

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Prostatic Urethral Lift is addressed separately in medical policy 00480.

Note: Transurethral Water Vapor Thermal Therapy (Rezum) and Transurethral Water Jet Ablation (Aquablation) for Benign Prostatic Hypertrophy is addressed separately in medical policy 00684.

Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of a temporarily implanted nitinol device (eg, iTind) as a treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia to be **investigational.***

Background/Overview

Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a common disorder among older individuals that results from hyperplastic nodules in the periurethral or transitional zone of the prostate. The clinical manifestations of BPH include increased urinary frequency, nocturia, urgency or hesitancy to urinate, and a weak stream when urinating. The urinary tract symptoms often progress with worsening hypertrophy and may lead to acute urinary retention, incontinence, renal insufficiency, and/or urinary tract infection. Benign prostatic hyperplasia prevalence increases with age and is present in more than 80% of individuals age 70 to 79 years.

Two scores are widely used to evaluate BPH-related symptoms: the American Urological Association Symptom Index (AUASI) and the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS). The AUASI is a self-administered 7-item questionnaire assessing the severity of various urinary symptoms. Total AUASI scores range from 0 to 35, with overall severity categorized as mild (\leq 7), moderate (8-19), or severe (20-35). The IPSS incorporates questions from the AUASI and a quality of life question or a "Bother score."

Benign prostatic hyperplasia does not necessarily require treatment. The decision on whether to treat BPH is based on an assessment of the impact of symptoms on quality of life along with the potential

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

side effects of treatment. For patients with moderate-to-severe symptoms (eg, an AUASI score of ≥ 8), bothersome symptoms, or both, a discussion about medical therapy is reasonable. Benign prostatic hyperplasia should generally be treated medically first. Available medical therapies for BPH-related lower urinary tract dysfunction include α -adrenergic blockers (eg, alfuzosin, doxazosin, tamsulosin, terazosin, silodosin), 5α -reductase inhibitors (eg, finasteride, dutasteride), combination α -adrenergic blockers and 5α -reductase inhibitors, anti-muscarinic agents (eg, darifenacin, solifenacin, oxybutynin), and phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors (eg, tadalafil). In a meta-analysis of both indirect comparisons from placebo-controlled studies (n=6333) and direct comparative studies (n=507), Djavan et al (1999) found that the IPSS improved by 30% to 40% and the Qmax score (mean peak urinary flow rate) improved by 16% to 25% in individuals assigned to α -adrenergic blockers. Combination therapy using an α -adrenergic blocker and 5α -reductase inhibitor has been shown to be more effective for improving IPSS than either treatment alone, with median scores improving by more than 40% over 1 year and by more than 45% over 4 years.

Patients who do not have sufficient response to medical therapy, or who are experiencing significant side effects with medical therapy, may be referred for surgical or ablative therapies. The American Urological Association (AUA) recommends surgical intervention for patients who have "renal insufficiency secondary to BPH, refractory urinary retention secondary to BPH, recurrent urinary tract infections (UTIs), recurrent bladder stones or gross hematuria due to BPH, and/or with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) attributed to BPH refractory to and/or unwilling to use other therapies." Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) is generally considered the reference standard for comparisons of BPH procedures. In the perioperative period, TURP is associated with risks of any operative procedure (eg, anesthesia risks, blood loss). Although short-term mortality risks are generally low, a large prospective study with 10,654 patients by Reich et al (2008) reported the following short-term complications: "failure to void (5.8%), surgical revision (5.6%), significant urinary tract infection (3.6%), bleeding requiring transfusions (2.9%), and transurethral resection syndrome (1.4%)." Incidental carcinoma of the prostate was diagnosed by histologic examination in 9.8% of patients. In the longer term, TURP is associated with an increased risk of sexual dysfunction and incontinence.

The use of the iTind temporarily implanted nitinol device has been investigated as a minimally invasive treatment for lower urinary tract symptoms associated with BPH. With the use of a rigid cytoscope, the device is temporarily implanted into the obstructed prostatic urethra where 3 double intertwined nitinol struts configured in a tulip shape gradually expand. The resulting circumferential force facilitates tissue reshaping via ischemic necrosis of the mucosa, resulting in urethral expansion and prostatic incisions that function as longitudinal channels to improve urine outflow. The implant is typically removed after 5 to 7 days of treatment. A distal nylon wire facilitates device retrieval which may be approached using a snare to pull the device into either a cytoscope sheath or an openended silicone catheter (20-22 Fr). The first-generation TIND device had one extra strut and a pointed tip covered by a soft plastic material.

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

In April 2019, the iTind System (Olympus; previously, Medi-Tate Ltd., Hadera, Israel) was granted a de novo 510(k) classification by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (DEN190020; product code: QKA). The new classification applies to this device and substantially equivalent devices of this generic type (eg, K210138). The iTind System is intended for the treatment of symptoms due to urinary outflow obstruction secondary to BPH in men age 50 years and older.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Description

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a common condition in older individuals that can lead to increased urinary frequency, an urgency to urinate, a hesitancy to urinate, nocturia, and a weak stream when urinating. Temporarily implanted nitinol devices have been proposed as a minimally invasive alternative to transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP), considered the traditional standard treatment for symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. The device is temporarily implanted into the obstructed prostatic urethra to facilitate tissue reshaping and improve urine outflow. The implant is typically removed after 5 to 7 days of treatment.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) with lower urinary tract symptoms who receive a temporarily implanted nitinol device (eg, iTind), the evidence includes a metaanalysis, 1 randomized controlled trial (RCT), and 2 single-arm, multicenter, international prospective studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One network meta-analysis compared the safety and efficacy of various minimally-invasive treatments for lower urinary tract symptoms associated with BPH, finding that iTind may result in worse urologic symptoms scores compared to transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) at short-term follow-up. One RCT compared the iTind device with a sham procedure and reported an improvement of at least 3 points on the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) scale at 3 months in 78.6% versus 60% of participants, respectively (p=.029). However, corresponding changes in overall IPSS, IPSS quality of life, peak urinary flow rate, Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM), and International Index of Erectile Function scores were not significantly different between groups. One single-arm study reported significant improvements in symptoms and functional outcomes through >4 years. A subsequent single-arm study enrolling men desiring to preserve ejaculatory function reported no significant change in the SHIM total score and

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

a statistically significant improvement on the Male Sexual Health Questionnaire for Ejaculatory Dysfunction questionnaire at 6 months. No studies have directly compared iTind to established alternatives; however, an RCT comparing iTind with the UroLift prostatic urethral lift procedure is currently ongoing. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Urological Association

In 2021, the American Urological Association (AUA) published guidelines on the surgical evaluation and treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) attributed to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). These guidelines do not address the use of temporarily implanted nitinol devices.

A 2023 amendment to the 2021 AUA guideline stated that temporary implanted prostatic devices are an option for individuals with BPH, LUTS, prostate volume of 25 to 75 grams, and who lack an obstructive median lobe. This recommendation was based on expert opinion due to an absence of sufficient evidence.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2022, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued an interventional procedures guidance on prostatic urethral temporary implant insertion for lower urinary tract symptoms caused by BPH. The recommendation noted that the evidence on the use of these devices is limited in quantity and quality. Therefore, the procedure should only be used with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent, and audit or research.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

| NCT No. | Trial Name | Planned Enrollment | Completion Date |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Ongoing | | | |
| NCT03395522ª | One-arm, Multi-center, International Prospective Study to Assess the Efficacy of Medi-tate Temporary Implantable Nitinol Device (iTind) in Subjects With Symptomatic Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) (MT-06) | 149 | Apr 2025 (ongoing) |
| NCT04757116 ^a | A Post-Market, Prospective, Randomized, Controlled, Multicenter International Study to Assess the Safety of the Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device (iTind) Compared to the UroLift ^{®‡} System in Subjects With Symptomatic Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) (MT-08) | 250 | Dec 2030 (recruiting) |

Table 1. Summary of Key Trials

NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

- 1. Sarma AV, Wei JT. Clinical practice. Benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms. N Engl J Med. Jul 19 2012; 367(3): 248-57. PMID 22808960
- Barry MJ, Fowler FJ, O'Leary MP, et al. Measuring disease-specific health status in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Measurement Committee of The American Urological Association. Med Care. Apr 1995; 33(4 Suppl): AS145-55. PMID 7536866
- 3. O'leary MP. Validity of the "bother score" in the evaluation and treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. Rev Urol. 2005; 7(1): 1-10. PMID 16985801
- 4. Djavan B, Marberger M. A meta-analysis on the efficacy and tolerability of alpha1-adrenoceptor antagonists in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic obstruction. Eur Urol. 1999; 36(1): 1-13. PMID 10364649
- 5. Lerner LB, McVary KT, Barry MJ, et al. Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: AUA GUIDELINE PART II-Surgical Evaluation and Treatment. J Urol. Oct 2021; 206(4): 818-826. PMID 34384236
- Foster HE, Barry MJ, Dahm P, et al. Surgical Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: AUA Guideline. J Urol. Sep 2018; 200(3): 612-619. PMID 29775639

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

- Reich O, Gratzke C, Bachmann A, et al. Morbidity, mortality and early outcome of transurethral resection of the prostate: a prospective multicenter evaluation of 10,654 patients. J Urol. Jul 2008; 180(1): 246-9. PMID 18499179
- 8. Amparore D, De Cillis S, Volpi G, et al. First- and Second-Generation Temporary Implantable Nitinol Devices As Minimally Invasive Treatments for BPH-Related LUTS: Systematic Review of the Literature. Curr Urol Rep. Jul 05 2019; 20(8): 47. PMID 31278441
- 9. Fiori C, De Cillis S, Volpi G, et al. iTIND for BPH: Technique and procedural outcomes: A narrative review of current literature. Turk J Urol. Nov 2021; 47(6): 470-481. PMID 35118965
- Balakrishnan D, Jones P, Somani BK. iTIND: the second-generation temporary implantable nitinol device for minimally invasive treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia. Ther Adv Urol. 2020; 12: 1756287220934355. PMID 32655690
- Rosen RC, Catania JA, Althof SE, et al. Development and validation of four-item version of Male Sexual Health Questionnaire to assess ejaculatory dysfunction. Urology. May 2007; 69(5): 805-9. PMID 17482908
- 12. Cappelleri JC, Rosen RC. The Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM): a 5-year review of research and clinical experience. Int J Impot Res. 2005; 17(4): 307-19. PMID 15875061
- Sønksen J, Barber NJ, Speakman MJ, et al. Prospective, randomized, multinational study of prostatic urethral lift versus transurethral resection of the prostate: 12-month results from the BPH6 study. Eur Urol. Oct 2015; 68(4): 643-52. PMID 25937539
- 14. Barry MJ, Williford WO, Chang Y, et al. Benign prostatic hyperplasia specific health status measures in clinical research: how much change in the American Urological Association symptom index and the benign prostatic hyperplasia impact index is perceptible to patients?. J Urol. Nov 1995; 154(5): 1770-4. PMID 7563343
- 15. Roehrborn CG, Wilson TH, Black LK. Quantifying the contribution of symptom improvement to satisfaction of men with moderate to severe benign prostatic hyperplasia: 4-year data from the CombAT trial. J Urol. May 2012; 187(5): 1732-8. PMID 22425127
- 16. Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Bertolo R, et al. Temporary implantable nitinol device (TIND): a novel, minimally invasive treatment for relief of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) related to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH): feasibility, safety and functional results at 1 year of follow-up. BJU Int. Aug 2015; 116(2): 278-87. PMID 25382816
- Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Bertolo R, et al. 3-Year follow-up of temporary implantable nitinol device implantation for the treatment of benign prostatic obstruction. BJU Int. Jul 2018; 122(1): 106-112. PMID 29359881
- Franco JV, Jung JH, Imamura M, et al. Minimally invasive treatments for lower urinary tract symptoms in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 15 2021; 7(7): CD013656. PMID 34693990
- Chughtai B, Elterman D, Shore N, et al. The iTind Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device for the Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Secondary to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: A Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Trial. Urology. Jul 2021; 153: 270-276. PMID 33373708

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

- 20. Amparore D, Fiori C, Valerio M, et al. 3-Year results following treatment with the second generation of the temporary implantable nitinol device in men with LUTS secondary to benign prostatic obstruction. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Jun 2021; 24(2): 349-357. PMID 33005003
- Amparore D, De Cillis S, Schulman C, et al. Temporary implantable nitinol device for benign prostatic hyperplasia-related lower urinary tract symptoms: over 48-month results. Minerva Urol Nephrol. Dec 2023; 75(6): 743-751. PMID 37350585
- 22. De Nunzio C, Cantiello F, Fiori C, et al. Urinary and sexual function after treatment with temporary implantable nitinol device (iTind) in men with LUTS: 6-month interim results of the MT-06-study. World J Urol. Jun 2021; 39(6): 2037-2042. PMID 32851439
- 23. Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Amparore D, et al. Second-generation of temporary implantable nitinol device for the relief of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia: results of a prospective, multicentre study at 1 year of follow-up. BJU Int. Jun 2019; 123(6): 1061-1069. PMID 30382600
- 24. Kadner G, Valerio M, Giannakis I, et al. Second generation of temporary implantable nitinol device (iTind) in men with LUTS: 2 year results of the MT-02-study. World J Urol. Dec 2020; 38(12): 3235-3244. PMID 32124019
- 25. Sandhu JS, Bixler BR, Dahm P, et al. Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH): AUA Guideline Amendment 2023. J Urol. Jan 2024; 211(1): 11-19. PMID 37706750
- 26. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Interventional procedures guidance: prostatic urethral temporary implant insertion for lower urinary tract symptoms caused by benign prostatic hyperplasia [IPG737]. September 21, 2022; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg737.

Policy History

| Original Effecti | ve Date: | 05/ | /01/2023 | | | | |
|------------------|------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Current Effectiv | ve Date: | 04/ | /01/2025 | | | | |
| 02/02/2023 | Medical Po | olicy Co | ommittee review | | | | |
| 02/08/2023 | Medical Po | olicy In | nplementation Con | mmittee appro | oval. New p | olicy. | |
| 02/01/2024 | Medical Po | olicy Co | ommittee review | | | | |
| 02/14/2024 | Medical I | Policy | Implementation | Committee | approval. | Coverage | eligibility |
| | unchanged | l. | | | | | |
| 05/02/2024 | Medical Po | olicy Co | ommittee review | | | | |
| 05/08/2024 | Medical I | Policy | Implementation | Committee | approval. | Coverage | eligibility |
| | unchanged | l. | | | | | |
| 12/11/2024 | Coding up | date | | | | | |
| 03/06/2025 | Medical Po | olicy Co | ommittee review | | | | |
| 03/12/2025 | Medical I | Policy | Implementation | Committee | approval. | Coverage | eligibility |
| | unchanged | 1. | | | | | |
| | | 0.0 | | | | | |

Next Scheduled Review Date: 03/2026

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

Coding

The five character codes included in the Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology $(CPT^{\$})^{\ddagger}$, copyright 2024 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Louisiana Blue and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

| Code Type | Code | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| СРТ | 53865, 53866 | | | |
| HCPCS | Delete code effective 01/01/2025: C9769 | | | |
| ICD-10 Diagnosis | N40.0, N40.1 | | | |

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or

Policy # 00825 Original Effective Date: 05/01/2023 Current Effective Date: 04/01/2025

diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

- 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
- 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
- 3. Reference to federal regulations.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.