

Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider wireless capsule endoscopy (CE) of the small bowel to be **eligible for coverage.****

Patient Selection Criteria

Coverage eligibility for wireless capsule endoscopy (CE) of the small bowel will be considered when ANY of the following criteria are met:

- Suspected small bowel bleeding, as evidenced by prior inconclusive upper and lower gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopic studies performed during the current episode of illness; OR
- Initial diagnosis in individuals with suspected Crohn disease (CD) without evidence of disease on conventional diagnostic tests such as small bowel follow-through (SBFT) and upper and lower endoscopy; OR
- In individuals with an established diagnosis of Crohn disease (CD), when there are unexpected change(s) in the course of disease or response to treatment, suggesting the initial diagnosis may be incorrect and re-examination may be indicated; OR
- For surveillance of the small bowel in individuals with hereditary gastrointestinal (GI)
 polyposis syndromes, including familial adenomatous polyposis and Peutz-Jeghers
 syndrome.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

The use of wireless capsule endoscopy (CE) of the small bowel when patient selection criteria are not met is considered to be **investigational.***

Based on review of available data, the Company considers other indications of wireless capsule endoscopy (CE), including but not limited to the following, to be **investigational*:**

- Evaluation of the extent of involvement of known Crohn disease (CD) or ulcerative colitis;
 or
- Evaluation of the esophagus, in individuals with gastroesophageal reflux (GERD) or other esophageal pathologies; or
- Evaluation of other gastrointestinal (GI) diseases and conditions not presenting with gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, including but not limited to, celiac sprue, irritable bowel syndrome, Lynch syndrome (risk for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer), portal hypertensive enteropathy, small bowel neoplasm and unexplained chronic abdominal pain; or
- Evaluation of the colon, including but not limited to, detection of colonic polyps or colon cancer; or
- Initial evaluation of individuals with acute upper gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding; or
- Evaluation of individuals with evidence of lower GI bleeding and major risks for colonoscopy or moderate sedation; or
- Evaluation of individuals following incomplete colonoscopy.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the patency capsule, including use to evaluate patency of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract before wireless capsule endoscopy (CE), to be investigational.*

Based on review of available data, the Company considers magnetic capsule endoscopy for the evaluation of individuals with unexplained upper abdominal complaints and all other indications, to be **investigational.***

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Background/Overview

Health and Health Outcome Disparities in Certain Populations

Screening for colon cancer is suboptimal in the U.S., with only 68.8% of Americans age 50 to 75 years up-to-date with colorectal cancer screening as of 2018. Additionally, screening rates vary considerably by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status in the U.S, with highest rates of screening occurring in White Americans (71.1%) and the lowest rates of screening among Hispanic Americans (56.1%). Black Americans (70.1%), American Indian/Native Americans (62.1%), and Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders (64.8%) have lower screening rates than White Americans. These disparities seem to be associated with limited access to care, a lack of knowledge on family history, and adverse social determinants of health.

As of 2018, the mortality rate for colorectal cancer had decreased by 53% among men and by 30% in women since 1990 and 1969, respectively. However, colorectal cancer incidence and mortality rates vary between racial and ethnic groups. Between 2012 and 2016, reported incidence rates were highest in non-Hispanic Black individuals, accounting for 45.7 per 100,000 population, and lowest in Asian/Pacific Islander individuals, accounting for 30.0 per 100,000 population. The magnitude of disparity is more evident in mortality rates. Colorectal cancer death rates in non-Hispanic Black individuals (19.0 per 100,000 population) between 2013 and 2017 were nearly 40% higher than those in non-Hispanic White individuals (13.8 per 100,000) and twice that of Asian/Pacific Islander individuals (9.5 per 100,000). Disparities have been attributed to many socioeconomic and social determinants of health, including low median family income, higher prevalence of risk factors, and lower rates of screening and likelihood of timely follow-up.

Wireless Capsule Endoscopy

Wireless capsule endoscopy (CE) is performed using the PillCam Given Diagnostic Imaging System (previously called M2A), which is a disposable imaging capsule manufactured by Given Imaging. The capsule measures 11 by 30 mm and contains video imaging, self-illumination, and image transmission modules, as well as a battery supply that lasts up to 8 hours. The indwelling camera takes images at a rate of 2 frames per second as peristalsis carries the capsule through the gastrointestinal tract. The average transit time from ingestion to evacuation is 24 hours. The device uses wireless radio transmission to send the images to a receiving recorder device that the patient wears around the waist. This receiving device also contains localizing antennae sensors that can

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

roughly gauge where the image was taken over the abdomen. Images are then downloaded onto a workstation for viewing and processing.

Capsule endoscopy has been proposed as a method for identifying Crohn disease. There is no single criterion standard diagnostic test for Crohn disease; rather, diagnosis is based on a constellation of findings. Thus it is difficult to determine the diagnostic characteristics of various tests used to diagnose the condition and difficult to determine a single comparator diagnostic test to CE.

Magnetic Capsule Endoscopy

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved a novel magnetically maneuvered CE system (NaviCam^{™‡}; AnX Robotica, Inc.) in May 2020. This system consists of a single-use ingestible capsule and magnet linked to a physician-operated console. The capsule contains a camera that wirelessly captures images of the desired anatomy. The console allows the operator to control the motion and direction of the capsule, ensuring visualization of the entire stomach. The system is non-invasive, does not require sedation, and has a procedural time of approximately 15 to 20 minutes. The capsule leaves the body in 24 hours on average but may take as long as 2 weeks. The device is contraindicated for use in patients with gastrointestinal obstruction, stenosis, fistula, or those with dysphagia. Other contraindications include patients with cardiac pacemakers or other implantable electronic medical devices as well as pregnant women, those less than 22 years of age, and those with a body mass index of 38 or greater.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Table 1 summarizes various wireless CE devices with clearance by the FDA.

Code used: NEZ

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Table 1. Wireless Capsule Endoscopy Devices Cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Device	Manufacturer	Date Cleared	510(k) No.	Indication
Pillcam SB 3 Capsule Endoscopy System, Pillcam Software 9.0e	Given Imaging Ltd.	8/27/2021	K211684	For visualization of the small bowel mucosa. It may be used in the visualization and monitoring of: lesions that may indicate Crohn's disease not detected by upper and lower endoscopy; lesions that may be a source of obscure bleeding not detected by upper and lower endoscopy; lesions that may be potential causes of iron deficiency anemia not detected by upper and lower endoscopy.
NaviCam Stomach Capsule System	AnX Robotica, Inc.	5/22/2020	K203192	For visualization of the stomach of adults (≥22 years) with a body mass index <38. The system can be used in clinics and hospitals, including emergency room settings.
CapsoCam Plus (SV-3)	CapsoVision Inc.	4/19/2019	K183192	For visualization of the small bowel mucosa in adults. It may be used as a tool in the detection of abnormalities of the small bowel.
Olympus Small Intestinal Capsule Endoscope System	Olympus Medical Systems Corp.	3/5/2019	K183053	For visualization of the small intestine mucosa.
MiroCam Capsule Endoscope System	IntroMedic Co. Ltd.	11/8/2018	K180732	May be used as a tool in the detection of abnormalities of the small bowel and this device is

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

				indicated for adults and children from 2 years of age.
Olympus Small Intestinal Capsule Endoscope System	Olympus Medical Systems Corp.	3/13/2018	K173459	May be used in the visualization and monitoring of lesions that may indicate Crohn's disease not detected by upper and lower endoscopy It may be used in the visualization and monitoring of lesions that may be a source of obscure bleeding (either overt or occult) not detected by upper and lower endoscopy. It may be used in the visualization and monitoring of lesions that may be potential causes of iron deficiency anemia (IDA) not detected by upper and lower endoscopy. The Red Color Detection Function is intended to mark frames of the video suspected of containing blood or red areas.
PillCam Patency System	Given Imaging Ltd.	3/8/2018	K180171	Intended to verify adequate patency of the gastrointestinal tract prior to administration of the PillCam video capsule in patients with known or suspected strictures.
MiroCam Capsule Endoscope System	IntroMedic Co. Ltd.	1/30/2018	K170438	For visualization of the small intestine mucosa.
PillCam SBC capsule endoscopy system	Given Imaging Ltd.	9/1/2017	K170210	For visualization of the small intestine mucosa.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

PilCam Desktop Software 9.0				
RAPID Web	Given Imaging Ltd.	5/26/2017	K170839	Intended for visualization of the small bowel mucosa.
AdvanCE capsule endoscope delivery device	United States Endoscopy Group Inc.	3/10/2017	K163495	Intended for visualization of the small bowel mucosa.
OLYMPUS SMALL INTESTINAL CAPSULE ENDOSCOPE SYSTEM	OLYMPUS MEDICAL SYSTEMS CORP.	1/19/2017	K163069	Intended for visualization of the small bowel mucosa.
CapsoCam Plus (SV-3) Capsule Endoscope System	CapsoVision Inc	10/21/2016	K161773	Intended for visualization of the small bowel mucosa.
CapsoCam (SV-1)	CapsoVision Inc.	2/9/2016	K151635	For use in diagnosing disorders of the small bowel, esophagus, and colon.
PillCam COLON2	Given ^{®‡} Imaging	1/14/2016	K153466	Detection of colon polyps in patients after an incomplete colonoscopy and a complete evaluation of the colon was not technically possible, and for detection of colon polyps in patients with evidence of GI bleeding of lower GI origin with major risks for colonoscopy or moderate sedation, but who could tolerate colonoscopy or moderate sedation in the event a

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

				clinically significant colon abnormality was identified on capsule endoscopy.
MiroCam Capsule Endoscope System	INTROMEDIC CO. LTD	3/17/2015	K143663	Intended for visualization of the small bowel mucosa.
ENDOCAPSULE SOFTWARE 10; ENDOCAPSULE SOFTWARE 10 LIGHT	OLYMPUS MEDICAL SYSTEMS CORP.	2/8/2015	K142680	Intended for visualization of the small bowel mucosa.

GI: gastrointestinal.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Description

The wireless capsule endoscopy (CE) uses a noninvasive device to visualize segments of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Patients swallow a capsule that records images of the intestinal mucosa as it passes through the GI tract. The capsule is collected after being excreted and images are interpreted.

Summary of Evidence

Patients With Suspected GI Disorders

For individuals who have suspected small bowel bleeding (previously referred to as obscure gastrointestinal [GI] bleeding) who receive wireless capsule endoscopy (CE), the evidence includes numerous case series evaluating patients with a nondiagnostic standard workup and a randomized

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

controlled trial (RCT). Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. The evidence has demonstrated that CE can identify a bleeding source in a substantial number of patients who cannot be diagnosed by other methods, with a low incidence of adverse events. Because there are few other options for diagnosing obscure small bowel bleeding in patients with negative upper and lower endoscopy, this technique will likely improve health outcomes by directing specific treatment when a bleeding source is identified. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have suspected small bowel Crohn disease (CD) who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. Although the test performance characteristics and diagnostic yields of the capsule for this indication are uncertain, the diagnostic yields are as good as or better than other diagnostic options, and these data are likely to improve health outcomes by identifying some cases of CD and directing specific treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have suspected celiac disease who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series and diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. The diagnostic characteristics of CE are inadequate to substitute for other modalities or to triage patients to other modalities. For other conditions (eg, determining the extent of CD), direct evidence of improved outcomes or a strong indirect chain of evidence to improved outcomes is lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have unexplained chronic abdominal pain who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series and diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. The diagnostic characteristics of CE are inadequate to substitute for other modalities or to triage patients to other modalities. For other conditions (eg, determining the extent of CD), direct evidence of improved outcomes or a strong chain of evidence to improved outcomes is lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Patients With Confirmed Gastrointestinal Disorders

For individuals who have an established diagnosis of CD who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies, a systematic review, and a retrospective cohort study. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. A 2017 systematic review of 11 studies in patients with established CD found a similar diagnostic yield with CE and with radiography. Because there is evidence that the diagnostic yields are as good as or better than other diagnostic options, there is indirect evidence that CE is likely to improve health outcomes by identifying some cases of CD and directing specific treatment. A retrospective cohort study demonstrated therapeutic management changes based on CE results. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have ulcerative colitis who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series and diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. Several diagnostic accuracy studies have compared CE with colonoscopy to assess disease activity in patients with ulcerative colitis. Two of 3 studies were small (ie, <50 patients) and thus data on diagnostic accuracy are limited. Direct evidence of improved outcomes and a strong chain of evidence to improved outcomes are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have esophageal disorders who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series and diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. Other available modalities are superior to CE. The diagnostic characteristics of CE are inadequate to substitute for other modalities or to triage patients to other modalities. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have hereditary GI polyposis syndromes who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series and diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. The data are insufficient to determine whether evaluation with CE would improve patient outcomes. Further information on the prevalence and natural history of small bowel polyps in Lynch syndrome patients is necessary. At present, surveillance of the small bowel is not generally recommended as a routine intervention for

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

patients with Lynch syndrome. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have portal hypertensive enteropathy who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series and diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, and change in disease status. Systematic reviews of studies of CE's diagnostic performance for this indication have reported limited sensitivity and specificity. Due to insufficient data on diagnostic accuracy, a chain of evidence on clinical utility cannot be constructed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Acute Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding

For individuals who have acute upper GI tract bleeding who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes RCTs and several cohort studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, hospitalizations, and resource utilization. The use of CE in the emergency department setting for suspected upper GI bleeding is intended to avoid unnecessary hospitalization or immediate endoscopy. Controlled studies are needed to assess further the impact of CE on health outcomes compared with standard management. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Colon Cancer Screening

For individuals who are screened for colon cancer who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, test accuracy, and other test performance measures. Studies of CE in screening populations are necessary to determine the diagnostic characteristics of the test in this setting. Studies of diagnostic characteristics alone are insufficient evidence to determine the efficacy of CE for colon cancer screening. Because diagnostic performance is worse than standard colonoscopy, CE would need to be performed more frequently than standard colonoscopy to have comparable efficacy. Without direct evidence of efficacy in a clinical trial of colon cancer screening using CE, modeling studies using established mathematical models of colon precursor incidence and progression to cancer could provide estimates of efficacy in preventing colon cancer mortality. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Lower Gastrointestinal Tract Bleeding and Major Risks for Colonoscopy or Moderate Sedation

For individuals who are screened for colon polyps with evidence of lower GI tract bleeding and major risks for colonoscopy or moderate sedation who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy, test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, change in disease status, and resource utilization. Studies of CE in the intended use population are necessary to determine the diagnostic characteristics of the test in the triage setting. Studies of diagnostic characteristics alone are insufficient evidence to determine the clinical utility of CE in this population, and no studies adequately assess the impact of findings on specific health outcomes or patient adherence. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Incomplete Colonoscopy

For individuals who are screened for colon polyps following an incomplete colonoscopy with adequate preparation who receive wireless CE, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy, test validity, other test performance measures, symptoms, change in disease status, and resource utilization. Studies of CE compared to standard management with repeat colonoscopy in the intended use population are necessary to determine the diagnostic characteristics of the test in the triage setting. Studies of diagnostic characteristics alone are insufficient evidence to determine the clinical utility of CE in this population, and no studies adequately assess the impact of findings on specific health outcomes or patient adherence. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Patency Capsule for Patients with Bowel Stricture

For individuals who are scheduled to undergo CE for known or suspected small bowel stricture who receive a patency capsule, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity, The available studies have reported that CE following a successful patency capsule test results in high rates of success with low rates of adverse events. The capsule is also associated with adverse events. Because of the lack of comparative data to other diagnostic strategies, it is not possible to determine whether the use of the patency capsule improves the net health outcome. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Magnetic Capsule Endoscopy for Patients with Suspected Gastrointestinal Disorders

For individuals who have unexplained upper abdominal complaints who receive magnetic CE, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. Studies evaluating the diagnostic characteristics of magnetic CE as compared to conventional gastroscopy in the target population have generally demonstrated similar accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, with increases in patient preference and an acceptable safety profile with the magnetic CE approach. However, the diagnostic characteristics of magnetic CE are inadequate to substitute for other modalities or to triage patients to other modalities based on the current literature. Direct evidence of improved outcomes or a strong chain of evidence to improved outcomes is lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American College of Gastroenterology

In 2013, the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) issued guidelines on the diagnosis and management of celiac disease. The guidelines recommended that capsule endoscopy (CE) not be used for initial diagnosis, except for patients with positive celiac-specific serology who are unwilling or unable to undergo upper endoscopy with biopsy (strong recommendation, moderate level of evidence). These guidelines were updated in 2023, with no mention of CE.

In 2018, the ACG updated its guidelines on the management of Crohn Disease (CD) in adults. It makes 2 recommendations specific to video capsule endoscopy:

- "Video capsule endoscopy (VCE) is a useful adjunct in the diagnosis of patients with small bowel Crohn disease in patients in whom there is a high index of suspicion of disease."
- "Patients with obstructive symptoms should have small bowel imaging and/or patency capsule evaluation before VCE to decrease risk of capsule retention."

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

These recommendations are based on multiple studies. Capsule endoscopy was found to be "superior to small bowel barium studies, computed tomography enterography (CTE) and ileocolonoscopy in patients with suspected CD, with incremental yield of diagnosis of 32%, 47%, and 22%, respectively....Capsule endoscopy has a high negative predictive value of 96%."

In 2015, the ACG issued guidelines on the diagnosis and management of small bowel bleeding (including using "small bowel bleeding" to replace "obscure GI [gastrointestinal] bleeding," which should be reserved for patients in whom a source of bleeding cannot be identified anywhere in the GI tract). As of November 2023, a guideline update is in progress. The 2015 guidelines made the following statements related to video CE (Table 2).

Table 2. Recommendations on Diagnosis and Management of Small Bowel Bleeding

Recommendation	SOR	LOE
" VCE should be considered as a first-line procedure for SB evaluation after upper and lower GI sources have been excluded, including second-look endoscopy when indicated"	Strong	Moderate
"VCE should be performed before deep enteroscopy to increase diagnostic yield. Initial deep enteroscopy can be considered in cases of massive hemorrhage or when VCE is contraindicated"	Strong	High

GI: gastrointestinal; LOE: level of evidence; SB: small bowel; SOR: strength of recommendation; VCE: video capsule endoscopy.

In 2021, the ACG issued guidelines on colorectal cancer screening. They "suggest consideration of the following screening tests for individuals unable or unwilling to undergo a colonoscopy or FIT [fecal immunochemical testing]: flexible sigmoidoscopy, multitarget stool DNA test, CT [computed tomography] colongraphy, or colon capsule [capsule endoscopy]" (conditional recommendation, very low quality of evidence).

American Gastroenterological Association Institute

In 2017, the American Gastroenterological Association Institute issued guidelines on the use of CE. Table 3 summarizes the most relevant recommendations (not all recommendations are included).

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Table 3. AGA 2017 Capsule Endoscopy Recommendations

Statement number	Recommendation	Grade	QOE
Recommend	lations supporting the use of CE		
1	For suspected CD, with negative ileocolonoscopy and imaging studies (CE of small bowel)	Strong	Very low
2	For CD and clinical features unexplained by ileocolonoscopy or imaging studies	Strong	Very low
3	For CD, when assessment of small-bowel mucosal healing (beyond reach of ileocolonoscopy) is needed	Conditional	Very low
4	For suspected small-bowel recurrence of CD after colectomy, undiagnosed by ileocolonoscopy or imaging studies	Strong	Very low
7	For celiac disease with unexplained symptoms despite treatment and appropriate investigations	Strong	Very low (efficacy)Low (safety)
8	For documented overt GI bleeding (excluding hematoemesis) and negative findings on high-quality EGD and colonoscopy	Strong	Very low
9	For overt, obscure bleeding episode, as soon as possible	Strong	Very low
10	With prior negative CE with repeated obscure bleeding, repeated studies (endoscopy, colonoscopy and/or CE)	Strong	Very low

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

11	For suspected obscure bleeding and unexplained mild chronic iron-deficiency anemia, in selected cases	Strong	Very low
12	For polyposis syndromes, which require small bowel studies, for ongoing surveillance	Conditional	Very low (efficacy) Low (safety)
Recommend	lations against the use of CE		
5	For diagnosing CD when chronic abdominal pain or diarrhea are only symptoms, and with no evidence of biomarkers associated with CD	Conditional	Low
6	For diagnosing celiac disease	Strong	Very low (efficacy) Low (safety)
13	For routine substitution of colonoscopy	Strong	Very low
14	For IBD, as substitute for colonoscopy to assess extent and severity of disease	Strong	Very low (efficacy) Low (safety)

AGA: American Gastroenterological Association; CD: Crohn disease; CE: capsule endoscopy; EGD: esophagogastroduodenoscopy; GI: gastrointestinal; IBD: inflammatory bowel disease; QOE: quality of evidence.

American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

In 2017, the American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy released guidelines for the use of endoscopy in the management of suspected small bowel bleeding. These guidelines made the following recommendations on capsule endoscopy (Table 4).

Table 4. Recommendations on Use of Endoscopy to Manage Suspected Small Bowel Bleeding

Tuble is recommendations on est of Endoscopy to Franke Suspected Small Box	<u> </u>
Recommendation	QOE
We suggest VCE as the initial test for patients with overt or occult small-bowel bleeding. Positive VCE results should be followed with push enteroscopy if within reach or DAE."	Moderate

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

"We suggest DAE or push enteroscopy if VCE is unavailable or nondiagnostic in patients with overt small bowel bleeding."

Moderate

DAE: device-assisted enteroscopy; QOE: quality of evidence; VCE: video capsule endoscopy.

U.S. Multi-Society Task Force

The U.S. Multi-Society Task Force (2017) issued recommendations for colorectal cancer screening with representation from the ACG, the American Gastroenterological Association, and the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Capsule endoscopy every 5 years received a tier 3 ranking with the following recommendation:

• "We suggest that capsule colonoscopy (if available) is an appropriate screening test when patients decline colonoscopy, FIT, FIT-fecal DNA, CT colonography, and flexible sigmoidoscopy (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)."

In tandem with the U.S. Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) 2021 recommendations, the Multi-Society Task Force released a focused update to these guidelines in 2021, however, no changes were made regarding CE.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The USPSTF published its most recent recommendations for colorectal cancer screening in 2021. Colorectal cancer screening was recommended starting at age 50 years and continuing until age 75 years (A recommendation) and in adults aged 45 to 49 years (B recommendation). The USPSTF recommendation for screening for colorectal cancer does not include serum tests, urine tests, or CE for colorectal cancer screening because of the limited available evidence on these tests and because other effective tests are available.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 5.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Table 5. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT04472364	Impact of Blood Detection Capsule "HemoPill Acute" on the Time to Emergency Endoscopy in Case of Suspected Nonvariceal Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding	72	Dec 2024
NCT02738359	Efficacy of Colonoscopy, Colon Capsule and Fecal Immunological Test for Colorectal Cancer Screening, in First Degree Relatives of Patients With Colorectal Neoplasia: a Prospective Randomized Study	3250	Nov 2023
NCT04307901	Safety of Colorectal Assessment and Tumor Evaluation by Colon Capsule Endoscopy (SOCRATEC)	600	Dec 2030
NCT05108844	A Randomized Controlled Trial Evaluating the Efficacy of Early Videocapsule Endoscopy Following Negative Gastroscopy in Patients Presenting With Suspected Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding	70	Oct 2023
NCT03616041	Video Capsule Endoscopy for Lesion Localization and Diagnosis in Patients With Severe Hematochezia	50	Jul 2023
Unpublished			
NCT03458000 ^a	Capsule Endoscopy for Hemorrhage in the ER	24	Sep 2020

NCT: national clinical trial.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

References

- 1. Joseph DA, King JB, Dowling NF, et al. Vital Signs: Colorectal Cancer Screening Test Use United States, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. Mar 13 2020; 69(10): 253-259. PMID 32163384
- 2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. May 2020; 70(3): 145-164. PMID 32133645
- 3. Bourreille A, Ignjatovic A, Aabakken L, et al. Role of small-bowel endoscopy in the management of patients with inflammatory bowel disease: an international OMED-ECCO consensus. Endoscopy. Jul 2009; 41(7): 618-37. PMID 19588292
- 4. Cross A, Szoka N. SAGES NaviCam stomach capsule system. March 10, 2021. https://www.sages.org/publications/tavac/navicam-stomach-capsule-system/.
- 5. Koulaouzidis A, Rondonotti E, Giannakou A, et al. Diagnostic yield of small-bowel capsule endoscopy in patients with iron-deficiency anemia: a systematic review. Gastrointest Endosc. Nov 2012; 76(5): 983-92. PMID 23078923
- 6. Leung WK, Ho SS, Suen BY, et al. Capsule endoscopy or angiography in patients with acute overt obscure gastrointestinal bleeding: a prospective randomized study with long-term follow-up. Am J Gastroenterol. Sep 2012; 107(9): 1370-6. PMID 22825363
- 7. Hartmann D, Schmidt H, Bolz G, et al. A prospective two-center study comparing wireless capsule endoscopy with intraoperative enteroscopy in patients with obscure GI bleeding. Gastrointest Endosc. Jun 2005; 61(7): 826-32. PMID 15933683
- 8. Pennazio M, Santucci R, Rondonotti E, et al. Outcome of patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding after capsule endoscopy: report of 100 consecutive cases. Gastroenterology. Mar 2004; 126(3): 643-53. PMID 14988816
- 9. Choi M, Lim S, Choi MG, et al. Effectiveness of Capsule Endoscopy Compared with Other Diagnostic Modalities in Patients with Small Bowel Crohn's Disease: A Meta-Analysis. Gut Liver. Jan 15 2017; 11(1): 62-72. PMID 27728963
- 10. El-Matary W, Huynh H, Vandermeer B. Diagnostic characteristics of given video capsule endoscopy in diagnosis of celiac disease: a meta-analysis. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. Dec 2009; 19(6): 815-20. PMID 19405806
- 11. Rokkas T, Niv Y. The role of video capsule endoscopy in the diagnosis of celiac disease: a meta-analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Mar 2012; 24(3): 303-8. PMID 22266837

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

- 12. Kurien M, Evans KE, Aziz I, et al. Capsule endoscopy in adult celiac disease: a potential role in equivocal cases of celiac disease?. Gastrointest Endosc. Feb 2013; 77(2): 227-32. PMID 23200728
- 13. Culliford A, Daly J, Diamond B, et al. The value of wireless capsule endoscopy in patients with complicated celiac disease. Gastrointest Endosc. Jul 2005; 62(1): 55-61. PMID 15990820
- 14. Xue M, Chen X, Shi L, et al. Small-bowel capsule endoscopy in patients with unexplained chronic abdominal pain: a systematic review. Gastrointest Endosc. Jan 2015; 81(1): 186-93. PMID 25012561
- 15. Yang L, Chen Y, Zhang B, et al. Increased diagnostic yield of capsule endoscopy in patients with chronic abdominal pain. PLoS One. 2014; 9(1): e87396. PMID 24498097
- 16. Kopylov U, Yung DE, Engel T, et al. Diagnostic yield of capsule endoscopy versus magnetic resonance enterography and small bowel contrast ultrasound in the evaluation of small bowel Crohn's disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Dig Liver Dis. Aug 2017; 49(8): 854-863. PMID 28512034
- 17. Bruining DH, Oliva S, Fleisher MR, et al. Panenteric capsule endoscopy versus ileocolonoscopy plus magnetic resonance enterography in Crohn's disease: a multicentre, prospective study. BMJ Open Gastroenterol. Jun 2020; 7(1). PMID 32499275
- 18. Elosua A, Rullan M, Rubio S, et al. Does capsule endoscopy impact clinical management in established Crohn's disease?. Dig Liver Dis. Jan 2022; 54(1): 118-124. PMID 34518128
- 19. Shi HY, Chan FKL, Higashimori A, et al. A prospective study on second-generation colon capsule endoscopy to detect mucosal lesions and disease activity in ulcerative colitis (with video). Gastrointest Endosc. Dec 2017; 86(6): 1139-1146.e6. PMID 28713062
- 20. San Juan-Acosta M, Caunedo-Álvarez A, Argüelles-Arias F, et al. Colon capsule endoscopy is a safe and useful tool to assess disease parameters in patients with ulcerative colitis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Aug 2014; 26(8): 894-901. PMID 24987825
- 21. Oliva S, Di Nardo G, Hassan C, et al. Second-generation colon capsule endoscopy vs. colonoscopy in pediatric ulcerative colitis: a pilot study. Endoscopy. Jun 2014; 46(6): 485-92. PMID 24777427
- 22. Sung J, Ho KY, Chiu HM, et al. The use of Pillcam Colon in assessing mucosal inflammation in ulcerative colitis: a multicenter study. Endoscopy. Aug 2012; 44(8): 754-8. PMID 22696193
- 23. Guturu P, Sagi SV, Ahn D, et al. Capsule endoscopy with PILLCAM ESO for detecting esophageal varices: a meta-analysis. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol. Mar 2011; 57(1): 1-11. PMID 21372764

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

- 24. Bhardwaj A, Hollenbeak CS, Pooran N, et al. A meta-analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of esophageal capsule endoscopy for Barrett's esophagus in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol. Jun 2009; 104(6): 1533-9. PMID 19491867
- 25. Urquhart P, Grimpen F, Lim GJ, et al. Capsule endoscopy versus magnetic resonance enterography for the detection of small bowel polyps in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Fam Cancer. Jun 2014; 13(2): 249-55. PMID 24509884
- 26. Brown G, Fraser C, Schofield G, et al. Video capsule endoscopy in peutz-jeghers syndrome: a blinded comparison with barium follow-through for detection of small-bowel polyps. Endoscopy. Apr 2006; 38(4): 385-90. PMID 16680639
- 27. Mata A, Llach J, Castells A, et al. A prospective trial comparing wireless capsule endoscopy and barium contrast series for small-bowel surveillance in hereditary GI polyposis syndromes. Gastrointest Endosc. May 2005; 61(6): 721-5. PMID 15855978
- 28. Haanstra JF, Al-Toma A, Dekker E, et al. Prevalence of small-bowel neoplasia in Lynch syndrome assessed by video capsule endoscopy. Gut. Oct 2015; 64(10): 1578-83. PMID 25209657
- 29. Saurin JC, Pilleul F, Soussan EB, et al. Small-bowel capsule endoscopy diagnoses early and advanced neoplasms in asymptomatic patients with Lynch syndrome. Endoscopy. Dec 2010; 42(12): 1057-62. PMID 20821360
- 30. McCarty TR, Afinogenova Y, Njei B. Use of Wireless Capsule Endoscopy for the Diagnosis and Grading of Esophageal Varices in Patients With Portal Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Gastroenterol. Feb 2017; 51(2): 174-182. PMID 27548729
- 31. Colli A, Gana JC, Turner D, et al. Capsule endoscopy for the diagnosis of oesophageal varices in people with chronic liver disease or portal vein thrombosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Oct 01 2014; 2014(10): CD008760. PMID 25271409
- 32. Sung JJ, Tang RS, Ching JY, et al. Use of capsule endoscopy in the emergency department as a triage of patients with GI bleeding. Gastrointest Endosc. Dec 2016; 84(6): 907-913. PMID 27156655
- 33. Gutkin E, Shalomov A, Hussain SA, et al. Pillcam ESO(®) is more accurate than clinical scoring systems in risk stratifying emergency room patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Therap Adv Gastroenterol. May 2013; 6(3): 193-8. PMID 23634183
- 34. Chandran S, Testro A, Urquhart P, et al. Risk stratification of upper GI bleeding with an esophageal capsule. Gastrointest Endosc. Jun 2013; 77(6): 891-8. PMID 23453185
- 35. Gralnek IM, Ching JY, Maza I, et al. Capsule endoscopy in acute upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage: a prospective cohort study. Endoscopy. 2013; 45(1): 12-9. PMID 23254402

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

- 36. Spada C, Pasha SF, Gross SA, et al. Accuracy of First- and Second-Generation Colon Capsules in Endoscopic Detection of Colorectal Polyps: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. Nov 2016; 14(11): 1533-1543.e8. PMID 27165469
- 37. Kjølhede T, Ølholm AM, Kaalby L, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of capsule endoscopy compared with colonoscopy for polyp detection: systematic review and meta-analyses. Endoscopy. Jul 2021; 53(7): 713-721. PMID 32858753
- 38. Saito Y, Saito S, Oka S, et al. Evaluation of the clinical efficacy of colon capsule endoscopy in the detection of lesions of the colon: prospective, multicenter, open study. Gastrointest Endosc. Nov 2015; 82(5): 861-9. PMID 25936450
- 39. Morgan DR, Malik PR, Romeo DP, et al. Initial US evaluation of second-generation capsule colonoscopy for detecting colon polyps. BMJ Open Gastroenterol. 2016; 3(1): e000089. PMID 27195129
- 40. Parodi A, Vanbiervliet G, Hassan C, et al. Colon capsule endoscopy to screen for colorectal neoplasia in those with family histories of colorectal cancer. Gastrointest Endosc. Mar 2018; 87(3): 695-704. PMID 28554656
- 41. Cash BD, Fleisher MR, Fern S, et al. Multicentre, prospective, randomised study comparing the diagnostic yield of colon capsule endoscopy versus CT colonography in a screening population (the TOPAZ study). Gut. Nov 2021; 70(11): 2115-2122. PMID 33443017
- 42. Kobaek-Larsen M, Kroijer R, Dyrvig AK, et al. Back-to-back colon capsule endoscopy and optical colonoscopy in colorectal cancer screening individuals. Colorectal Dis. Jun 2018; 20(6): 479-485. PMID 29166546
- 43. Rondonotti E, Borghi C, Mandelli G, et al. Accuracy of capsule colonoscopy and computed tomographic colonography in individuals with positive results from the fecal occult blood test. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. Aug 2014; 12(8): 1303-10. PMID 24398064
- 44. Eliakim R, Yassin K, Niv Y, et al. Prospective multicenter performance evaluation of the second-generation colon capsule compared with colonoscopy. Endoscopy. Dec 2009; 41(12): 1026-31. PMID 19967618
- 45. Franco DL, Leighton JA, Gurudu SR. Approach to Incomplete Colonoscopy: New Techniques and Technologies. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y). Aug 2017; 13(8): 476-483. PMID 28867979
- 46. Hussey M, Holleran G, Stack R, et al. Same-day colon capsule endoscopy is a viable means to assess unexplored colonic segments after incomplete colonoscopy in selected patients. United European Gastroenterol J. Dec 2018; 6(10): 1556-1562. PMID 30574326
- 47. Baltes P, Bota M, Albert J, et al. PillCamColon2 after incomplete colonoscopy A prospective multicenter study. World J Gastroenterol. Aug 21 2018; 24(31): 3556-3566. PMID 30131662

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

- 48. Negreanu L, Babiuc R, Bengus A, et al. PillCam Colon 2 capsule in patients unable or unwilling to undergo colonoscopy. World J Gastrointest Endosc. Nov 16 2013; 5(11): 559-67. PMID 24255748
- 49. Pioche M, de Leusse A, Filoche B, et al. Prospective multicenter evaluation of colon capsule examination indicated by colonoscopy failure or anesthesia contraindication. Endoscopy. Oct 2012; 44(10): 911-6. PMID 22893133
- 50. Nogales Ó, García-Lledó J, Luján M, et al. Therapeutic impact of colon capsule endoscopy with PillCamTM COLON 2 after incomplete standard colonoscopy: a Spanish multicenter study. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. May 2017; 109(5): 322-327. PMID 28229607
- 51. Spada C, Shah SK, Riccioni ME, et al. Video capsule endoscopy in patients with known or suspected small bowel stricture previously tested with the dissolving patency capsule. J Clin Gastroenterol. Jul 2007; 41(6): 576-82. PMID 17577114
- 52. Delvaux M, Ben Soussan E, Laurent V, et al. Clinical evaluation of the use of the M2A patency capsule system before a capsule endoscopy procedure, in patients with known or suspected intestinal stenosis. Endoscopy. Sep 2005; 37(9): 801-7. PMID 16116529
- 53. Herrerias JM, Leighton JA, Costamagna G, et al. Agile patency system eliminates risk of capsule retention in patients with known intestinal strictures who undergo capsule endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc. May 2008; 67(6): 902-9. PMID 18355824
- 54. Postgate AJ, Burling D, Gupta A, et al. Safety, reliability and limitations of the given patency capsule in patients at risk of capsule retention: a 3-year technical review. Dig Dis Sci. Oct 2008; 53(10): 2732-8. PMID 18320313
- 55. Banerjee R, Bhargav P, Reddy P, et al. Safety and efficacy of the M2A patency capsule for diagnosis of critical intestinal patency: results of a prospective clinical trial. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Dec 2007; 22(12): 2060-3. PMID 17614957
- 56. Denzer UW, Rösch T, Hoytat B, et al. Magnetically guided capsule versus conventional gastroscopy for upper abdominal complaints: a prospective blinded study. J Clin Gastroenterol. Feb 2015; 49(2): 101-7. PMID 24618504
- 57. Liao Z, Hou X, Lin-Hu EQ, et al. Accuracy of Magnetically Controlled Capsule Endoscopy, Compared With Conventional Gastroscopy, in Detection of Gastric Diseases. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. Sep 2016; 14(9): 1266-1273.e1. PMID 27211503
- 58. Rubio-Tapia A, Hill ID, Kelly CP, et al. ACG clinical guidelines: diagnosis and management of celiac disease. Am J Gastroenterol. May 2013; 108(5): 656-76; quiz 677. PMID 23609613

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

- 59. Rubio-Tapia A, Hill ID, Semrad C, et al. American College of Gastroenterology Guidelines Update: Diagnosis and Management of Celiac Disease. Am J Gastroenterol. Jan 01 2023; 118(1): 59-76. PMID 36602836
- 60. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Management of Crohn's Disease in Adults. Am J Gastroenterol. Apr 2018; 113(4): 481-517. PMID 29610508
- 61. Gerson LB, Fidler JL, Cave DR, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Diagnosis and Management of Small Bowel Bleeding. Am J Gastroenterol. Sep 2015; 110(9): 1265-87; quiz 1288. PMID 26303132
- 62. American College of Gastroenterology Guidelines. 2023. https://gi.org/guidelines/.
- 63. Shaukat A, Kahi CJ, Burke CA, et al. ACG Clinical Guidelines: Colorectal Cancer Screening 2021. Am J Gastroenterol. Mar 01 2021; 116(3): 458-479. PMID 33657038
- 64. Enns RA, Hookey L, Armstrong D, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Use of Video Capsule Endoscopy. Gastroenterology. Feb 2017; 152(3): 497-514. PMID 28063287
- 65. Gurudu SR, Bruining DH, Acosta RD, et al. The role of endoscopy in the management of suspected small-bowel bleeding. Gastrointest Endosc. Jan 2017; 85(1): 22-31. PMID 27374798
- 66. Rex DK, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, et al. Colorectal Cancer Screening: Recommendations for Physicians and Patients From the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology. Jul 2017; 153(1): 307-323. PMID 28600072
- 67. Patel SG, May FP, Anderson JC, et al. Updates on Age to Start and Stop Colorectal Cancer Screening: Recommendations From the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology. Jan 2022; 162(1): 285-299. PMID 34794816
- 68. Davidson KW, Barry MJ, Mangione CM, et al. Screening for Colorectal Cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. May 18 2021; 325(19): 1965-1977. PMID 34003218

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

03/21/2002 Medical Policy Committee review

03/25/2002 Managed Care Advisory Council approval 66/24/2002 Format revision. No substance change to policy.

11/21/2002 Medical Policy Committee review. Format revision. No substance change to policy.

01/27/2003 Managed Care Advisory Council approval

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

02/01/2005	Medical Director review
02/15/2005	Medical Policy Committee review. Format revision
03/07/2005	Managed Care Advisory Council approval
07/13/2005	Medical Director review
07/19/2005	Medical Policy Committee review
08/24/2005	Managed Care Advisory Council approval
03/09/2006	Medical Director review
03/15/2006	Medical Policy Committee approval. Format revision, including addition of FDA
	and or other governmental regulatory approval and rationale/source. Coverage
	eligibility unchanged.
06/13/2007	Medical Director review
06/20/2007	Medical Policy Committee approval. Wireless capsule endoscopy for surveillance
	of the small bowel in individuals with hereditary GI polyposis syndromes,
	including familial adenomatous polyposis and Peutz-Jeghers syndrome are now
	eligible for coverage. Rationale updated.
09/09/2008	Medical Director review
09/17/2008	Medical Policy Committee approval. Added bullets to investigational statement as
	follows:

- Evaluation of the extent of involvement of known Crohn's disease; or
- Evaluation of the esophagus, in individuals with gastroesophageal reflux (GERD) or other esophageal pathologies.

Added that the patency capsule, including use to evaluate patency of the gastrointestinal tract before wireless capsule endoscopy is considered to be investigational.

09/03/2009 Medical Policy Committee approval.

Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added "and Colon" to the end of the current title to read, "Wireless Capsule Endoscopy as a Diagnostic Technique in Disorders of the Small Bowel, Esophagus and Colon". Removed both sets of patient selection criteria from the When Services May be Eligible for Coverage section and added a new set of patient selection criteria to this section. Added a fourth criteria bullet to the When Services Are Considered Investigational.

Updated the entire policy.

09/09/2010 Medical Policy Committee review

09/15/2010 Medical Policy Implementation Committee. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

09/01/2011	Medical Policy Committee review
09/14/2011	Medical Policy Implementation Committee. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
09/06/2012	Medical Policy Committee review
09/19/2012	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
09/05/2013	Medical Policy Committee review
09/18/2013	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added ulcerative colitis,
	Lynch syndrome, and acute GI bleeding to investigational statements.
10/02/2014	Medical Policy Committee review
10/15/2014	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added portal hypertensive
	enteropathy and unexplained chronic abdominal pain to the investigational policy
	statement; Added a statement indicating wireless capsule endoscopy may be
	eligible for coverage, in individuals with an established diagnosis of Crohn disease,
	for unexpected change(s) in the course of disease or response to treatment,
	suggesting the initial diagnosis may be incorrect and re-examination may be
00/05/504	indicated.
08/03/2015	Coding update: ICD10 Diagnosis code section added; ICD9 Procedure code section
10/02/2015	removed.
12/03/2015	Medical Policy Committee review
12/16/2015	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
10/01/2016	unchanged.
10/01/2016 12/01/2016	Coding update Medical Policy Committee review
12/01/2016	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
12/21/2010	unchanged.
01/01/2017	Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes
12/07/2017	Medical Policy Committee review
12/20/2017	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Title changed from "Wireless
	Capsule Endoscopy as a Diagnostic Technique in Disorders of the Small Bowel,
	Esophagus, and Colon" to "Wireless Capsule Endoscopy to Diagnose Disorders of
	the Small Bowel, Esophagus, and Colon". Coverage criteria changed from
	"Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding" to "Suspected small bowel bleeding". Policy
	statements otherwise unchanged.
12/06/2018	Medical Policy Committee review

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

12/19/2018	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
12/05/2019	Medical Policy Committee review
12/11/2019	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
12/03/2020	Medical Policy Committee review
12/09/2020	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility
	unchanged.
02/04/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
02/10/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Added "(risk for hereditary
	nonpolyposis colorectal cancer)" after Lynch syndrome in the investigational
	indications. Added lower GI bleeding and major risks for colonoscopy or moderate
	sedation and incomplete colonoscopy to investigational indications.
12/17/2021	Coding Update
02/03/2022	Medical Policy Committee review
02/09/2022	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Title changed from "Wireless
	Capsule Endoscopy to Diagnose Disorders of the Small Bowel, Esophagus and
	Colon" to "Wireless Capsule Endoscopy for Gastrointestinal (GI) Disorders".
	Added an investigation statement for magnetic capsule endoscopy for the
	evaluation of individuals with unexplained upper abdominal complaints and all
	other indications.
2/09/2022	Coding Update
02/02/2023	Medical Policy Committee review
02/08/2023	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.
	Minor editorial refinements to policy statements; intent unchanged.
05/29/2023	Coding update
02/01/2024	Medical Policy Committee review
02/14/2024	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
Next Scheduled	d Review Date: 02/2025

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2023 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
СРТ	0651T, 91110, 91111, 91113, 91299 Delete code effective 07/01/2023: 91112
HCPCS	No codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related Diagnoses

^{*}Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
 - 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
 - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
 - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

**Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.



Policy # 00137

Original Effective Date: 01/27/2003 Current Effective Date: 03/11/2024

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

©2024 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.