Vertebral Body Stapling and Vertebral Body Tethering for the Treatment of Scoliosis

Policy # 00769
Original Effective Date: 01/10/2022
Current Effective Date: 07/08/2024

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers vertebral body stapling and vertebral body tethering for the treatment of scoliosis to be **investigational**.*

Policy Guidelines

This policy does not address conventional surgery for scoliosis in patients with curve angles measuring 45° or more. Brace treatment for idiopathic scoliosis is usually recommended for juveniles and adolescents with curves measuring between 25° and 40° who have not completed spinal growth, with maturity defined as Risser grade 4, or 2 years after menarche for girls. Bracing may also be recommended for curves greater than 20° in a patient who has a rapidly progressing curve with more than 2 years of growth remaining.

- A rigid cervical-thoracic-lumbar-sacral orthosis is primarily prescribed for patients with thoracic apices above T7 for control of upper thoracic sagittal deformities and other spinal deformities not amenable to treatment with lower-profile designs.
- A low profile, rigid thoracic-lumbar-sacral orthosis worn full-time (18-23 hours per day) through skeletal maturity is used for most idiopathic curve patterns with a thoracic curve apex at or below T7 (most idiopathic curves).
- Nighttime bracing systems are more effective in patients with isolated flexible thoracolumbar and lumbar curves than in double curves; they may also be indicated in patients who are non-compliant with a full-time wear program, patients in whom other types of orthotic management have failed, and patients nearing skeletal maturity who may not require full-time wear.

Vertebral Body Stapling and Vertebral Body Tethering for the Treatment of Scoliosis

Policy # 00769
Original Effective Date: 01/10/2022
Current Effective Date: 07/08/2024

Background/Overview

Scoliosis

Scoliosis is an abnormal lateral and rotational curvature of the vertebral column. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis is the most common form of idiopathic scoliosis, defined by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force as "a lateral curvature of the spine with onset at ≥10 years of age, no underlying etiology, and risk for progression during puberty." Progression of the curvature during periods of rapid growth can result in deformity, accompanied by cardiopulmonary complications. Diagnosis is made clinically and radiographically. The curve is measured by the Cobb angle, which is the angle formed between intersecting lines drawn perpendicular to the top of the vertebrae of the curve and the bottom vertebrae of the curve. Patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis are also assessed for skeletal maturity, using the Risser sign, which describes the level of ossification of the iliac apophysis.

The Risser sign measures remaining spinal growth by progressive anterolateral to posteromedial ossification. Risser sign ranges from 0 (no ossification) to 5 (full bony fusion of the apophysis). Immature patients will have 0% to 25% ossification (Risser grade 0 or 1), while 100% ossification (Risser grade 5) indicates maturity with no spinal growth remaining. Children may progress from a Risser grade 1 to grade 5 over a brief (eg, 2-year), period.

Males and females are equally affected by scoliosis, but curve progression is up to 10 times more common in females than males. Patients who are overweight or obese have a greater risk of presenting with larger Cobb angles and more advanced skeletal maturity, possibly due to delayed detection. A retrospective review of 341 patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis who underwent surgery at a single tertiary pediatric hospital between 2013 and 2018 found that the major curve magnitude at presentation was significantly higher in patients with public compared to private insurance (50.0° versus 45.1°; p=.0040 and in Black compared to White patients (51.8° versus 47.0°; p=.042). Additionally, the odds of having an initial major curve magnitude <40° within the range of nonoperative treatment were 67% lower among Black patients with public insurance compared to Black patients with private insurance (odds ratio [OR], 0.33; 95% CI, 0.13 to 0.83; p=.019).

Treatment

Treatment of scoliosis currently depends on 3 factors: the cause of the condition (idiopathic, congenital, secondary), the severity of the condition (degrees of the curve), and the growth of the

patient remaining at the time of presentation. Children who have vertebral curves measuring between 25° and 40° with at least 2 years of growth remaining are considered to be at high risk of curve progression. Genetic markers to evaluate the risk of progression are also being evaluated. Because severe deformity may lead to compromised respiratory function and is associated with back pain in adulthood, surgical intervention with spinal fusion is typically recommended for curves that progress to 45° or more.

Bracing
Bracing is used to reduce the need for spinal fusion by slowing or preventing further progression of the curve during rapid growth. Commonly used brace designs include the Milwaukee, Wilmington, Boston, Charleston, and Providence orthoses. The longest clinical experience is with the Milwaukee cervical-thoracic-lumbar-sacral orthosis. Thoracic-lumbar-sacral orthoses, such as the Wilmington and Boston braces, are intended to improve tolerability and compliance for extended (>18-hour) wear and are composed of lighter weight plastics with a low profile (underarm) design. The design of the nighttime Charleston and Providence braces is based on the theory that increased corrective forces will reduce the needed wear time (ie, daytime), thereby lessening social anxiety and improving compliance. The smart brace consists of a standard rigid brace with a microcomputer system, a force transducer, and an air-bladder control system to control the interface pressure. Braces that are more flexible than thoracic-lumbar-sacral orthoses or nighttime braces, such as the SpineCor®‡ Scoliosis System, are also being evaluated. The SpineCor is composed of a thermoplastic pelvic base with stabilizing and corrective bands across the upper body.

Surgery
Fusionless surgical procedures, such as vertebral body stapling and vertebral body tethering, are being evaluated as alternatives to bracing. Both procedures use orthopedic devices off-label. The goal of these procedures is to reduce the rate of spine growth unilaterally, thus allowing the other side of the spine to "catch up." The mechanism of action is believed to be down-regulation of the growth plate on the convex (outer) side by compression and stimulation of growth on the endplate of the concave side by distraction. In the current stapling procedure, nickel-titanium alloy staples with shape memory are applied to the convex side of the curve. The shape memory allows the prongs to be straight when cooled and clamp down into the bone when the staple returns to body temperature. Anterolateral tethering uses polyethylene ligaments that are attached to the convex side of the vertebral bodies by pedicle screws or staples. The ligament can be tightened to provide greater tension than the staple. The optimum degree of tension is not known. The polyethylene ligaments

are more flexible than staples and are predicted to allow more spinal mobility. The goal of a fusionless growth modulating procedure is to reduce the curve and prevent progression, maintain spine mobility following correction, and provide an effective treatment option for patients who are noncompliant or who have a large curve but substantial growth is remaining. Observational data suggest that overweight patients may be at higher risk for scoliosis progression after surgery.

Research Recommendations
The Scoliosis Research Society provided evidence-based recommendations in 2005, which were updated in 2015, for bracing studies to standardize inclusion criteria, methodologies, and outcome measures to facilitate comparison of brace trials. Janicki et al (2007), the first study to use the Scoliosis Research Society criteria, concluded that a brace should prevent progression in 70% of patients to be considered effective. The Scoliosis Research Society evidence review and recommendations may also aid in the evaluation of fusionless surgical treatments for scoliosis progression in children.

The Scoliosis Research Society review of the natural history of scoliosis indicated that skeletally immature patients and patients with larger curves (between 20°and 29°) are significantly more likely to have more than 5 curve progression. Brace treatment for idiopathic scoliosis is usually recommended for juveniles and adolescents with curves measuring between 25° and 40° who have not completed spinal growth, with maturity defined as Risser grade 4, or at least 2 years after menarche for girls. Bracing may also be recommended for curves greater than 20 in a patient who has a rapidly progressing curve with more than 2 years of growth remaining.

Success from brace treatment is most frequently defined as progression of less than 5° before skeletal maturity, although alternative definitions may include progression of less than 10° before skeletal maturity or preventing the curve from reaching the threshold for surgical intervention. Surgery is usually recommended when the curve magnitude exceeds 45° to 50° (before or at skeletal maturity), although many patients will not undergo surgery at this point. Based on this information, Scoliosis Research Society provided the following recommendations for brace studies on adolescent idiopathic scoliosis:

- “Optimal inclusion criteria for brace studies consist of: age is 10 years or older when the brace is prescribed, Risser [grade] 0-2, curve 25°-40°, and no prior treatment.”
- Outcomes of brace effectiveness should include all of the following:

- "The percentage of patients with 5° or less curve progression and the percentage of patients who have 6° or more progression at skeletal maturity."
  - The number of patients at the start and end of treatment exceeding 10°, 30°, and 50° Cobb angles, as these risk thresholds have potential health consequences in adulthood, such as back pain and curve progression.
  - "A minimum of 2-year follow-up beyond skeletal maturity for each patient who was 'successfully' treated with a brace to determine the percentage who subsequently required or had surgery recommended. The surgical indications must be documented."
- Clinically significant outcomes such as aesthetics, deformity progression, disability, pain, and quality of life.

- "Skeletal maturity should be considered achieved when <1 cm change in standing height has occurred on measurements made on 2 consecutive visits 6 months apart…. when Risser 4 is present and, in females, when the patient is 2 years after menarche."
- "All patients, regardless of subjective reports of compliance, should be included in the results. This process makes 'intent to treat' analysis possible.... An 'efficacy analysis' … should also be considered."

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Some braces used to treat scoliosis are considered class I devices by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and are exempt from 510(k) requirements (examples include the Boston scoliosis brace [Boston Orthotics & Prosthetics] and the SpineCor Scoliosis System). This classification does not require submission of clinical data regarding efficacy but only notification of FDA prior to marketing.

Staples, using a shape memory nickel-titanium alloy, have been cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for various bone fixation indications. For example, nitinol staples (Sofamor Danek) are indicated for fixation with spinal systems. Other memory shape staples cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for bone fixation include the OSStaple™‡ (BioMedical Enterprises) and the reVERTO™‡ Dynamic Compression Device. FDA product code: JDR. Vertebral body stapling in scoliosis is considered off-label use.

A vertebral body tethering device (The Tether™‡; Zimmer Biomet Spine) received an FDA Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) (H190005, product code QHP) on 6/4/2019. The FDA HDE states that this device is indicated for "skeletally immature patients that require surgical treatment to obtain and maintain correction of progressive idiopathic scoliosis, with a major Cobb angle of 30 to 65 degrees whose osseous structure is dimensionally adequate to accommodate screw fixation, as determined by radiographic imaging. Patients should have failed bracing and/or be intolerant to brace wear." The REFLECT™‡ Scoliosis Correction System (Globus Medical), another vertebral tethering system, was granted HDE by the FDA on 5/15/2023 and intended for use in the same population as The Tether.

Several of the cleared devices are described in Table 1.

Table 1. Scoliosis Bracing Devices Cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Device	Manufacturer	Date Cleared	510(k) No.	Indication
Coronet Soft Tissue Fixation System	CoNextions Medical	3/4/2020	K200028	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Superelastic Staple	Neosteo	2/28/2020	K192447	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Mactafix CI Fixation Button With Continuous Loop	Medacta International SA	2/10/2020	K193165	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Motoband Cp Implant System	CrossRoads Extemity Systems, LLC	1/10/2020	K193452	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support

Trimax Implant System	CrossRoads Extemity Systems, LLC	8/16/2019	K190772	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Colink Plating System, Fracture and Correction System, Rts Implant System, Neospan Compression Staple System	In2Bones USA, LLC	8/8/2019	K190385	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Trimed Nitinol Staple System	TriMed, Inc.	7/1/2019	K190166	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Vertex Nitinol Staple System	Nvision Biomedical Technologies, LLC	4/4/2019	K182943	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Geo Staple System	Gramercy Extremity Orthopedics LLC	1/11/2019	K182212	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
DynaClipTM Bone Staple	MedShape Inc.	11/5/2018	K181781	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
DynaBridge	Fusion Orthopedics LLC	10/15/2018	K181815	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support

MotoCLIP/HiMAX Step Staple Implant System	CrossRoads Extremity Systems LLC	8/9/2018	K181866	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
DePuy Synthes Static Staples	Synthes (USA) Products LLC	7/24/2018	K180544	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
MotoCLIP/HiMAX Implant System	CrossRoads Extremity Systems LLC	6/29/2018	K181410	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Clench Compression Staple	F & A Foundation LLC d.b.a. Reign Medical	4/6/2018	K173775	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
Orbitum Bone Staple Implant X and VI	Orthovestments LLC	2/23/2018	K173693	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
ExoToe Staple	ExoToe LLC	1/11/2018	K172205	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support
ToggleLoc System	Biomet Inc.	1/5/2018	K173278	Off Label Use for Scoliosis support

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Description

Orthotic bracing attempts to slow spinal curve progression and reduce the need for fusion surgery in patients with juvenile or adolescent idiopathic scoliosis who are at high-risk of progression. Vertebral body stapling and vertebral body tethering, both fusionless surgical procedures, have been evaluated to determine whether the procedures could be used as alternatives to traditional orthotic bracing. This review does not address patients who are not at high-risk of progression or conventional fusion surgery for scoliosis, such as patients with Cobb angles measuring 45° or more.

Vertebral Body Tethering

There is limited published evidence on vertebral body tethering. Available evidence for The Tether is limited to a small, single-center, uncontrolled, unpublished retrospective cohort study of 57 pediatric patients. Although reported Cobb angle corrections are promising, serious adverse events occurred, data are lacking on other important health outcomes, and there are important study design limitations, including lack of a control group. Additional early reports of a correction in Cobb angle from published reports on the Dynesys system are also promising, but little is known about longer-term outcomes with this procedure. Published data for the REFLECT VBT are limited to observational studies, and data are lacking on important health outcomes. A meta-analysis of vertebral body tethering studies with more than 36 months follow-up reported a 74% clinical success rate, a 52% complication rate, and a 16% unplanned reoperation rate. Most commonly reported complications were tether breakages, pulmonary complications, and overcorrections. Larger, controlled studies are needed to verify these preliminary findings.

Vertebral Body Stapling

Evidence on the use of VBS for patients with idiopathic scoliosis consists of a nonrandomized comparative study, a case-control study, and several small case series. Results from the nonrandomized comparative study and case-control study have indicated that VBS might slow curve

progression in children with thoracic curves less than 35° and is at least as effective as bracing, but VBS appears to be less effective than bracing in patients with Cobb angles of 35° or more. Results from these studies are considered preliminary because few patients have been followed to skeletal maturity. Studies from other centers are consistent with results from those of the inventor of the procedure. Complications can include broken staples, staple dislodgement, curve overcorrection, congenital diaphragmatic hernia rupture, contralateral pleural effusion, pneumothoraces, and superior mesenteric artery syndrome. Investigators have commented that their approach is almost always to recommend bracing first and offer stapling only if the child or adolescent has difficulty wearing the brace. Notably, for patients with thoracic curves of 35° or greater, Cuddihy et al (2015) now perform vertebral body tethering (see next section) instead of VBS.

Summary of Evidence
For individuals who have juvenile or adolescent idiopathic scoliosis at high-risk of progression who receive vertebral body stapling, the evidence includes a comparative cohort study, a case-control study, and case series. Relevant outcomes are change in disease status, morbid events, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. There is a small body of published evidence on surgical interventions for preventing curve progression in juvenile and adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Vertebral body stapling with memory shape staples may control some thoracic curves between 20° and 35°, but it is less effective than bracing for larger curves. The evidence is composed primarily from a center that developed the technique, along with a few case series from other institutions. Additional studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of this procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have juvenile or adolescent idiopathic scoliosis at high-risk of progression who receive vertebral body tethering, the evidence includes observational studies and a systematic review and meta-analysis of these studies. Relevant outcomes are change in disease status, morbid events, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Vertebral body tethering has been evaluated for thoracic curves at high-risk of progression. Currently, there is very limited evidence on this technique, with published observational studies with the REFLECT device, The Tether, and on off-label use of the Dynesys system. Available evidence for The Tether is limited to a small, single-center, uncontrolled, unpublished retrospective cohort study of 57 pediatric patients. A meta-analysis of vertebral body tethering studies with more than 36 months follow-up reported a 74% clinical success rate, a 52% complication rate, and a 16% unplanned reoperation rate. Most commonly

reported complications were tether breakages, pulmonary complications, and overcorrections. Although reported Cobb angle corrections are promising, serious adverse events occurred, data are lacking on other important health outcomes, and there are important study design limitations including lack of a control group. Additional studies, with a larger number of total subjects and longer follow-up, are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of this surgical procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

Information updated on the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons' OrthoInfo website indicates that the type of treatment required for idiopathic scoliosis in children and adolescents depends on the kind and degree of the curve, child's age, and the number of remaining growth years until the child reaches skeletal maturity.

- Observation is appropriate when the curve is mild (<25°) or if the child is near skeletal maturity.
- The goal of bracing is to prevent scoliotic curves from worsening. Bracing can be effective if the child is still growing and has a "spinal curve between 25° and 45°".
- Surgery may be recommended if the curve is "greater than 45°-50°" or if bracing did not stop the curve from reaching this point. An implant made up of rods, hooks, screws, and/or wires is used to straighten the spine.

Vertebral body tethering and vertebral body stapling (VBS) are not addressed on the Society's website.

National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases
The National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases has an educational website page on scoliosis in children and adolescents (last reviewed, July 2023). When treatment is needed, an orthopedic spine specialist should suggest the best treatment for each patient based on the patient's age, how much more he or she is likely to grow, the degree and pattern of the curve, and the type of scoliosis.

- Observation may be advised if "the curve is mild" and " the child's skeleton is still growing."
- Doctors may advise "If the curve is moderate" and the "child or teen is still growing...using a brace to keep the curve from getting any worse."
- Surgery may be advised if the "child or teen is still growing and the scoliosis continues to progress."

The Institute also stated that regular exercise helps children remain physically fit and helps strengthen muscles.

The educational page does not address VBS or vertebral body tethering.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
In 2022, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) published an interventional procedures guidance on vertebral body tethering for idiopathic scoliosis in children and young people. Recommendations stated that "evidence on the safety of vertebral body tethering for idiopathic scoliosis in children and young people is limited but raises concerns of serious complications. Evidence on its efficacy is inadequate in quality and quantity. Therefore, this procedure should only be used in the context of research."

Scoliosis Research Society
The Scoliosis Research Society has indicated that the treatment of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis falls into 3 main categories (observation, bracing, surgery) and is based on the risk of curve progression. In general, adolescent idiopathic scoliosis curves progress in 2 ways: first, during the rapid growth period of the patient and, second, into adulthood if the curves are relatively large. Because scoliosis gets larger during rapid growth, the potential for growth is evaluated taking into consideration the patient's age, the status of whether females have had their first menstrual period, as well as radiographic parameters. The Risser grading system rates a child's skeletal maturity on a

scale of 0 to 5. Patients who are Risser grade 0 and 1 are growing rapidly, while patients who are 4 and 5 have stopped growing. The Society made the following recommendations:

- Observation is "recommended for patients whose curves are less than 25° or 30° who are still growing, or people who have stopped growing and have curves that aren't changing or causing problems."
- Bracing is "recommended for curves larger than 25°, but smaller than 45° to 50° in someone who is still growing."
- Surgical treatment is "recommended for people with curves usually greater than 45º or 50º and/or who are at high risk of continued worsening even after they are finished growing."
- Statements on VBS and tethers include, "This technique can be used in children who are still growing, have a progressive curvature that measures less than 35º, and who are able to tolerate open or endoscopic exposure of the spine. By placing special vertebral body staples or tethers on the convex side of the curve, growth is inhibited on that side. The idea is that the scoliosis may then correct through more growth on the concave side of the curve."

Scoliosis Research Society/Pediatric Orthopaedic Society of North America

A joint Scoliosis Research Society/Pediatric Orthopaedic Society of North America position statement (2020) on payor coverage for anterior fusionless scoliosis technologies for immature patients with idiopathic scoliosis drew the following conclusions after a review of scientific evidence on anterior vertebral growth modulation:

- "...payors should provide coverage for any FDA approved devices under FDA stated clinical indications and requirements (limited to surgeons with active IRB approval) at the same level as traditional spinal instrumentation/fusion and growing rod procedures for management of skeletally immature patients (Risser ≤ 2 or Sanders ≤ 5) with idiopathic scoliosis (as defined above, 30 to 65 degrees Cobb angle)."
- "For those patients who meet criteria for use of The Tether™‡ or other similarly FDA approved growth modulation systems, the decision for fusion versus growth modulation is best made between the patient, guardians, and treating physician - accounting for individual needs, values, and perspectives."
- "The SRS and POSNA do not support the use or reimbursement for anterior nonfusion instrumentation in skeletally mature individuals for the management of scoliosis or other spinal deformities."

Society on Scoliosis Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Treatment

The guidelines from the Society on Scoliosis Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Treatment (2016) included recommendations on the following conservative treatments for idiopathic scoliosis: assessment, bracing, physiotherapy, physiotherapeutic scoliosis-specific exercises and other conservative treatments for idiopathic scoliosis, exercises, special inpatient rehabilitation, and bracing (nighttime rigid bracing, soft bracing, part-time rigid bracing, full-time bracing). The guidelines did not address vertebral body stapling or vertebral body tethering. Treatment decisions should be individualized based on the probability of progression, curve magnitude, skeletal maturity, patient age, and sexual maturity. The following is a summary of the 20 recommendations in the guidelines specific to bracing:

- Bracing is recommended to treat adolescent, juvenile, and infantile idiopathic scoliosis "as the first step in an attempt to avoid or at least postpone surgery to a more appropriate age."
- "It is recommended not to apply bracing to treat patients with curves below 15º ± 5º Cobb, still growing (Risser 0 to 3), and with demonstrated progression of deformity or elevated risk of worsening, unless otherwise justified in the opinion of a clinician specialized in conservative treatment of spinal deformities."
- "It is recommended that each treating team provide the brace that they know best, which means the brace they are more experienced and with perceived outcomes. This is due to the actual knowledge; there is no brace that can be recommended over the others."
- Braces should be "worn full time or no less than 18 hours per day at the beginning of treatment …" and "in proportion with the severity of deformity, the age of the patient, the stage, aim and overall results of treatment, and the achievable compliance."
- "[B]racing is applied by a well-trained therapeutic team, including a physician, an orthotist, and a therapist, according to … (prescription, construction, … correction, follow-up)…."
- Braces should be "specifically designed for the type of the curve to be treated": to treat frontal, horizontal, and sagittal planes; not to restrict respiratory function; to be least invasive; to ensure patient compliance.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The U.S. Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) has published recommendations for idiopathic scoliosis screening. The USPSTF (2004) recommended against the routine screening of asymptomatic adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis (grade D recommendation). The USPSTF (2018) updated their recommendation to state that there is insufficient evidence to assess screening of

adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis (grade I recommendation). Review conclusions for scoliosis treatments are listed below:

"The USPSTF found inadequate evidence on treatment with exercise and surgery. It found adequate evidence that treatment with bracing may slow curvature progression in adolescents with mild or moderate curvature severity (Cobb angle <40° to 50°); however, evidence on the association between reduction in spinal curvature in adolescence and long-term health outcomes in adulthood is inadequate. The USPSTF found inadequate evidence on the harms of treatment."

Medicare National Coverage
There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials
Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT05830825	The Tether™‡ - Vertebral Body Tethering System Post-Market Clinical Follow-Up Study in UK	100	Dec 2031
NCT04889339	Validation of a New Generation of Orthopedic Brace for Treating Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis by Using Growth Modulation	58	Jan 2024
NCT04992845[a]	Fusionless Treatment of Idiopathic Scoliosis With the SCOLI-TETHER System During The Growth Period	51	May 2025

NCT05001568	Validation of a New Optimized Nighttime Providence Brace for Personalized Treatment of Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis	58	Jan 2025
NCT04805437	3D Designed Boston Brace Versus Standard Boston Brace in Halting Progression in Idiopathic Scoliosis: a Randomized Controlled Trial (PRISCOPRO)	170	Apr 2037
NCT01761305	CONTRAIS: CONservative TReatment for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. A Randomised Controlled Trial	135	Dec 2030
NCT02897453[a]	Retrospective Review With Prospective Surveillance of Safety and Efficacy in a Clinical Series of Spinal Tethering Patients	56	Oct 2022 (unknown)
NCT04296903[a]	Post-approval Registry Study to Evaluate the Continued Safety and Probable Benefit of the MID-C System for 5 Years Post-Implantation in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis (AIS)	200	May 2028
NCT04116723	Trial of Personalized Flexible Bracing Treatment of Adolescents Idiopathic Scoliosis	100	Dec 2025
NCT03506334	Prospective Pilot Study of Anterior Vertebral Body Tethering Using Zimmer Biomet Tether System or Dynesys System Components to Treat Pediatric Scoliosis	80	May 2024
NCT04590807	Posterior Spinal Fusion With Pedicle Screws vs. Anterior Vertebral Body Tethering in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis	70	Dec 2025

NCT04505579[a]	The Tether™‡ - Vertebral Body Tethering System Post Approval Study	200	Dec 2027
NCT04914507	A Prospective Analysis of Long-Term Clinical Outcomes and 3D Spine Growth in Anterior Vertebral Body Tethering	106	Sep 2029

NCT: national clinical trial.
[a] Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

1. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Final Recommendation Statement: Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: Screening. 2018; https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/document/RecommendationStatementFinal/adolescent-idiopathic-scoliosis-screening.
2. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Idiopathic Scoliosis in Children and Adolescents. April 2021; https://orthoinfo.aaos.org/en/diseases--conditions/idiopathic-scoliosis-in-children-and-adolescents.
3. Margalit A, McKean G, Constantine A, et al. Body Mass Hides the Curve: Thoracic Scoliometer Readings Vary by Body Mass Index Value. J Pediatr Orthop. Jun 2017; 37(4): e255-e260. PMID 27861214
4. Heffernan MJ, Younis M, Song B, et al. Disparities in Pediatric Scoliosis: The Impact of Race and Insurance Type on Access to Nonoperative Treatment for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. J Pediatr Orthop. Sep 01 2022; 42(8): 427-431. PMID 35856501
5. Mishreky A, Parent S, Miyanji F, et al. Body mass index affects outcomes after vertebral body tethering surgery. Spine Deform. May 2022; 10(3): 563-571. PMID 35013996
6. Richards BS, Bernstein RM, D'Amato CR, et al. Standardization of criteria for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis brace studies: SRS Committee on Bracing and Nonoperative Management. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Sep 15 2005; 30(18): 2068-75; discussion 2076-7. PMID 16166897
7. Negrini S, Hresko TM, O'Brien JP, et al. Recommendations for research studies on treatment of idiopathic scoliosis: Consensus 2014 between SOSORT and SRS non-operative management committee. Scoliosis. 2015; 10: 8. PMID 25780381
8. Janicki JA, Poe-Kochert C, Armstrong DG, et al. A comparison of the thoracolumbosacral orthoses and providence orthosis in the treatment of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: results using

the new SRS inclusion and assessment criteria for bracing studies. J Pediatr Orthop. Jun 2007; 27(4): 369-74. PMID 17513954
9. Fayssoux RS, Cho RH, Herman MJ. A history of bracing for idiopathic scoliosis in North America. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Mar 2010; 468(3): 654-64. PMID 19462214
10. Schiller JR, Thakur NA, Eberson CP. Brace management in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Mar 2010; 468(3): 670-8. PMID 19484317
11. Zhu F, Qiu X, Liu S, et al. Minimum 3-year experience with vertebral body tethering for treating scoliosis: A systematic review and single-arm meta-analysis. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong). 2022; 30(3): 10225536221137753. PMID 36420934
12. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND PROBABLE BENEFIT (SSPB): The Tether Vertebral Body Tethering System. 2019; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf19/H190005b.pdf.
13. Samdani AF, Ames RJ, Kimball JS, et al. Anterior vertebral body tethering for idiopathic scoliosis: two-year results. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Sep 15 2014; 39(20): 1688-93. PMID 24921854
14. Samdani AF, Ames RJ, Kimball JS, et al. Anterior vertebral body tethering for immature adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: one-year results on the first 32 patients. Eur Spine J. Jul 2015; 24(7): 1533-9. PMID 25510515
15. Pehlivanoglu T, Oltulu I, Erdag Y, et al. Double-sided vertebral body tethering of double adolescent idiopathic scoliosis curves: radiographic outcomes of the first 13 patients with 2 years of follow-up. Eur Spine J. Jul 2021; 30(7): 1896-1904. PMID 33611658
16. Meyers J, Eaker L, Zhang J, et al. Vertebral Body Tethering in 49 Adolescent Patients after Peak Height Velocity for the Treatment of Idiopathic Scoliosis: 2-5 Year Follow-Up. J Clin Med. Jun 02 2022; 11(11). PMID 35683548
17. Baroncini A, Courvoisier A, Berjano P, et al. The effects of vertebral body tethering on sagittal parameters: evaluations from a 2-years follow-up. Eur Spine J. Apr 2022; 31(4): 1060-1066. PMID 34910244
18. Hegde S, Badikillaya V, Kanade U, et al. Are We Looking at a Paradigm Shift in the Management of Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis? Comprehensive Retrospective Analysis of 75 Patients of Nonfusion Anterior Scoliosis Correction with 2-5-Year Follow-up: A Single Center Experience. Asian Spine J. Jun 2023; 17(3): 529-537. PMID 37211667
19. National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases. Questions and Answers about Scoliosis in Children and Adolescents. December 2023; https://www.niams.nih.gov/health-topics/scoliosis.

20. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Interventional procedures guidance: Vertebral body tethering for idiopathic scoliosis in children and young people [IPG728]. June 29, 2022; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg728.
21. Scoliosis Research Society (SRS). Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. n.d.; http://www.srs.org/professionals/online-education-and-resources/conditions-and-treatments/adolescent-idiopathic-scoliosis.
22. Scoliosis Research Society (SRS)/Pediatric Orthopaedic Society of North America (POSNA). Joint SRS/POSNA Position Statement on Payor Coverage for Anterior Fusionless Scoliosis Technologies for Immature Patients with Idiopathic Scoliosis. April 2020; https://posna.org/POSNA/media/Documents/Position%20Statements/Why-Should-Insurance-Cover-AVBT-April-2020.pdf.
23. Negrini S, Donzelli S, Aulisa AG, et al. 2016 SOSORT guidelines: orthopaedic and rehabilitation treatment of idiopathic scoliosis during growth. Scoliosis Spinal Disord. 2018; 13: 3. PMID 29435499
24. Grossman DC, Curry SJ, Owens DK, et al. Screening for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. Jan 09 2018; 319(2): 165-172. PMID 29318284

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 01/10/2022
Current Effective Date: 07/08/2024

12/02/2021	Medical Policy Committee review
12/08/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New policy.
12/01/2022	Medical Policy Committee review
12/14/2022	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
06/01/2023	Medical Policy Committee review
06/14/2023	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
12/13/2023	Coding update
06/06/2024	Medical Policy Committee review
06/12/2024	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

Policy # 00769
Original Effective Date: 01/10/2022
Current Effective Date: 07/08/2024

Next Scheduled Review Date: 06/2025

Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2023 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	0656T, 0657T, 0790T, 22836, 22837, 22838, 22899
HCPCS	No codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related Diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
    1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
    2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
    3. Reference to federal regulations.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.