Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Hodgkin Lymphoma

Policy # 00057
Original Effective Date: 01/28/2008
Current Effective Date: 08/01/2021

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the “Company”), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas is addressed separately in medical policy 00062.

When Services Are Eligible for Coverage
Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member’s contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in patients with primary refractory or relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) to be eligible for coverage.**

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider allogenic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), using either myeloablative or reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens in patients with primary refractory or relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) to be eligible for coverage.**

When Services Are Considered Investigational
Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers a second autologous cell transplantation for relapsed lymphoma after a prior autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) to be investigational.*

Based on review of available data, the Company considers tandem autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma to be investigational.*
Based on review of available data, the Company considers other uses of hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), including, but not limited to, initial therapy for newly diagnosed disease to consolidate a first complete remission (CR) to be investigational.*

**Policy Guidelines**

In the Morschhauser et al (2008) study of risk-adapted salvage treatment with single or tandem autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for first relapse or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma, poor-risk relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma was defined as 2 or more of the following risk factors at first relapse: time to relapse less than 12 months, stage III or IV at relapse, and relapse within previously irradiated sites. The primary refractory disease was defined as disease regression less than 50% after 4 to 6 cycles of doxorubicin-containing chemotherapy or disease progression during induction or within 90 days after the end of first-line treatment.

Some patients for whom a conventional myeloablative allotransplant could be curative may be considered candidates for reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. These include those with malignancies that are effectively treated with myeloablative allogeneic transplantation, but whose age (typically >55 or >60 years) or comorbidities (eg, liver or kidney dysfunction, generalized debilitation, prior intensive chemotherapy, low Karnofsky Performance Status score) preclude the use of a standard myeloablative conditioning regimen.

The ideal allogeneic donors are human leukocyte antigen-identical matched siblings. Related donors mismatched at a single locus are also considered suitable donors. A matched, unrelated donor identified through the National Marrow Donor Program is typically the next option considered. Recently, there has been interest in haploidentical donors, typically a parent or a child of the patient, with whom usually there is sharing of only 3 of the 6 major histocompatibility antigens. Most patients will have such a donor; however, the risk of graft-versus-host disease and overall morbidity of the procedure may be severe, and experience with these donors is not as extensive as that with matched donors.
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Background/Overview

Hodgkin Lymphoma

Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) is a relatively uncommon B-cell lymphoma. In 2020, the estimated number of new cases in the United States was approximately 8,480 and 970 estimated deaths. The disease has a bimodal distribution, with most patients diagnosed between the ages of 20 and 39 years, with a second peak in adults aged 65 years and older.

The 2008 World Health Organization classification divided HL into 2 main types; these classifications did not change in the 2016 update:

1. "Classical" HL
   - Nodular sclerosis
   - Mixed cellularity
   - Lymphocyte depleted
   - Lymphocyte-rich

2. Nodular lymphocyte-predominant HL.

In Western countries, “classical” HL accounts for 95% of cases of HL and, for nodular lymphocyte-predominant HL, only 5%. “Classical” HL is characterized by the presence of neoplastic Reed-Sternberg cells in a background of numerous non-neoplastic inflammatory cells. Nodular lymphocyte-predominant HL lacks Reed-Sternberg cells but is characterized by the presence of lymphocytic and histiocytic cells termed “popcorn cells”.

Staging

The Ann Arbor staging system for HL recognizes that the disease is thought typically to arise in a single lymph node and spread to contiguous lymph nodes with eventual involvement of extranodal sites. The staging system attempts to distinguish patients with localized HL who can be treated with extended field radiation from those who require systemic chemotherapy.

Each stage is subdivided into A and B categories. “A” indicates no systemic symptoms are present and “B” indicates the presence of systemic symptoms, which include unexplained weight loss of more than 10% of body weight, unexplained fevers >38°C, or drenching night sweats (see Table 1).
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Table 1. Ann Arbor Staging System for Hodgkin Lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Single lymph node region (I) or localized involvement of a single extralymphatic organ or site (Iₑ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2 or more lymph node regions on the same side of the diaphragm (II) or localized involvement of a single associated extralymphatic organ or site and its regional lymph node(s) with or without involvement of other lymph node regions on the same side of the diaphragm (IIₑ). The number of lymph node regions involved should be indicated by a subscript (eg, IIₑ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Involvement of lymph node regions or structures on both sides of the diaphragm, which may involve an extralymphatic organ or site (IIIₑ), spleen (IIIₛ), or both (IIIₑₛ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Disseminated (multifocal) involvement of 1 or more extralymphatic organs, with or without associated lymph node involvement, or isolated extralymphatic organ involvement with distant (nonregional) nodal involvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients with HL are generally classified into 3 groups: early-stage favorable (stage I-II with no B symptoms, large mediastinal lymphadenopathy, or other unfavorable factors), early-stage unfavorable (stage I-II with a large mediastinal mass, multiple involved nodal regions, B symptoms, extranodal involvement, or elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate ≥50), and advanced-stage disease (stage III-IV).

Treatment

Patients with nonbulky stage IA or IIA disease are considered to have the clinically early-stage disease. These patients are candidates for chemotherapy, combined modality therapy, or radiotherapy alone. Patients with obvious stage III or IV disease, bulky disease (defined as a 10-cm mass or mediastinal disease with a transverse diameter >33% of the transthoracic diameter), or the presence of B symptoms will require combination chemotherapy with or without additional radiotherapy.

HL is highly responsive to conventional chemotherapy, and up to 80% of newly diagnosed patients can be cured with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy. Patients who prove refractory or who relapse
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after first-line therapy have a significantly worse prognosis. Primary refractory HL is defined as disease regression of less than 50% after 4 to 6 cycles of anthracycline-containing chemotherapy, disease progression during induction therapy, or progression within 90 days after the completion of the first-line treatment.

In patients with relapse, the results of salvage therapy vary depending on a number of prognostic factors, as follows: the length of the initial remission, stage at recurrence, and the severity of anemia at the time of relapse. Early and late relapse are defined as less or more than 12 months from the time of remission, respectively. Approximately 70% of patients with late first relapse can be salvaged by autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) but not more than 40% with early first relapse.

Only 25% to 35% of patients with primary progressive or poor-risk recurrent HL achieve durable remission after autologous HCT, with most failures being due to disease progression after transplant. Most relapses after transplant occur within 1 to 2 years, and once relapse occurs posttransplant, median survival is less than 12 months.

**Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation**

Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is a procedure in which hematopoietic stem cells are intravenously infused to restore bone marrow and immune function in cancer patients who receive bone marrow-toxic doses of cytotoxic drugs with or without whole body radiotherapy. Hematopoietic stem cells may be obtained from the transplant recipient (autologous HCT) or a donor (allogeneic HCT [allo-HCT]). These cells can be harvested from bone marrow, peripheral blood, or umbilical cord blood shortly after delivery of neonates.

Immunologic compatibility between infused hematopoietic stem cells and the recipient is not an issue in autologous HCT. In allogenic stem cell transplantation, immunologic compatibility between donor and patient is a critical factor for achieving a successful outcome. Compatibility is established by typing of human leukocyte antigens (HLA) using cellular, serologic, or molecular techniques. HLA refers to the gene complex expressed at the HLA-A, -B, and -DR (antigen-D related) loci on each arm of chromosome 6. An acceptable donor will match the patient at all or most of the HLA loci.
Conditioning for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation

Conventional Conditioning

The conventional (“classical”) practice of allo-HCT involves administration of cytotoxic agents (e.g., cyclophosphamide, busulfan) with or without total body irradiation at doses sufficient to cause bone marrow ablation in the recipient. The beneficial treatment effect of this procedure is due to a combination of the initial eradication of malignant cells and subsequent graft-versus-malignancy effect mediated by non-self-immunologic effector cells. While the slower graft-versus-malignancy effect is considered the potentially curative component, it may be overwhelmed by existing disease in the absence of pretransplant conditioning. Intense conditioning regimens are limited to patients who are sufficiently medically fit to tolerate substantial adverse effects. These include opportunistic infections secondary to loss of endogenous bone marrow function and organ damage or failure caused by the cytotoxic drugs. Subsequent to graft infusion in allo-HCT, immunosuppressant drugs are required to minimize graft rejection and graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), which increases susceptibility to opportunistic infections.

The success of autologous HCT is predicated on the potential of cytotoxic chemotherapy, with or without radiotherapy, to eradicate cancerous cells from the blood and bone marrow. This permits subsequent engraftment and repopulation of the bone marrow with presumably normal hematopoietic stem cells obtained from the patient before undergoing bone marrow ablation. Therefore, autologous HCT is typically performed as consolidation therapy when the patient’s disease is in complete remission. Patients who undergo autologous HCT are also susceptible to chemotherapy-related toxicities and opportunistic infections before engraftment, but not GVHD.

Reduced-Intensity Conditioning Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation

Reduced-Intensity Conditioning (RIC) refers to the pretransplant use of lower doses of cytotoxic drugs or less intense regimens of radiotherapy than are used in traditional full-dose myeloablative conditioning treatments. Although the definition of RIC is variable, with numerous versions employed, all regimens seek to balance the competing effects of relapse due to residual disease and non-relapse mortality. The goal of RIC is to reduce disease burden and to minimize associated treatment-related morbidity and non-relapse mortality in the period during which the beneficial GVM effect of allogeneic transplantation develops. RIC regimens range from nearly total myeloablative to minimally myeloablative with lymphoablation, with intensity tailored to specific diseases and patient condition. Patients who undergo RIC with allo-HCT initially demonstrate donor
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cell engraftment and bone marrow mixed chimerism. Most will subsequently convert to full-donor chimerism. In this review, the term reduced-intensity conditioning will refer to all conditioning regimens intended to be nonmyeloablative.

**Targeted Chemotherapy and Autologous Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for the Treatment of Hodgkin Lymphoma**

A recent important development in the Hodgkin lymphoma treatment landscape is the emergence of several novel agents that are now being used as alternatives to stem cell transplantation in patients at high-risk for relapse after chemotherapy or relapse following autologous HCT. These agents include brentuximab vedotin, a CD30-directed antibody-drug conjugate, and nivolumab and pembrolizumab which are 2 programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) blocking antibodies. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulatory status of these agents for the treatment of HL is summarized in Table 2.

Brentuximab vedotin was evaluated in a large, phase 3, multinational, double-blind randomized controlled trial known as the AETHERA trial (abbreviation definition unknown). Moskowitz et al (2015) reported on the outcomes for 329 individuals with HL with risk factors for post-transplantation relapse or progression (eg, primary refractory HL, relapse <12 months after initial therapy, and/or relapse with extranodal disease). Results showed that early consolidation with brentuximab vedotin after autologous HCT significantly improved 2-year progression-free survival (PFS) versus placebo (63% versus 51%, hazard ratio [HR] 0.57; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.40 to 0.81). At 5-year follow-up, the significant PFS benefit for brentuximab vedotin persisted (59% versus 41%; HR 0.52; 95% CI, 0.38 to 0.72). In addition, a study by Smith et al (2018) of tandem autologous HCT observed that the 2-year PFS of 63% for brentuximab vedotin demonstrated in the AETHERA RCT "matches" the 2-year PFS rates for tandem autologous HCT.

A survival benefit with novel agents has been found in the setting of relapse post-autologous HCT. Bair et al (2017) reported a retrospective comparative analysis that evaluated the outcomes of 87 individuals with relapsed/refractory HL who had relapsed post-autologous HCT. Compared to individuals who did not receive any novel agents, those that received novel agents, including brentuximab vedotin or nivolumab, experienced a significant improvement in median overall survival (85.6 versus 17.1 months; \(P<.001\)). The availability of safe and effective targeted systemic therapy represents an alternative to the use of a second autologous transplant or planned tandem autologous HCT for HL consolidation treatment or relapse/refractory disease treatment.
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**FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval**

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
The FDA regulates human cells and tissues intended for implantation, transplantation, or infusion through the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, under Code of Federal Regulation, title 21, parts 1270 and 1271. Hematopoietic stem cells are included in these regulations.

Table 2 describes several novel agents that have been approved by the FDA for use as alternatives to tandem autologous HCT or a second autologous HCT in individuals at high-risk for, or with, respectively, refractory or relapsed HL following autologous HCT.

**Table 2. Novel agents Approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>BLA</th>
<th>Type of agent</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>FDA-approved indications for post-autologous HCT use</th>
<th>Date FDA approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Brentuximab vedotin           | 125388| CD30-directed antibody-drug conjugate | Seattle Genetics   | • Classical HL at high risk of relapse or progression as post-autologous HCT consolidation  
• Classical HL after failure of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation | Aug 2015          |
| Nivolumab                     | 125554| Programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) | Bristol Myers Squibb | Classical HL that has relapsed or progressed after autologous HCT and                                                | May 2016          |
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| Pembrolizumab | 125514 | Programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) blocking antibody | Merck Sharp Dohme | Adult and pediatric patients with refractory classical HL, or who have relapsed after 3 or more prior lines of therapy¹ | Mar 2017 |

BLA: Biologic License Application; FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; HL: Hodgkin Lymphoma; HCT: Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation

¹ In the pivotal trial, a multicenter, nonrandomized, open-label study, prior lines of therapy included prior autologous HCT (61%) and brentuximab (83%)

**Rationale/Source**

**Description**

Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) results from a clonal expansion of a B-cell lineage, characterized by the presence of Reed-Sternberg cells on pathology. Standard treatment is based on the stage at presentation and may involve chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy. Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) has been used for HL, particularly in the setting of relapse or refractory disease.

**Summary of Evidence**

**Autologous Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation**

For individuals who have Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) who receive autologous HCT as first-line therapy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival (DSS), change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. RCTs of autologous HCT as first-line treatment have reported that this therapy does not provide additional benefit compared with conventional chemotherapy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.
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For individuals who have relapsed or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma who receive autologous HCT, the evidence includes RCTs, a meta-analysis, nonrandomized comparative studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Two RCTs in patients with relapsed or refractory disease have reported a benefit in progression-free survival and a trend toward a benefit in OS. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma after an autologous HCT who receive a second autologous HCT, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. No RCTs or nonrandomized comparative studies were identified. In a case series, treatment-related mortality at 100 days was 11%; at a median follow-up of 72 months, the mortality rate was 73%. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation
For individuals who have Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) who receive allogeneic stem cell transplant (allo-HCT) as first-line therapy, the evidence includes no published studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. No studies specifically addressing allo-HCT as first-line treatment for HL were identified. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have relapsed or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma who receive allo-HCT, the evidence includes a number of case series and a meta-analysis. Relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. A 2016 meta-analysis identified 38 case series evaluating allo-HCT for relapsed or refractory HL. The pooled analysis found a 6-month OS rate of 83% and a 3-year OS of 50%. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma after autologous HCT who receive allo-HCT, the evidence includes case series and a meta-analysis. Relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. A 2016 meta-analysis of 38 case series found that a previous autologous HCT followed by allo-HCT was significantly associated with higher 1- and 2-year OS rates and significantly higher recurrence-free survival rates.
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at 1 year compared with no previous autologous HCT. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have relapsed or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma who receive reduced-intensity conditioning with allo-HCT, the evidence includes case series, cohort studies, and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. A 2015 systematic review cited a number of studies, including some with comparison groups, showing acceptable outcomes after reduced-intensity conditioning with allo-HCT in patients with relapsed or refractory HL. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

**Tandem Autologous Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation**
For individuals who have Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) who receive tandem autologous HCT, the evidence includes nonrandomized comparative studies and case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, change in disease status, morbid events, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. One prospective, nonrandomized study reported that, in patients with poor prognostic markers, response to tandem autologous HCT might be higher than for single autologous HCT. This study was not definitive due to potential selection bias; RCTs are needed to determine the impact of tandem autologous HCT on health outcomes in this population. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

**Additional Information**

**2020 Input**
Clinical input was sought to help determine whether the use of either second autologous HCT for relapsed HL or tandem autologous HCT for HL would provide a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and whether the use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. In response to requests, clinical input was received from 4 respondents, including 3 complete responses including 2 physician-level responses identified through specialty societies and 1 physician-level response identified through an academic medical center.

For individuals with relapsed HL after an autologous HCT who receive second autologous HCT, clinical input does not support a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and does not indicate this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice.
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For individuals with HL who receive tandem autologous HCT, clinical input does not support a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and does not indicate this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice.

**Supplemental Information**

**Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

2020

Clinical input was sought to help determine whether the use of either second autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) for relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) or tandem autologous HCT for HL would provide a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and whether the use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. In response to requests, clinical input was received from 4 respondents, including 3 complete responses including 2 physician-level responses identified through specialty societies and 1 physician-level response identified through an academic medical center.

For individuals with relapsed HL after an autologous HCT who receive second autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation, clinical input does not support a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and does not indicate this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice.

For individuals with HL who receive tandem autologous HCT, clinical input does not support a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and does not indicate this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice.
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Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guidelines
Current National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines for HL (v.2.2020) include a recommendation for autologous or allogeneic HCT in patients with biopsy-proven refractory disease who have undergone second-line systemic therapy and are Deauville stage 5 according to restaging based on findings from positron emission tomography or computed tomography. Additionally, in patients with biopsy-proven refractory disease who have undergone second-line systemic therapy and are Deauville stage 1-3 according to restaging based on findings from positron emission tomography or computed tomography, high-dose therapy and autologous stem cell rescue plus either observation or brentuximab vendotin for 1 year is recommended for patients with high-risk of relapse.

American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation
In 2015, guidelines were published by the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation on indications for autologous and allogeneic HCT. Recommendations described the current consensus on the use of HCT in and out of the clinical trial setting. The Society recommendations on HL are provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Recommendations for Use of HCT to Treat Hodgkin Lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Allogeneic HCT</th>
<th>Autologous HCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First complete response (PET negative)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First complete response (PET positive)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary refractory, sensitive</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary refractory, resistant</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First relapse, sensitive</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First relapse, resistant</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second or greater relapse</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2015, the Society also published guidelines on the role of cytotoxic therapy with HCT in patients with HL. Select recommendations are shown in Table 4.

**Table 4. Recommendations on Use of Cytotoxic Therapy with HCT to Treat Hodgkin Lymphoma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>GOR</th>
<th>Highest LOE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autologous HCT should not be offered as first-line therapy for advanced disease</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous HCT should be offered as first-line therapy for patients who fail to achieve CR</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous HCT should be offered as salvage therapy over nontransplantation (except localized disease or in patients with low-stage disease)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autologous HCT should be offered to pediatric patients with primary refractory disease or high-risk relapse who respond to salvage therapy</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tandem autologous HCT is not routinely recommended in standard-risk patients</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allogeneic HCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allo-HCT should be used for relapse after ASCT instead of conventional therapy</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC is the recommended regimen intensity</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All donor sources can be considered</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are limited data for tandem autologous HCT/allo-HCT</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allo-HCT is preferred over autologous HCT as second HCT (except in late relapse)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**American College of Radiology**

In 2016, the American College of Radiology issued an Appropriateness Criteria on recurrent HL. The criteria stated that while salvage therapy followed by autologous HCT is standard of care for relapsed HL, alternative therapies may be considered in select patients. For example, there is evidence that in patients with small isolated relapses occurring more than 3 years after initial presentation, a course of radiotherapy or combined modality therapy without autologous HCT may be considered. Also, radiotherapy may be considered as part of combined modality therapy for patients with local relapse after treatment with chemotherapy alone or for relapses outside of the original site of disease.

**U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations**

Not applicable.
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Medicare National Coverage
Autologous HCT is considered reasonable and necessary and is covered under Medicare (NCD 110.23 [formerly 110.8.1]) for patients with “[a]dvanced Hodgkin’s disease who have failed conventional therapy and have no HLA [human leukocyte antigen]-matched donor.”

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials
Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 5.

Table 5. Summary of Key Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00574496</td>
<td>An Intention-to-Treat Study of Salvage Chemotherapy Followed by Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant for the Treatment of High-Risk or Relapsed Hodgkin Lymphoma</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Nov 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01203020</td>
<td>Once Daily Targeted Intravenous (IV) Busulfex as Part of Reduced-toxicity Conditioning for Patients With Refractory Lymphomas Undergoing Allogeneic Transplantation</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Jun 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCT: national clinical trial.
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<th>Action Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/06/2001</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/25/2002</td>
<td>Managed Care Advisory Council approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/2002</td>
<td>Format revision. No substance change to policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/07/2004</td>
<td>Medical Director review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/18/2004</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee review. Format revision. High-Dose Chemotherapy and Hematopoietic Stem Cell Support for Hodgkin’s Disease policy developed separately from current HDC with Hematopoietic Stem Cell Support policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/28/2004</td>
<td>Managed Care Advisory Council approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/03/2005</td>
<td>Medical Director review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/17/2005</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee review. Coverage eligibility unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/2005</td>
<td>Managed Care Advisory Council approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/03/2006</td>
<td>Medical Director review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/17/2006</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee approval. Format revision, including addition of FDA and or other governmental regulatory approval and rationale/source. Coverage eligibility unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/04/2007</td>
<td>Medical Director review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/18/2007</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee approval. No change in coverage eligibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/02/2008</td>
<td>Medical Director review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/16/2008</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee approval. No change to coverage eligibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/02/2009</td>
<td>Medical Director review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/15/2009</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee approval. Title changed to match BCBSA. No change to coverage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/08/2010</td>
<td>Medical Policy Committee approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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04/21/2010  Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added tandem autologous HSCT to be eligible for coverage with criteria. Added reduced-intensity allogeneic HSCT to treat Hodgkin Lymphoma to be eligible for coverage with criteria. Added that a second autologous stem-cell transplantation for relapsed lymphoma after a prior autologous HSCT to be investigational. Updated background/overview, rationale and references.

04/07/2011  Medical Policy Committee review

04/12/2012  Medical Policy Committee review
04/25/2012  Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

05/02/2013  Medical Policy Committee review
05/22/2013  Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

05/01/2014  Medical Policy Committee review
05/21/2014  Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

05/07/2015  Medical Policy Committee review
05/20/2015  Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

08/03/2015  Coding update: ICD10 Diagnosis code section added; ICD9 Procedure code section removed.

05/05/2016  Medical Policy Committee review
05/18/2016  Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged

01/01/2017  Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes
05/04/2017  Medical Policy Committee review
05/17/2017  Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. “Stem” removed from title and policy. Removed statement on reduced intensity allogenic HCT, added coverage statement for allogenic HCT, using either myeloablative or reduced-intensity conditioning regimens in patients with primary refractory or relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma. Added a policy guidelines section.

05/03/2018  Medical Policy Committee review
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05/16/2018 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
05/02/2019 Medical Policy Committee review
05/15/2019 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
05/07/2020 Medical Policy Committee review
05/13/2020 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
05/06/2021 Medical Policy Committee review
05/12/2021 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Tandem autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation changed from eligible for coverage to investigational.

Next Scheduled Review Date: 5/2022

Coding
The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), copyright 2020 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, misuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.
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CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>38204, 38205, 38206, 38207, 38208, 38209, 38210, 38211, 38212, 38213, 38214, 38215, 38230, 38232, 38240, 38241, 38242, 38243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCPCS</td>
<td>S2140, S2142, S2150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 Diagnosis</td>
<td>C81.00-C81.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or

B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

1. Consultation with the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association technology assessment program (TEC) or other nonaffiliated technology evaluation center(s);
2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
3. Reference to federal regulations.

**Medically Necessary (or “Medical Necessity”) - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:
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A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, “nationally accepted standards of medical practice” means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient’s health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.