMID 23412921
34. Fischer G, Stieh J, Uebing A, et al. Experience with transcatheter closure of secundum atrial septal defects using the Amplatzer septal occluder: a single centre study in 236 consecutive patients. *Heart.* Feb 2003; 89(2): 199-204. PMID 12527678
35. Javois AJ, Rome JJ, Jones TK, et al. Results of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration continued access clinical trial of the GORE HELEX septal occluder for secundum atrial septal defect. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* Aug 2014; 7(8): 905-12. PMID 25147036
36. Baruteau AE, Petit J, Lambert V, et al. Transcatheter closure of large atrial septal defects: feasibility and safety in a large adult and pediatric population. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* Dec 2014; 7(6): 837-43. PMID 25423959
37. Gillespie MJ, Javois AJ, Moore P, et al. Use of the GORE® CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder for percutaneous closure of secundum atrial septal defects: Results of the multicenter U.S. IDE trial. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* Jun 01 2020; 95(7): 1296-1304. PMID 32108423
38. Du ZD, Koenig P, Cao QL, et al. Comparison of transcatheter closure of secundum atrial septal defect using the Amplatzer septal occluder associated with deficient versus sufficient rims. *Am J Cardiol.* Oct 15 2002; 90(8): 865-9. PMID 12372575
39. Oho S, Ishizawa A, Akagi T, et al. Transcatheter closure of atrial septal defects with the Amplatzer septal occluder--a Japanese clinical trial. *Circ J.* Sep 2002; 66(9): 791-4. PMID 12224813
40. Brochu MC, Baril JF, Dore A, et al. Improvement in exercise capacity in asymptomatic and mildly symptomatic adults after atrial septal defect percutaneous closure. *Circulation.* Oct 01 2002; 106(14): 1821-6. PMID 12356636
41. Furlan AJ, Reisman M, Massaro J, et al. Closure or medical therapy for cryptogenic stroke with patent foramen ovale. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 15 2012; 366(11): 991-9. PMID 22417252
42. Grohmann J, Höhn R, Fleck T, et al. Transcatheter closure of atrial septal defects in children and adolescents: single-center experience with the GORE® septal occluder. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* Nov 15 2014; 84(6): E51-7. PMID 24664494
43. Nyboe C, Hjordtal VE, Nielsen-Kudsk JE. First experiences with the GORE(®) Septal Occluder in children and adults with atrial septal defects. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* Nov 15 2013; 82(6): 929-34. PMID 23404677

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

44. Yilmazer MM, Güven B, Vupa-Çilengiroğlu Ö, et al. Improvement in cardiac structure and functions early after transcatheter closure of secundum atrial septal defect in children and adolescents. *Turk J Pediatr.* 2013; 55(4): 401-10. PMID 24292034
45. Jalal Z, Hascoët S, Gronier C, et al. Long-Term Outcomes After Percutaneous Closure of Ostium Secundum Atrial Septal Defect in the Young: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* Apr 23 2018; 11(8): 795-804. PMID 29673513
46. Lansberg MG, O'Donnell MJ, Khatri P, et al. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* Feb 2012; 141(2 Suppl): e601S-e636S. PMID 22315273
47. Messé SR, Gronseth GS, Kent DM, et al. Practice advisory update summary: Patent foramen ovale and secondary stroke prevention: Report of the Guideline Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology.* May 19 2020; 94(20): 876-885. PMID 32350058
48. Kleindorfer DO, Towfighi A, Chaturvedi S, et al. 2021 Guideline for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack: A Guideline From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* Jul 2021; 52(7): e364-e467. PMID 34024117
49. Stout KK, Daniels CJ, Aboulhosn JA, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Adults With Congenital Heart Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* Apr 02 2019; 73(12): e81-e192. PMID 30121239
50. Pristipino C, Germonpré P, Toni D, et al. European position paper on the management of patients with patent foramen ovale. Part II - Decompression sickness, migraine, arterial deoxygenation syndromes and select high-risk clinical conditions. *EuroIntervention.* Aug 06 2021; 17(5): e367-e375. PMID 33506796

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

04/18/2002 Medical Policy Committee review

06/05/2002 Managed Care Advisory Council approval

06/24/2002 Format revision

03/31/2004 Medical Director review

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

04/20/2004	Medical Policy Committee review. Format revision. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
04/26/2004	Managed Care Advisory Council approval
04/05/2005	Medical Director review
04/19/2005	Medical Policy Committee review. Coverage eligibility unchanged. Investigational statement added to policy to address the use of transcatheter closure devices in situations where patient selection criteria are not met.
05/23/2005	Managed Care Advisory Council approval
04/05/2006	Medical Director review
04/19/2006	Medical Policy Committee approval. Format revision, including addition of FDA and or other governmental regulatory approval and rationale/source. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
07/07/2006	Format revised. Investigational statements added to clarify coverage eligibility. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
04/04/2007	Medical Director review
04/18/2007	Medical Policy Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
04/02/2008	Medical Director review
04/16/2008	Medical Policy Committee approval. No change to coverage eligibility.
04/02/2009	Medical Director review
04/15/2009	Medical Policy Committee approval. Closure of patent foramen ovale using a transcatheter approach is now considered to be investigational.
04/08/2010	Medical Policy Committee approval.
04/21/2010	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
04/07/2011	Medical Policy Committee approval.
04/13/2011	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
04/12/2012	Medical Policy Committee review
04/25/2012	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
04/04/2013	Medical Policy Committee review
04/24/2013	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
03/06/2014	Medical Policy Committee review
03/19/2014	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

05/07/2015 Medical Policy Committee review
05/20/2015 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
05/05/2016 Medical Policy Committee review
05/18/2016 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
01/01/2017 Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes
07/06/2017 Medical Policy Committee review
07/19/2017 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Statement, "There are currently no transcatheter devices with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration [FDA] approval or clearance for this indication," removed from investigational statement for PFO closure devices; policy statements otherwise unchanged.
08/09/2018 Medical Policy Committee review
08/15/2018 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Criteria for PFO revised.
04/04/2019 Medical Policy Committee review
04/24/2019 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added the GORE CARDIOFORM Septal Occluder as FDA approved for PFO.
04/02/2020 Medical Policy Committee review
04/08/2020 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
04/01/2021 Medical Policy Committee review
04/14/2021 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
04/07/2022 Medical Policy Committee review
04/13/2022 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
04/06/2023 Medical Policy Committee review
04/12/2023 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage. Patients replaced with individuals.
04/04/2024 Medical Policy Committee review
04/10/2024 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
Next Scheduled Review Date: 04/2025

Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)†, copyright 2023

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	93580
HCPCS	C1817
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or

- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
 3. Reference to federal regulations.

****Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity")** - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Closure Devices for Patent Foramen Ovale and Atrial Septal Defects

Policy # 00016

Original Effective Date: 06/05/2002

Current Effective Date: 05/13/2024

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.