



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

*Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.*

### Multiple Myeloma

#### When Services Are Eligible for Coverage

*Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:*

- *Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and*
- *Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.*

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider a single or second (salvage) autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) to treat multiple myeloma to be **eligible for coverage**.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider tandem\*\* autologous-autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) to treat multiple myeloma be **eligible for coverage**.

\*\*Tandem transplantation refers to a planned infusion (transplant) of previously harvested hematopoietic stem cells with a repeat hematopoietic cell infusion (transplant) that is performed within 6 months of the initial transplant. This is distinguished from a repeat transplantation requested or performed more than 6 months after the first transplant, and is used as salvage therapy after failure of initial transplantation or relapsed disease.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider tandem transplantation with an initial round of autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) followed by a non-marrow-ablative conditioning regimen and allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) (i.e., reduced-intensity conditioning transplant) to treat newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients to be **eligible for coverage**.

#### When Services Are Considered Investigational

*Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.*

Based on review of available data, the Company considers allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), myeloablative or nonmyeloablative, as upfront therapy of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma or as salvage therapy to be **investigational**.\*

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060  
Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002  
Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

### POEMS Syndrome

#### When Services Are Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) to treat disseminated POEMS syndrome to be **eligible for coverage**.

#### When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers allogeneic and tandem hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) to treat POEMS syndrome to be **investigational**.\*

### Background/Overview

#### **MULTIPLE MYELOMA**

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a systemic malignancy of plasma cells that represents approximately 10% of all hematologic cancers. It is treatable but rarely curable. At diagnosis, most patients have generalized disease, and the selection of treatment is influenced by patient age, general health, prior therapy, and the presence of disease complications.

The disease is staged by estimating tumor mass, based on various clinical parameters such as hemoglobin, serum calcium, number of lytic bone lesions, and the presence or absence of renal failure. MM usually evolves from an asymptomatic premalignant stage (termed *monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance*). Treatment is usually reserved for patients with symptomatic disease (usually progressive myeloma), whereas asymptomatic patients are observed because there is little evidence that early treatment of asymptomatic MM prolongs survival compared with therapy delivered at the time of symptoms or end-organ damage. In some patients, an intermediate asymptomatic but more advanced premalignant stage is recognized and referred to as smoldering MM. The overall risk of disease progression from smoldering to symptomatic MM is 10% per year for the first 5 years, approximately 3% per year for the next 5 years, and 1% for the next 10 years.

#### **POEMS SYNDROME**

POEMS syndrome (also known as osteosclerotic myeloma, Crow-Fukase syndrome, or Takatsuki syndrome) is a rare, paraneoplastic disorder secondary to a plasma cell dyscrasia. This complex,

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

multiorgan disease was first described in 1938, but the acronym POEMS was coined in 1980, reflecting hallmark characteristics of the syndrome: polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M protein, and skin changes. No single test establishes the presence of POEMS syndrome. Its pathogenesis is undefined, although some evidence has suggested it is mediated by an imbalance of proinflammatory cytokines including interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ ; vascular endothelial growth factor may also be involved. However, specific criteria have been established, and the syndrome may entail other findings in the constellation of signs and symptoms, as shown in Table 1. Both major criteria and at least one of the minor criteria are necessary for diagnosis.

**Table 1. Criteria and Associations for POEMS Syndrome**

Major Criteria	Minor Criteria	Known Associations	Possible Associations
<b>Polyneuropathy</b>	Sclerotic bone lesions	Clubbing	Pulmonary hypertension
<b>Monoclonal plasma-proliferative disorder</b>	Castleman disease	Weight loss	Restrictive lung disease
	Organomegaly (splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, lymphadenopathy)	Thrombocytosis	Thrombotic diatheses
	Edema (edema, pleural effusion, ascites)	Polycythemia	Arthralgias
	Endocrinopathy (adrenal, thyroid, pituitary, gonadal, parathyroid, pancreatic)	Hyperhidrosis	Cardiomyopathy (systolic dysfunction)
	Skin changes (hyperpigmentation, hypertrichosis, plethora, hemangiomas, white nails)		Fever
	Papilledema		Low vitamin B <sub>12</sub> levels Diarrhea

The prevalence of POEMS syndrome is unclear. A national survey in Japan showed a prevalence of about 0.3 per 100,000. Other large series had been described in the United States and India. In general, patients with POEMS have superior overall survival compared with that of MM (nearly 14 years in a large series). However, given the rarity of POEMS, no randomized controlled trials of therapies have been reported. Numerous approaches have included ionizing radiation, plasmapheresis, intravenous immunoglobulin, interferon- $\alpha$ , corticosteroids, alkylating agents, azathioprine, tamoxifen, transretinoic acid, and high-dose chemotherapy with autologous HCT support. Optimal treatment involves eliminating the plasma cell clone (eg, by surgical excision or local radiotherapy for an isolated plasmacytoma) or systemic chemotherapy in patients with disseminated disease (eg, medullary disease or multiple plasmacytomas). Given the underlying plasma cell dyscrasia of POEMS syndrome, newer approaches to MM, including bortezomib, lenalidomide, and thalidomide, are also under investigation.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

HCT is a procedure in which hematopoietic stem cells are infused to restore bone marrow function in cancer patients who receive bone marrow-toxic doses of cytotoxic drugs with or without whole body radiotherapy. Hematopoietic stem cells may be obtained from the transplant recipient (autologous HCT) or a donor (allogeneic HCT [allo-HCT]). They can be harvested from bone marrow, peripheral blood, or umbilical cord blood shortly after delivery of neonates. Although cord blood is an allogeneic source, the stem cells in it are antigenically “naive” and thus are associated with a lower incidence of rejection or graft-versus-host disease.

Immunologic compatibility between infused hematopoietic stem cells and the recipient is not an issue in autologous HCT. However, immunologic compatibility between donor and patient is a critical factor for achieving a good outcome of allo-HCT. Compatibility is established by typing of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) using cellular, serologic, or molecular techniques. HLA refers to the gene complex expressed at the HLA-A, -B, and -DR (antigen-D related) loci on each arm of chromosome six. Depending on the disease being treated, an acceptable donor will match the patient at all or most of the HLA loci (except umbilical cord blood).

### **CONDITIONING FOR HCT**

#### **Conventional Conditioning**

The conventional (“classical”) practice of allo-HCT involves administration of cytotoxic agents (eg, cyclophosphamide, busulfan) with or without total body irradiation at doses sufficient to destroy endogenous hematopoietic capability in the recipient. The beneficial treatment effect of this procedure is due to a combination of initial eradication of malignant cells and subsequent graft-versus-malignancy effect mediated by non-self-immunologic effector cells that develop after engraftment of allogeneic stem cells within the patient’s bone marrow space. While the slower graft-versus-malignancy effect is considered to be the potentially curative component, it may be overwhelmed by extant disease without the use of pretransplant conditioning. However, intense conditioning regimens are limited to patients who are sufficiently fit medically to tolerate substantial adverse effects that include pre-engraftment opportunistic infections secondary to loss of endogenous bone marrow function and organ damage and failure caused by the cytotoxic drugs. Furthermore, in any allo-HCT, immunosuppressant drugs are required to minimize graft rejection and graft-versus-host disease, which also increases susceptibility to opportunistic infections.

The success of autologous HCT is predicated on the ability of cytotoxic chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy to eradicate cancerous cells from the blood and bone marrow. This permits subsequent engraftment and repopulation of bone marrow space with presumably normal hematopoietic stem cells obtained from the patient before undergoing bone marrow ablation. As a consequence, autologous HCT is typically performed as consolidation therapy when the patient’s disease is in complete remission. Patients who undergo autologous HCT are susceptible to chemotherapy-related toxicities and opportunistic infections before engraftment, but not graft-versus-host disease.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

### **Reduced-Intensity Conditioning Allo-HCT**

Reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) refers to the pretransplant use of lower doses or less-intense regimens of cytotoxic drugs or radiotherapy that are used in traditional full-dose myeloablative conditioning treatments. The goal of RIC is to reduce disease burden and to minimize as much as possible associated treatment-related morbidity and non-relapse mortality in the period during which the beneficial graft-versus-malignancy effect of allogeneic transplantation develops. Although the definition of RIC remains arbitrary, with numerous versions employed, all seek to balance the competing effects of non-relapse mortality and relapse due to residual disease. RIC regimens can be viewed as a continuum in effects, from nearly total myeloablative to minimal myeloablative with lymphoablation, with intensity tailored to specific diseases and patient condition. Patients who undergo RIC with allo-HCT initially demonstrate donor cell engraftment and bone marrow mixed chimerism. Most will subsequently convert to full-donor chimerism, which may be supplemented with donor lymphocyte infusions to eradicate residual malignant cells.

For our purposes, the term *reduced-intensity conditioning* will refer to all conditioning regimens intended to be nonmyeloablative as opposed to fully myeloablative (traditional) regimens.

### **MM TREATMENT OVERVIEW**

In the prechemotherapy era, the median survival for a patient diagnosed with MM was approximately 7 months. After the introduction of chemotherapy (eg, the alkylating agent melphalan in the 1960s), prognosis improved, with a median survival of 24 to 30 months and a 10-year survival of 3%. In a large group of patients with newly diagnosed MM, there was no difference in overall survival reported during a 24-year period from 1971-1994, with a trend toward improvement during 1995-2000, and a statistically significant benefit in overall survival during 2001-2006. These data suggested that autologous HCT was responsible for the trends during 1994-2000, while novel agents have contributed to the improvement since 2001.

The introduction of novel agents and better prognostic indicators has been the major advances in the treatment of this disease. Novel agents such as the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib and the immunomodulatory derivatives thalidomide and lenalidomide first showed efficacy in relapsed and refractory myeloma and now have been integrated into first-line regimens. With the introduction of these novel treatments, it is now expected that most patients with MM will respond to initial therapy, and only a small minority will have refractory disease.

### **FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval**

#### **U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)**

The U.S. FDA regulates human cells and tissues intended for implantation, transplantation, or infusion through the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, under Code of Federal Regulation, title 21, parts 1270 and 1271. Hematopoietic stem cells are included in these regulations.

#### **Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)**

Medicare has the following national coverage determination for the use of HCT for MM.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

“Effective ... January ... 2016, allogeneic HSCT [hematopoietic stem cell transplantation] for multiple myeloma is covered by Medicare only for beneficiaries with Durie-Salmon Stage II or III multiple myeloma, or International Staging System (ISS) Stage II or Stage III multiple myeloma, and participating in an approved prospective clinical study that meets the criteria below. There must be appropriate statistical techniques to control for selection bias and confounding by age, duration of diagnosis, disease classification, International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) classification, ISS stage, comorbid conditions, type of preparative/conditioning regimen, graft vs. host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis, donor type and cell source.

A prospective clinical study seeking Medicare coverage for allogeneic HSCT for multiple myeloma pursuant to CED must address the following question:

Compared to patients who do not receive allogeneic HSCT, do Medicare beneficiaries with multiple myeloma who receive allogeneic HSCT have improved outcomes as indicated by:

- Graft vs. host disease (acute and chronic);
- Other transplant-related adverse events;
- Overall survival; and
- (optional) Quality of life?”

### **Rationale/Source**

Evidence reviews assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of a technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are length of life, quality of life, and ability to function—including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and to managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of a technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance and the quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent one or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, nonrandomized studies may be adequate. RCTs are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

The earliest versions of this review were informed by 2 TEC Assessments in 1996 and 2 TEC Assessments in 1998. Since 1999, the treatment of multiple myeloma (MM) has changed radically. POEMS syndrome was added to this review in 2013.

### **NEWLY DIAGNOSED MM**

#### **Risk-Adapted Therapy**

The approach to the treatment of newly diagnosed MM (symptomatic) is dictated by eligibility for autologous HCT and risk stratification. Risk-stratification, using fluorescent in situ hybridization and conventional karyotyping, divides patients into high- or standard-risk categories.

High-risk patients, which comprise approximately 25% of patients with MM, are defined by any of the following cytogenetic findings: a 17p deletion; translocations of chromosomes 4 and 14, chromosomes 14 and 16, chromosomes 14 and 20; a chromosome 13 deletion; or hypodiploidy. Standard-risk patients are those with hyperdiploidy (translocations of chromosomes 11 and 14 and chromosomes 6 and 14).

High-risk patients are generally treated with a bortezomib-based induction followed by autologous HCT and then bortezomib-based maintenance. Standard-risk patients are typically treated with non-alkylator-based therapy (eg, lenalidomide plus low-dose dexamethasone) followed by autologous HCT; however, if the patient is tolerating the induction regimen well, an alternative strategy would be to continue the initial therapy after hematopoietic cell collection, reserving the transplant for the first relapse.

Recent reviews highlight the treatment of newly diagnosed myeloma (2011) as well as relapsed and refractory myeloma (2011). A 2011 review of the literature has highlighted advances in the use of autologous and allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT).

#### **Early vs Delayed HCT**

A 2017 retrospective analysis by Dunavin et al compared survival and relapse rates in 167 patients who were treated for MM between 2002 and 2009 with induction therapy and autologous HCT. In the first group (n=102), autologous HCT was given no more than 12 months after diagnosis; in the second, autologous HCT was given 12 months or more after diagnosis, although individual reasons for later procedures were not specified. Following a standard induction therapy and preceding transplantation, more patients in the early group had achieved a complete response (CR) or very good partial response than in the late autologous HCT group (46% vs 62%, p=0.036). This difference remained significant after transplantation with patients who were upgraded to very good partial response or CR (early autologous HCT, 77% vs late autologous HCT, 56%; p<0.007). No significant differences were observed between groups for progression-free survival (PFS) or overall survival (OS), which were assessed at 1, 3, and 5 years; however, a difference of 10 months between groups in median PFS was noted (28 months for early autologous HCT patients vs 18 months for late autologous HCT patients). Relapse occurred in 40% of patients in the early autologous HCT group, and 55% of the late autologous HCT group (p=0.55). A variable that did have a significant bearing on PFS between groups was that of risk, with high-risk patients in the early autologous

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

HCT group achieving a median PFS of 25 months, compared with the 11 months achieved by their counterparts in the late autologous HCT group. The results of this study seemed to confirm the observation made by previous studies that patients who achieve a CR are more likely to remain progression-free for significantly longer than those whose response to induction therapy is not very good. Data were lacking on the reason for delayed autologous HCT; another limitation was that patients who received maintenance therapy were excluded from the study.

### **Autologous HCT vs Standard Chemotherapy**

#### ***Randomized Controlled Trials***

One 2015 RCT compared autologous HCT with standard chemotherapy plus lenalidomide, a newer agent for treatment of MM. The open-label RCT from 59 centers in Europe and Australia used a 2x2 factorial design to compare 4 groups (1) standard consolidation therapy plus HCT, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide alone, (2) standard consolidation therapy plus HCT, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide and prednisone, (3) consolidation with chemotherapy plus lenalidomide, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide alone, and (4) consolidation with chemotherapy plus lenalidomide, followed by maintenance with lenalidomide plus prednisone. The primary outcome was PFS. Mean follow-up at the time of publication was 52 months. Median PFS was superior for the HCT group plus standard consolidation (43.3 months; 95% confidence interval [CI], 33.2 to 52.2 months) compared with chemotherapy plus lenalidomide (28.6 months; 95% CI, 20.6 to 36.7 months;  $p < 0.0001$ ). The rate of grade 3 or 4 adverse events was higher in the HCT groups than in the chemotherapy groups (hematologic events, 84% vs 26%; gastrointestinal complications, 20% vs 5%; infections, 19% vs 5%; all respectively).

Based on several prospective, randomized trials comparing conventional chemotherapy with high-dose therapy plus autologous HCT for patients with MM, autologous HCT has become the treatment of choice in patients younger than 65 years of age.

Data from 7 randomized studies are available. In all but one (Barlogie et al [2006]), the CR rate was superior in the high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous HCT arm. The Barlogie study published final results from the phase 3 S9321 trial, which was initiated in 1993 and randomized 516 patients with MM to standard therapy or myeloablative conditioning with melphalan 140 mg/m<sup>2</sup> plus total body irradiation followed by autologous HCT. These trialists reported virtually no difference in outcomes, including response rates, PFS, and OS. In 5 of the 7 studies, the superior CR rate translated into significant increases in PFS. However, in the 2 studies that did not show an improved PFS with autologous HCT, randomization was not performed at diagnosis but only after induction treatment, possibly introducing selection bias. Three of the 7 studies showed superior OS in the autologous HCT group.

The Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome (IFM) showed the superiority of high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous HCT compared with conventional chemotherapy in a 1996 randomized trial of 200 patients younger than 65 years of age. The group that underwent autologous HCT had significantly improved

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.





# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060  
Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002  
Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

response rates, event-free survival (EFS), and OS. Seven years later, the British Medical Research Council published similar results.

### **Systematic Reviews**

A 2007 systematic review of 2411 patients enrolled in RCTs compared standard-dose chemotherapy with myeloablative chemotherapy plus single autologous HCT. Meta-analysis concluded that myeloablative therapy with autologous HCT increased the likelihood of PFS (hazard ratio of progression, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.96) but not OS (hazard ratio of death, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.13); in this group, the odds ratio for treatment-related mortality (TRM) was 3.01 (95% CI, 1.64 to 5.50). However, the effects of myeloablative chemotherapy and autologous HCT might have been underestimated because up to 55% of patients in the standard chemotherapy group received myeloablative chemotherapy with autologous HCT as salvage therapy when MM progressed. This could account for the lack of a significant difference in OS between the 2 groups.

### **Subsection Summary: Autologous HCT vs Standard Chemotherapy**

For individuals with newly diagnosed MM, evidence from multiple RCTs has suggested that high-dose chemotherapy with autologous HCT is superior to standard chemotherapy in PFS, and possibly OS.

### **Tandem HCT**

Tandem HCT involves an autologous transplant followed by a preplanned second transplant, either another autologous or RIC allogeneic transplant. A tandem transplant differs from a second salvage transplant in that a tandem transplant involves prospective planning for a second transplant at the time the first transplant is being planned.

### **Tandem Autologous HCT**

The first randomized trial of tandem autologous transplants (IFM-94) was published in 2003 by Attal et al. This trial randomized patients with newly diagnosed myeloma with single or tandem autologous transplants. Outcomes were analyzed by intention to treat (ITT) at 75-month follow-up. Among those randomized to single transplants (n=199), 148 relapsed: 33 were salvaged with a second autotransplant, 13 received no salvage, and the remainder received conventional chemotherapy plus thalidomide. Among those randomized to tandem autotransplants (n=200), 129 patients experienced disease relapse: 34 received salvage therapy with another (third) transplant, 12 received no salvage, and the remainder received conventional chemotherapy plus thalidomide. Seven years after diagnosis, patients randomized to tandem transplants had higher probabilities than those randomized to single transplants for EFS (20% vs 10%; p=0.03), relapse-free survival (23% vs 13%; p<0.01), and OS (42% vs 21%; p=0.010), all respectively. TRM rates were 6% and 4% after tandem and single transplants, respectively (p=0.40). Second transplants extended survival only for those who failed to achieve a CR or without a very good partial response after 1 transplant (OS at 7 years, 43% vs 11%, respectively; p<0.001).

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

An accompanying editorial by Stadtmauer (2003) raised concerns that IFM-94 results might be specific to the regimens used for myeloablative therapy. Patients in the single transplant arm received melphalan 140 mg/m<sup>2</sup> plus total body irradiation (TBI), while those in the tandem arm received the same dose without TBI for the initial transplant and with TBI for the second transplant. The editorial cited the IFM-95 study as evidence, suggesting melphalan 140 mg/m<sup>2</sup> plus TBI may be less effective and more toxic than myeloablative therapy plus melphalan 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> and no TBI. Based on this, Stadtmauer hypothesized that increased survival in the IFM-94 tandem arm might have resulted from greater cumulative exposure to melphalan (280 mg/m<sup>2</sup> vs 140 mg/m<sup>2</sup>).

The Bologna 96 clinical study (2007) assessed single and double autologous HCT (N=321). Patients undergoing tandem autologous HCT were more likely than those with a single autologous HCT to attain at least a near CR (47% vs 33%; p=0.008), to prolong RFS (median, 42 months vs 24 months; p<0.001), and extend EFS (median, 35 months vs 23 months; p=0.001), all respectively. There was no significant difference between groups in TRM (3%-4%). There was a trend for improved OS among patients in the double transplant group (7-year rate, 60%) compared with the single transplant group (7-year rate, 47%; p=0.10). Conversely, among patients achieving CR or near CR after 1 transplant, EFS and OS estimates did not differ significantly according to transplant(s) received by study randomization. A subgroup analysis of outcomes of patients assigned to the 2 treatment arms, conducted by treatment response, showed that the benefit of a second transplant was particularly evident in patients who failed to achieve at least near CR after the first autologous transplant.

### *Subsection Summary: Tandem Autologous HCT*

Compared with single autologous HCT, a number of RCTs have demonstrated tandem autologous RCTs improved OS and recurrence-free survival in newly diagnosed MM.

### ***Tandem Autologous HCT Followed by RIC Allo-HCT***

Several trials have evaluated RIC allo-HCT following single or tandem autologous HCT. These trials were based on genetic randomization (ie, patients with a HLA-identical sibling who were offered RIC allo-HCT following the autologous HCT), whereas the other patients underwent either single or tandem autologous transplants.

The first published, by Garban et al (2006), included high-risk patients. Sixty-five patients were in the autologous followed by RIC allogeneic group and 219 in the tandem autologous (autologous plus autologous) HCT group. Based on the ITT analysis, there was better median EFS and OS in the tandem autologous HCT group than in the RIC allo-HCT group (35 months vs 31.7 months, p=NS; 47.2 months vs 35 months, p=0.07, respectively). If results for only those patients who received autologous HCT followed by RIC allo-HCT (n=46) or tandem autologous HCT (n=166) were analyzed, the superior OS was again seen in the tandem, autologous group (median, 47.2 months vs 35 months; p=0.07). Updated results from this population were reported in 2008 by Moreau et al. Comparing the results of the 166 patients who completed the whole tandem autologous HCT protocol with the 46 patients who underwent the entire

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

autologous followed by RIC allogeneic program, no difference was seen in median EFS (25 months vs 21 months, respectively;  $p=0.88$ ), with a trend toward superior median OS in favor of double autologous HCT (57 months vs 41 months, respectively;  $p=0.08$ ), due to longer survival after relapse in the tandem autologous transplant arm.

A study by Bruno et al (2007) included 80 patients with an HLA-identical sibling who were allowed to choose allografts or autografts for the second transplant (58 completed an autograft or allograft sequence) and 82 without an HLA-identical sibling who were assigned to tandem autografts (46 completed the double autograft sequence). Results among those completing tandem transplantation showed a higher CR rate after the second transplant for the autologous plus allo-HCT group (55%) than for the tandem autologous HCT group (26%;  $p=0.004$ ). EFS and OS were superior for patients who underwent autologous plus allogeneic transplantation than for the tandem autologous transplantation (35 months vs 29 months;  $p=0.02$ ; 80 months vs 54 months;  $p=0.01$ , respectively). Comparing the group who had HLA-identical siblings with those without, in a pseudo-ITT analysis, EFS and OS were significantly longer in the group with HLA-identical siblings. The TRM rate at 2 years was 2% in the tandem, autologous group, and 10% in the autologous plus allogeneic group; 32% of the latter group had extensive, chronic GVHD.

Rosinol et al (2008) reported on the results of a prospective study of 110 patients with MM who failed to achieve at least near CR after a first autologous HCT and were scheduled to receive a second autologous transplant ( $n=85$ ) or an RIC allogeneic transplant ( $n=25$ ), depending on the availability of an HLA-identical sibling donor. The autologous followed by RIC allogeneic group had a higher CR rate (40% vs 11%, respectively;  $p=0.001$ ) and a trend toward a longer median PFS (31 months vs not reached, respectively;  $p=0.08$ ). There were no statistical differences in EFS or OS estimates between groups. The autologous followed by RIC allogeneic group experienced a higher TRM rate (16% vs 5%, respectively;  $p=0.07$ ) and had a 66% chance of chronic GVHD.

Although results differed between the Garban (2006) and the Moreau (2008) studies and the Bruno (2007) and the Rosinol (2008) studies, these differences might have been due to study designs. The Moreau study focused on patients with high-risk disease and involved a conditioning regimen before the RIC allogeneic transplant that might have eliminated some of the graft-versus-myeloma effects. Other contributing factors might have been nonuniform preparative regimens, different patient characteristics, and criteria for advancing to a second transplant (ie, only patients who failed to achieve a CR or near CR after the first autologous transplant underwent a second), and a small population in the allogeneic group in the Moreau study. Reviewers suggested that the subgroup of high-risk patients with de novo MM might have had equivalent or superior results with a tandem autologous HCT vs a tandem autologous plus RIC allo-HCT and that, in patients with standard-risk and/or chemosensitive MM, RIC allograft might be an option.

Interim meeting abstracts for 2 prospective phase 3 trials comparing double autologous with single autologous followed by RIC allogeneic transplant have been published. At 36-month follow-up, the HOVON Group study (2008) found no significant differences between groups that received autologous followed by

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

RIC allogeneic transplants and tandem autologous transplants in median EFS (34 months and 28 months, respectively) or in OS (80% and 75%, respectively). The other interim analysis of a European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplant (EBMT) study (2008) presented different inclusion criteria. Previously untreated patients received vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone or vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone-like induction treatment, and had a response status of at least stable disease (ie, complete or partial remission or stable disease) at the time of autologous transplantation, which was also the time point for study inclusion. Patients with an HLA-identical sibling proceeded to RIC allo-HCT, while those without a matched sibling received no further treatment or a second autologous cell transplant (if treated with a tandem program). A total of 356 patients were included, with a median follow-up of 3.5 years. Of these, 108 patients were allocated to the RIC allo-HCT group and 248 to the autologous transplant group. Of patients allocated to the allogeneic group, 98 received an RIC allogeneic transplant. At interim reporting, no significant differences in PFS or OS estimates were noted between groups.

At 96 months in the EBMT trial (2013), PFS and OS rates were 22% and 49% vs 12% ( $p=0.027$ ) and 36% ( $p=0.030$ ) for tandem autologous plus RIC allo-HCT vs autologous HCT, respectively. The corresponding relapse or progression rates were 60% and 82% ( $p<0.001$ ), respectively. Nonrelapse mortality (NRM) rates at 36 months were 13% and 3% ( $p<0.001$ ), respectively. In patients with the chromosome 13 deletion ( $del[13]$ ), corresponding PFS and OS estimates were 21% and 5% ( $p=0.026$ ) and 47% and 31% ( $p=0.154$ ), respectively. Long-term outcomes in patients with MM were better with autologous HCT followed by RIC allo-HCT than with autologous HCT only, and the autologous followed by RIC allogeneic approach seemed to overcome the poor prognostic impact of  $del(13)$  observed after autologous transplantation.

Krishnan et al (2011) conducted a phase 3 trial comparing tandem autologous HCT with tandem autologous HCT plus RIC allo-HCT (tandem auto-allo group) in patients from 37 transplant centers in the United States, who, between 2003 and 2007, had received an autologous HCT ( $n=710$ ). Of these patients, 625 had the standard-risk disease, and 156 (83%) of 189 patients in the tandem auto-allo group and 366 (84%) of 436 in the tandem autologous group received a second transplant. Patients were eligible for transplantation if they were younger than 70 years of age and had completed at least 3 cycles of systemic therapy for myeloma within the past 10 months. Patients were assigned to a second autologous or allo-HCT based on the availability of an HLA-matched sibling donor. Patients in the tandem autologous group, subsequently randomized to observation ( $n=219$ ) or maintenance therapy with thalidomide plus dexamethasone ( $n=217$ ). Kaplan-Meier estimates of 3-year PFS were 43% (95% CI, 36% to 51%) in the tandem auto-allo group and 46% (42% to 51%) in the tandem autologous group ( $p=0.67$ ). OS rates also did not differ at 3 years (77% [95% CI, 72% to 84%] vs 80% [CI, 77% to 84%];  $p=0.19$ ). Grade 3, 4, or 5 morbidity rates between the 2 groups were 46% and 42%, respectively. The data suggested nonmyeloablative tandem auto-allo-HCT was no more effective than tandem autologous HCT for patients with standard-risk myeloma.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

### *Subsection Summary: Tandem Autologous HCT Followed by RIC Allo-HCT*

Although the body of evidence has shown inconsistencies regarding OS and disease-free survival rates, some studies have shown a survival benefit with tandem autologous HCT followed by RIC allo-HCT, although at the cost of higher TRM compared with conventional treatments.

### **Allo-HCT**

Although myeloablative allo-HCT may be the only curative treatment in MM (due to its graft-versus-myeloma effect), its use has been restricted to younger patients. Even with the limited indications, the toxicity-related death rate for infections and GVHD is high, and this strategy has been almost completely abandoned.

In an approach to reduce NRM associated with allo-HCT, RIC methods have been investigated. Most studies are phase 2, with no comparison with other treatment modalities. One retrospective study has compared myeloablative with nonmyeloablative conditioning. This study, conducted by EBMT, found that TRM was significantly reduced with RIC but, because of a higher relapse or progression rate, there was no significant improvement in OS.

When RIC allo-HCT alone is used in patients with a high tumor burden or with the chemotherapy-resistant disease, the immunologic effect of the graft is not sufficient to preclude relapses. Therefore, RIC allogeneic transplantation is currently used after tumor mass reduction with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous HCT.

### **Section Summary: Allo-HCT**

The role of allo-HCT remains controversial, in particular, because of conflicting data from cooperative group trials, but also because of improvement in outcomes with proteasome inhibitors, new immune modulatory agents, and the use of posttransplant maintenance therapy. These issues were reviewed and summarized in 2013 and 2014. The evidence for allo-HCT is insufficient to draw conclusions.

### **RELAPSED OR REFRACTORY MM**

#### **Salvage Autologous HCT for Relapsed MM**

Despite improved survival rates with autologous HCT vs conventional chemotherapy, many patients will relapse and require salvage therapy. Therapeutic options for patients with relapsed MM after a prior autologous HCT include biologic agents (eg, thalidomide, lenalidomide, bortezomib, as single agents, or in combination with dexamethasone, or in combination with cytotoxic agents or with each other), traditional chemotherapy, or a second HCT.

The Myeloma X Relapse trial was a multicenter, randomized, open-label, phase 3 study involving 51 centers across the United Kingdom, with enrollment occurring between 2008 and 2012. Inclusion criteria were patients at least 18 years and with MM who needed treatment for first progressive or relapsed disease at least 18 months after a previous autologous HCT (NCT00747877; EudraCT 2006-005890-24). Before

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

randomization, eligible patients received bortezomib, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (PAD) induction therapy and then underwent peripheral blood stem cell mobilization and harvesting, if applicable. Eligible patients were randomized (1:1) to high-dose melphalan 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> plus salvage autologous HCT or to oral cyclophosphamide 400 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/wk for 12 weeks. The primary end point was time to disease progression, analyzed by ITT. A total of 297 patients were enrolled, of whom 293 received PAD reinduction therapy. Among the latter, 174 patients with sufficient harvest of peripheral blood stem cells were randomized to salvage HCT (n=89) or cyclophosphamide (n=85). After a median follow-up of 31 months, median time to progression was significantly longer in the salvage HCT group (19 months; 95% CI, 16 to 25 months) than in the cyclophosphamide group (11 months; 95% CI, 9 to 12 months; HR=0.36; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.53; p<0.001). Frequently reported (>10% of patients) grade 3 or 4 adverse events with PAD induction, salvage HCT, and cyclophosphamide were: neutropenia (43% [125/293] patients receiving PAD vs 76% [63/83] patients receiving salvage HCT vs 13% [11/84] patients receiving cyclophosphamide), thrombocytopenia (51% [150] after PAD, 72% [60] vs 5% [4]), and peripheral neuropathy (12% [35] after PAD, and none vs none), all respectively.

Final survival data for the Myeloma X Relapse trial were reported in 2016. The HCT group had superior median OS (67 months; 95% CI, 55 months to not estimable) compared with the chemotherapy group (52 months; 95% CI, 42 to 60 months; p<0.001). Time to disease progression continued to favor the HCT group at the longer follow-up (19 months [95% CI, 16 to 26 months] vs 11 months [95% CI, 9 to 12 months]; p=0.02). There were no further adverse events related to the HCT procedure reported during longer follow-up. The cumulative incidence of second malignancies was 5.2% (95% CI, 2.1% to 8.2%).

A 2013 multicenter retrospective study by Michaelis et al evaluated 187 patients drawn from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplantation who were treated with a second autologous HCT following relapse or progression of MM. All but 12% of patients received a second autologous HCT 12 months or more after the initial transplantation; prior to a second autologous HCT, only 40% (n=74) of patients were in complete or partial response. In patients whose time from the first transplant to first relapse was greater than 36 months, investigators noted a decrease in the risk of relapse after a second autologous HCT (relative risk, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.49 to 0.97), and an increase in PFS and OS. For such individuals, the 3-year PFS rate was twice that of the cohort at large (26% vs 13%), and 5-year PFS rate (13%) was considerably superior to that of the larger group (5%). A comparison of OS rates showed a similar improvement: while the 5-year OS rate of 29% for the entire cohort was comparable to other studies of a second autologous HCT in relapsed MM, the 5-year OS rate for individuals with a time-to-relapse of 36 months or greater was considerably improved (48%; p=0.026). After 3 years, only 4% (95% CI, 2% to 8%) of patients experienced NRM; however, relapse or disease progression was observed in 82% of patients after 3 years (vs 68% of patients with time-to-relapse  $\geq$ 36 months after initial transplant). The investigators acknowledged a lack of data on maintenance regimens, cytogenetics, or staging of individual disease; they also noted that, during the observed time frame (1995-2008), several newer therapies were introduced, which were not accounted for during analysis. However, given findings similar to other retrospective studies

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

during the same period, the investigators concluded that a second autologous HCT is an appropriate salvage therapy for eligible patients.

A 2017 review by Ziogas et al included studies of autologous HCT as salvage therapy in patients whose MM has relapsed following an initial autologous HCT (either single or tandem). The primary aim of the review was to summarize the circumstances in which a second autologous HCT should be administered, especially as more regimens show potential as salvage or reinduction therapy, including anti-CD38 antibodies, next-generation proteasome inhibitors, or immunomodulatory drugs. The authors noted that most studies have been retrospective, or of small patient samples; however, in 15 of the included studies, more than 40 patients were evaluated. Overall response rates ranged from 55.3% to 97.4%; following a salvage transplant, median PFS across studies varied considerably (range, 8.5-40 months). The questions examined in the review concerned the safety and efficacy of a second autologous HCT, predictors of outcome and best maintenance approach following salvage autologous HCT, and the future of the treatment. Based on general agreement from studies that showed the particular benefit of salvage autologous HCT in patients with longer intervals from the first transplant to initial relapse, reviewers recommended that the treatment is administered to patients with remission of greater than 18 months following initial autologous HCT. Given heterogeneity across studies of novel maintenance therapies, reviewers called for more prospective studies, noting melphalan as a well-established basis for treatment.

### **Tandem Autologous HCT for Relapse After First Autologous HCT**

A 2003 evidence-based systematic review sponsored by the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation summarized data from 4 relevant clinical series. Reviewers reported that some myeloma patients who relapsed after a first autotransplant achieved durable complete or partial remissions after a second autotransplant as salvage therapy. Factors found to increase the likelihood of durable remissions and extend survival included a chemosensitive relapse, younger age, long disease-free or progression-free interval since the initial autotransplant, and fewer chemotherapy regimens before the initial autotransplant. Olin et al (2009) reported their experience with 41 patients with MM who received a second salvage autologous HCT for relapsed disease. The median time between transplants was 37 months (range, 3-91 months). The overall response rate in assessable patients was 55%. TRM was 7%. Median follow-up was 15 months, with median PFS of 8.5 months and median OS 20.7 months. In a multivariate analysis of OS, the number of prior lines of therapy ( $\geq 5$ ) and time to progression after initial transplant were the strongest predictors of OS.

A 2016 review by McCarthy and Holstein summarized current treatment regimens for patients with myeloma who are eligible for autologous HCT or allo-HCT. Following discussion of studies on induction, salvage, consolidation, and maintenance therapies, reviewers offered recommendations based on the available evidence. Based on 4 studies comparing autologous HCT with chemotherapy alone, reviewers recommended autologous HCT as standard of care for patients who are eligible; additionally, they recommended autologous HCT for the first relapse, based on the pooled hazard ratio of 2 studies showing a benefit in patients given autologous HCT following relapse (hazard ratio, 0.57;  $p=0.037$ ). Reviewers noted

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

the increasing uncertainty regarding the efficacy and safety of allo-HCT compared with novel therapies; studies directly comparing allo-HCT with autologous HCT lack consistent results. However, RIC allo-HCT has been shown to have some benefit for patients whose disease is high-risk, especially in younger populations. As maintenance therapy, reviewers considered a number of studies evaluating thalidomide (n=8), which had conflicting results, as well as 3 randomized studies of lenalidomide, concluding that the latter treatment is standard of care.

### **Section Summary: Relapsed or Refractory MM**

Autologous HCT in patients relapsed MM have shown improved PFS and OS rates compared with conventional chemotherapy.

### **Allo-HCT for Relapse After Initial Autologous HCT**

Qazilbash et al (2006) reported their experience with salvage autologous HCT or allo-HCT after a failed first autologous transplant. Fourteen patients (median age, 52 years) received a second autologous transplant and 26 patients (median age, 51 years) underwent a RIC allo-HCT. The median interval between first and second transplant was 25 months for the autologous group and 17 months for the allogeneic group. After a median follow-up of 18 months (range, 2-69 months) for the autologous group, median PFS was 6.8 months, and OS was 29 months. After a median follow-up of 30 months (range, 13-66 months) for the allogeneic group, median PFS was 7.3 months, and OS was 13 months. Univariate analysis in the allogeneic group found that an interval of more than 1 year between the first and salvage transplants predicted a significantly better OS (p=0.02). None of the prognostic factors evaluated for the allogeneic group had a significant impact on survival in the autologous group (eg, age, cytogenetics, type of donor, chronic GVHD).

EBMT (2013) analyzed 413 MM patients who received a related or unrelated RIC allo-HCT for the treatment of relapse or disease progression after a prior autologous HCT. Median age at RIC allo-HCT was 54 years, and 45% of patients had undergone 2 or more prior autologous transplants. Median OS and PFS from the time of allogeneic transplantation for the entire population were about 25 months and 10 months, respectively. Cumulative NRM at 1 year was about 22%. In a multivariate analysis, cytomegalovirus seronegativity of both patient and donor was associated with significantly better PFS, OS, and NRM. Patient-donor sex mismatch was associated with better PFS; fewer than two prior autologous transplants was associated with better OS, and shorter time from the first autologous HCT to the RIC allo-HCT was associated with lower NRM. These results suggested patient, and donor cytomegalovirus seronegativity represent key prognostic factors for outcome after RIC allo-HCT for MM that relapses or progresses following one or more autologous transplants.

In 2017, Schneidawind et al retrospectively analyzed data from 41 myeloma patients who were treated with allogeneic stem cell transplantation for relapsed or refractory disease between 2001 and 2015. Among various immunosuppression regimens, anti-thymocyte globulin was given to 35 (85%) of the patients; conditioning regimens were myeloablative in 15 patients, reduced-intensity myeloablative in 18 patients,

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.





# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

and nonmyeloablative in 8 patients. In univariate analysis, EFS was significantly lower for the 18 patients who received a tandem autologous HCT prior to the allo-HCT than for the 23 patients who received either a single autologous HCT or no transplant before the current treatment (6% vs 24%, respectively; hazard ratio, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.23 to 0.98;  $p=0.04$ ). At the latest follow-up, a total of 25 patients had died, 14 (56%) of whom died of relapse or refractory disease. Salvage regimens (thalidomide, lenalidomide, pomalidomide, bortezomib, or a combination) were given to 20 patients, who showed significantly improved OS rates at 1 year (79%) and 3 years (68%), compared with the rest of the cohort (1-year OS=29%,  $p=0.001$ ; 3-year OS=14%,  $p=0.004$ ).

In 2017, EBMT reported on potential treatments for myeloma patients whose disease has relapsed following autologous stem cell transplantation; the included systematic review was primarily descriptive. Among the treatments suggested were immunomodulatory drugs (ie, thalidomide, lenalidomide, pomalidomide), proteasome inhibitors (ie, bortezomib, carfilzomib, ixazomib), monoclonal antibodies, and autologous HCT or allo-HCT. Reviewers noted that most of the studies of stem cell transplantation are retrospective analyses of case series or data drawn from databases; to confirm the apparent benefits of transplantation over chemotherapy alone, reviewers suggested that more prospective studies are needed for both types of procedure following relapse.

### **POEMS SYNDROME**

#### **Systematic Reviews**

A 2012 Cochrane review has provided a comprehensive source on the treatment of POEMS syndrome. Reviewers performed a broad literature search and identified no RCTs, no quasi-RCTs, no historically controlled trials, and no trials with concurrent controls that met selection criteria. Reviewers selected 6 small series (total N=57 patients) evaluating autologous HCT. Two-year survival rates ranged from 94% to 100%. Pooled results suggested that TRM with autologous HCT would be 3 (2.7%) of 112. Reviewers cautioned that long-term outcomes with autologous HCT have not been evaluated and require continuing study.

A second 2012 review article found that case series suggested most patients achieve at least some neurologic and functional improvement using conditioning doses of melphalan ranging from 140 to 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. Responses have been reported as durable but relapse occurs. Symptomatic progression has typically been reported as rare, with most progressions identified as rising vascular endothelial growth factor and radiographic. The reviewer also reported that long-term outcomes with autologous HCT are unclear given the sparse numbers.

A 2017 review article by Autore et al evaluated potential mobilizing regimens for the collection of peripheral blood in patients with POEMS syndrome; reviewers also included a number of small studies evaluating the roles of vascular endothelial growth factor and lenalidomide in cases of POEMS syndrome. In 7 studies using high-dose melphalan followed by autologous HCT, clinical response rates ranged from 69.3% to 100%, and morbidity rates related to autologous HCT ranged from 21.7% to 42.9%. Four studies evaluating lenalidomide as a treatment of POEMS syndrome showed clinical response rates ranging from 78% to

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060  
Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002  
Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

100%, although the case series included were small. Reviewers reported mixed results on the use of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor with chemo-mobilization compared with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor alone in 11 case series, in which engraftment syndrome occurred in 11% to 37.5% of patients when reported.

### Case Series

A single-center series published in 2012 reported a 5-year OS rate of 94% and a PFS rate of 75% among 59 patients entered between 1999 and late 2011. A second series (2014) included 9 patients with advanced POEMS syndrome who had Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status scores of 3 or 4 and were treated with high-dose melphalan therapy followed by autologous HCT from 2004 to 2011. Eight patients achieved an initial hematologic response, four of whom had CRs. At a median follow-up of 44 months (range, 8-94 months), 7 patients were alive, with a 3-year OS rate of 78%. There were no hematologic relapses in the survivors. One patient died of disease progression; the other died of pneumonia. All survivors improved in general performance status and clinical response.

### Retrospective Studies

In a 2017 retrospective, multicenter study, Cook et al evaluated 127 patients with POEMS syndrome who had received high-dose therapy (melphalan) and autologous HCT as first-line therapy; outcomes included transplant results, organ-specific response, OS, and PFS, and nonrelapse mortality. Engraftment was successful in most patients (96.8%); engraftment syndrome (n=29; 23%) did not appear significantly associated either with previous treatment ( $p < 0.018$ ) or the inclusion of cyclophosphamide as a mobilizer ( $p = 0.590$ ). Following transplantation, 48% of patients had achieved hematologic CR (n=49), 16 of whom were in a lower status preceding autologous HCT. At the 3-year follow-up, the likelihood of relapse was 12% (95% CI, 5% to 18%); after 5 years, the likelihood of PFS was 74% (95% CI, 63.2% to 83.7%). Rates of NRM and OS after 5 years were also favorable: respectively, 7.7% (95% CI, 1.9% to 13.6%) and 88.6% (95% CI, 81.5% to 95.8%). The authors noted a significant association between a patient's performance score and PFS ( $p = 0.032$ ), recommending that caregivers consider administering therapy before transplant to improve the performance score. A limitation of the study was that, although patients were treated between 1994 and 2010, newer imaging techniques were not reported, nor were vascular endothelial growth factor serum levels accounted for in the analysis.

### Section Summary: POEMS Syndrome

There is a lack of RCT evidence on the use of HCT for POEMS syndrome, but cohort studies and case series have reported improvements in symptoms and disease progression after HCT. POEMS syndrome is rare, and treatment options are few. Also, the natural history of POEMS does not suggest that spontaneous improvement will occur in the absence of treatment.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

### **SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE**

#### **Newly Diagnosed MM**

For individuals who have newly diagnosed MM who receive autologous HCT as initial treatment, the evidence includes several prospective, RCTs that compared conventional chemotherapy with high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous HCT. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. In general, the evidence has suggested overall survival rates are improved with autologous HCT compared with conventional chemotherapy in this setting. Limitations of the published evidence include patient heterogeneity, variability in treatment protocols, short follow-up periods, inconsistency in reporting important health outcomes, and inconsistency in reporting or collecting outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have newly diagnosed MM who receive tandem autologous HCT, the evidence includes several RCTs. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. Compared with single autologous HCT, a number of RCTs have demonstrated tandem autologous HCT improved overall survival and recurrence-free survival in newly diagnosed MM. The available RCTs compare RIC allo-HCT following a first autologous HCT with single or tandem autologous transplants. The RCTs were based on genetic randomization (ie, patients with a human leukocyte antigen-identical sibling who were offered RIC allo-HCT following autologous HCT), whereas other patients underwent either one or 2 autologous transplants. Although the body of evidence has shown inconsistencies regarding overall survival and disease-free survival rates, some studies have shown a survival benefit with tandem autologous HCT followed by RIC allo-HCT, although at the cost of higher transplant-related mortality compared with conventional treatments. Factors across studies that may account for differing trial results include different study designs, nonuniform preparative regimens, different patient characteristics (including risk stratification), and criteria for advancing to a second transplant. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have newly diagnosed MM who receive allo-HCT with as initial or salvage treatment, the evidence includes nonrandomized studies. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. Studies have reported on patients with both myeloablative conditioning and RIC. Limitations of the published evidence include patient sample heterogeneity, variability in treatment protocols, short follow-up periods, inconsistency in reporting important health outcomes, and inconsistency in reporting or collecting outcomes. Nonmyeloablative allo-HCT as first-line therapy is associated with lower transplant-related mortality but a greater risk of relapse; convincing evidence is lacking that allo-HCT improves survival better than autologous HCT. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

#### **Relapsed or Refractory MM**

For individuals who have relapsed MM after failing an autologous HCT who receive autologous HCT, the evidence includes an RCT, a retrospective study, a systematic review summarizing data from 4 series of patients who relapsed after a first autologous HCT, and a review summarizing recent studies on a second

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060  
Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002  
Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

autologous HCT in relapsed myeloma. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. Despite some limitations of the published evidence, including patient sample heterogeneity, variability in treatment protocols, and short follow-up periods, the available trial evidence has suggested overall survival rates are improved with autologous HCT compared with conventional chemotherapy in this setting. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have refractory, MM after failing the first HCT who receive tandem autologous HCT, the evidence includes 3 RCTs and a review. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. The evidence has shown tandem autologous HCT improves overall survival rates in this setting. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

### POEMS Syndrome

For individuals who have POEMS syndrome who receive HCT, the evidence includes case reports and series. Relevant outcomes include overall survival and treatment-related morbidity. No RCTs of HCT of any type have been performed in patients with POEMS syndrome of any severity, nor is it likely such studies will be performed because of the rarity of this condition. Available case reports and series are subject to selection bias and are heterogeneous concerning treatment approaches and peritransplant support. However, for patients with disseminated POEMS syndrome, a chain of evidence and contextual factors related to the disease and MM would suggest improvement in health outcomes with autologous HCT. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

### References

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association, [Medical Policy Reference Manual](#), "Hematopoietic Stem cell Support for Multiple Myeloma", 8.01.17, 1:2018.
2. Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma. *Blood*. Mar 15 2008;111(6):2962-2972. PMID 18332230
3. Palumbo A, Rajkumar SV. Treatment of newly diagnosed myeloma. *Leukemia*. Mar 2009;23(3):449-456. PMID 19005483
4. Durie BG, Harousseau JL, Miguel JS, et al. International uniform response criteria for multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. Sep 2006;20(9):1467-1473. PMID 16855634
5. Dispenzieri A. Long-term outcomes after autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with POEMS syndrome. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol*. Nov 2012;10(11):744-746. PMID 23271262
6. Dispenzieri A. POEMS syndrome: update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol*. Aug 2012;87(8):804-814. PMID 22806697
7. Bardwick PA, Zvaifler NJ, Gill GN, et al. Plasma cell dyscrasia with polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M protein, and skin changes: the POEMS syndrome. Report on two cases and a review of the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Jul 1980;59(4):311-322. PMID 6248720
8. Dispenzieri A, Kyle RA, Lacy MQ, et al. POEMS syndrome: definitions and long-term outcome. *Blood*. Apr 1 2003;101(7):2496-2506. PMID 12456500
9. Nasu S, Misawa S, Sekiguchi Y, et al. Different neurological and physiological profiles in POEMS syndrome and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. May 2012;83(5):476-479. PMID 22338030
10. Dispenzieri A, Moreno-Aspitia A, Suarez GA, et al. Peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in 16 patients with POEMS syndrome, and a review of the literature. *Blood*. Nov 15 2004;104(10):3400-3407. PMID 15280195

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

11. Singh D, Wadhwa J, Kumar L, et al. POEMS syndrome: experience with fourteen cases. *Leuk Lymphoma*. Oct 2003;44(10):1749-1752. PMID 14692529
12. Kuwabara S, Dispenzieri A, Arimura K, et al. Treatment for POEMS (polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, and skin changes) syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Jun 13 2012;6(6):CD006828. PMID 22696361
13. Dispenzieri A. How I treat POEMS syndrome. *Blood*. Jun 14 2012;119(24):5650-5658. PMID 22547581
14. Reece DE. Recent trends in the management of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Curr Opin Hematol*. Jul 2009;16(4):306-312. PMID 19491669
15. Reece D, Gertz MA. Myeloma Management 2009: Nontransplant therapy of myeloma, high-dose therapy for myeloma, and apersonalized care plan for treatment of myeloma. *2009 American Society of Clinical Oncology Annual Meeting Educational Handbook*. 2009:502-509. PMID
16. Qiao SK, Guo XN, Ren JH, et al. Efficacy and safety of lenalidomide in the treatment of multiple myeloma: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. May 5 2015;128(9):1215-1222. PMID 25947406
17. Fonseca R. Strategies for risk-adapted therapy in myeloma. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. Nov 2007:304-310. PMID 18024644
18. Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma: 2011 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol*. Jan 2011;86(1):57-65. PMID 21181954
19. Larocca A, Palumbo A. Evolving paradigms in the treatment of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. Oct 2011;9(10):1186-1196. PMID 21975915
20. van de Donk NW, Lokhorst HM, Dimopoulos M, et al. Treatment of relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma in the era of novel agents. *Cancer Treat Rev*. Jun 2011;37(4):266-283. PMID 20863623
21. Nishihori T, Alsina M. Advances in the autologous and allogeneic transplantation strategies for multiple myeloma. *Cancer Control*. Oct 2011;18(4):258-267. PMID 21976244
22. Dunavin NC, Wei L, Elder P, et al. Early versus delayed autologous stem cell transplant in patients receiving novel therapies for multiple myeloma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. Aug 2013;54(8):1658-1664. PMID 23194056
23. Gay F, Oliva S, Petrucci MT, et al. Chemotherapy plus lenalidomide versus autologous transplantation, followed by lenalidomide plus prednisone versus lenalidomide maintenance, in patients with multiple myeloma: a randomised, multicentre, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. Dec 2015;16(16):1617-1629. PMID 26596670
24. Attal M, Harousseau JL. The role of high-dose therapy with autologous stem cell support in the era of novel agents. *Semin Hematol*. Apr 2009;46(2):127-132. PMID 19389496
25. Attal M, Harousseau JL, Stoppa AM, et al. A prospective, randomized trial of autologous bone marrow transplantation and chemotherapy in multiple myeloma. Intergroupe Francais du Myelome. *N Engl J Med*. Jul 11 1996;335(2):91-97. PMID 8649495
26. Barlogie B, Kyle RA, Anderson KC, et al. Standard chemotherapy compared with high-dose chemoradiotherapy for multiple myeloma: final results of phase III US Intergroup Trial S9321. *J Clin Oncol*. Feb 20 2006;24(6):929-936. PMID 16432076
27. Blade J, Rosinol L, Sureda A, et al. High-dose therapy intensification compared with continued standard chemotherapy in multiple myeloma patients responding to the initial chemotherapy: long-term results from a prospective randomized trial from the Spanish cooperative group PETHEMA. *Blood*. Dec 1 2005;106(12):3755-3759. PMID 16105975
28. Child JA, Morgan GJ, Davies FE, et al. High-dose chemotherapy with hematopoietic stem-cell rescue for multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. May 8 2003;348(19):1875-1883. PMID 12736280
29. Femand JP, Ravaud P, Chevret S, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma: up-front or rescue treatment? Results of a multicenter sequential randomized clinical trial. *Blood*. Nov 1 1998;92(9):3131-3136. PMID 9787148
30. Palumbo A, Bringhen S, Petrucci MT, et al. Intermediate-dose melphalan improves survival of myeloma patients aged 50 to 70: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Blood*. Nov 15 2004;104(10):3052-3057. PMID 15265788
31. Koreth J, Cutler CS, Djulbegovic B, et al. High-dose therapy with single autologous transplantation versus chemotherapy for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Feb 2007;13(2):183-196. PMID 17241924
32. Attal M, Harousseau JL, Facon T, et al. Single versus double autologous stem-cell transplantation for multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 25 2003;349(26):2495-2502. PMID 14695409
33. Stadtmauer EA. Multiple myeloma, 2004--one or two transplants? [editorial]. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 25 2003;349(26):2551-2553. PMID 14695416

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

34. Cavo M, Tosi P, Zamagni E, et al. Prospective, randomized study of single compared with double autologous stem-cell transplantation for multiple myeloma: Bologna 96 clinical study. *J Clin Oncol*. Jun 10 2007;25(17):2434-2441. PMID 17485707
35. Garban F, Attal M, Michallet M, et al. Prospective comparison of autologous stem cell transplantation followed by dose-reduced allograft (IFM99-03 trial) with tandem autologous stem cell transplantation (IFM99-04 trial) in high-risk de novo multiple myeloma. *Blood*. May 1 2006;107(9):3474-3480. PMID 16397129
36. Moreau P, Garban F, Attal M, et al. Long-term follow-up results of IFM99-03 and IFM99-04 trials comparing nonmyeloablative allotransplantation with autologous transplantation in high-risk de novo multiple myeloma. *Blood*. Nov 1 2008;112(9):3914-3915. PMID 18948589
37. Bruno B, Rotta M, Patriarca F, et al. A comparison of allografting with autografting for newly diagnosed myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 15 2007;356(11):1110-1120. PMID 17360989
38. Rosinol L, Perez-Simon JA, Sureda A, et al. A prospective PETHEMA study of tandem autologous transplantation versus autograft followed by reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic transplantation in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Blood*. Nov 1 2008;112(9):3591-3593. PMID 18612103
39. Lokhorst H, Mutis I. Allogeneic transplantation and immune interventions in multiple myeloma [abstract]. *Hematol Educ*. 2008;2:106-114. PMID
40. Bjorkstrand B, Iacobelli S, Hegenbart U, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) versus ASCT followed by reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic SCT with identical sibling donor in previously untreated multiple myeloma: preliminary analysis of a prospective controlled trial by the EBMT [abstract]. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2008;41:S38. PMID
41. Gahrton G, Iacobelli S, Bjorkstrand B, et al. Autologous/reduced-intensity allogeneic stem cell transplantation vs autologous transplantation in multiple myeloma: long-term results of the EBMT-NMAM2000 study. *Blood*. Jun 20 2013;121(25):5055-5063. PMID 23482933
42. Krishnan A, Pasquini MC, Logan B, et al. Autologous haemopoietic stem-cell transplantation followed by allogeneic or autologous haemopoietic stem-cell transplantation in patients with multiple myeloma (BMT CTN 0102): a phase 3 biological assignment trial. *Lancet Oncol*. Dec 2011;12(13):1195-1203. PMID 21962393
43. Harousseau JL. The allogeneic dilemma. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. Dec 2007;40(12):1123-1128. PMID 17680016
44. Crawley C, Iacobelli S, Bjorkstrand B, et al. Reduced-intensity conditioning for myeloma: lower nonrelapse mortality but higher relapse rates compared with myeloablative conditioning. *Blood*. Apr 15 2007;109(8):3588-3594. PMID 17158231
45. Gahrton G, Bjorkstrand B. Allogeneic transplantation in multiple myeloma. *Haematologica*. Sep 2008;93(9):1295-1300. PMID 18757850
46. Giralt S, Koehne G. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma: what place, if any? *Curr Hematol Malig Rep*. Dec 2013;8(4):284-290. PMID 24146203
47. Giralt S, Costa L, Schriber J, et al. Optimizing autologous stem cell mobilization strategies to improve patient outcomes: consensus guidelines and recommendations. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Mar 2014;20(3):295-308. PMID 24141007
48. Olin RL, Vogl DT, Porter DL, et al. Second auto-SCT is safe and effective salvage therapy for relapsed multiple myeloma. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. Mar 2009;43(5):417-422. PMID 18850013
49. Cook G, Williams C, Brown JM, et al. High-dose chemotherapy plus autologous stem-cell transplantation as consolidation therapy in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma after previous autologous stem-cell transplantation (NCRI Myeloma X Relapse [Intensive trial]): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. Jul 2014;15(8):874-885. PMID 24948586
50. Cook G, Ashcroft AJ, Cairns DA, et al. The effect of salvage autologous stem-cell transplantation on overall survival in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma (final results from BSBMT/UKMF Myeloma X Relapse [Intensive]): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Haematol*. Jul 2016;3(7):e340-351. PMID 27374467
51. Michaelis LC, Saad A, Zhong X, et al. Salvage second hematopoietic cell transplantation in myeloma. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. May 2013;19(5):760-766. PMID 23298856
52. Ziogas DC, Terpos E, Dimopoulos MA. When to recommend a second autograft in patients with relapsed myeloma? *Leuk Lymphoma*. Apr 2017;58(4):781-787. PMID 27894207
53. Hahn T, Wingard JR, Anderson KC, et al. The role of cytotoxic therapy with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the therapy of multiple myeloma: an evidence-based review. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Jan 2003;9(1):4-37. PMID 12533739
54. McCarthy PL, Holstein SA. Role of stem cell transplant and maintenance therapy in plasma cell disorders. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. Dec 2 2016;2016(1):504-511. PMID 27913522

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060  
 Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002  
 Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

55. Qazilbash MH, Saliba R, De Lima M, et al. Second autologous or allogeneic transplantation after the failure of first autograft in patients with multiple myeloma. *Cancer*. Mar 1 2006;106(5):1084-1089. PMID 16456814
56. Auner HW, Szydlo R, van Biezen A, et al. Reduced intensity-conditioned allogeneic stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma relapsing or progressing after autologous transplantation: a study by the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. Nov 2013;48(11):1395-1400. PMID 23708704
57. Schneidawind C, Duerr-Stoerzer S, Faul C, et al. Follow-up of patients with refractory or relapsed multiple myeloma after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Clin Transplant*. Jul 2017;31(7). PMID 28470884
58. Garderet L, Cook G, Auner HW, et al. Treatment options for relapse after autograft in multiple myeloma - report from an EBMT educational meeting. *Leuk Lymphoma*. Apr 2017;58(4):797-808. PMID 27650125
59. Autore F, Innocenti I, Luigetti M, et al. Autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation and the role of lenalidomide in patients affected by poems syndrome. *Hematol Oncol*. Sep 15 2017. PMID 28913957
60. D'Souza A, Lacy M, Gertz M, et al. Long-term outcomes after autologous stem cell transplantation for patients with POEMS syndrome (osteosclerotic myeloma): a single-center experience. *Blood*. Jul 5 2012;120(1):56-62. PMID 22611150
61. Jang IY, Yoon DH, Kim S, et al. Advanced POEMS syndrome treated with high-dose melphalan followed by autologous blood stem cell transplantation: a single-center experience. *Blood Res*. Mar 2014;49(1):42-48. PMID 24724066
62. Cook G, Iacobelli S, van Biezen A, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with POEMS syndrome: a retrospective study of the Plasma Cell Disorder sub-committee of the Chronic Malignancy Working Party of the European Society for Blood & Marrow Transplantation. *Haematologica*. Jan 2017;102(1):160-167. PMID 27634201
63. Shah N, Callander N, Ganguly S, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma: guidelines from the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Jul 2015;21(7):1155-1166. PMID 25769794
64. Giralt S, Garderet L, Durie B, et al. American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, European Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Blood and Marrow Transplant Clinical Trials Network, and International Myeloma Working Group consensus conference on salvage hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Dec 2015;21(12):2039-2051. PMID 26428082
65. Mikhael JR, Dingli D, Roy V, et al. Management of newly diagnosed symptomatic multiple myeloma: updated Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy (mSMART) consensus guidelines 2013. *Mayo Clin Proc*. Apr 2013;88(4):360-376. PMID 23541011
66. Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma: 2012 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol*. Jan 2012;87(1):78-88. PMID 22180161
67. Lokhorst H, Einsele H, Vesole D, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus statement regarding the current status of allogeneic stem-cell transplantation for multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol*. Oct 10 2010;28(29):4521-4530. PMID 20697091
68. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Multiple Myeloma. Version 3.2018. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/myeloma.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/myeloma.pdf). Accessed January 2, 2018.
69. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Stem Cell Transplantation (Formerly 110.8.1) (110.23). 2016; [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncc-details.aspx?NCDId=366&ncdver=1&DocID=110.23&list\\_type=ncd&bc=gAAAAAgAAAAAA%3d%3d&](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncc-details.aspx?NCDId=366&ncdver=1&DocID=110.23&list_type=ncd&bc=gAAAAAgAAAAAA%3d%3d&). Accessed January 2, 2018.

### Policy History

Original Effective Date:	01/28/2002
Current Effective Date:	03/21/2018
12/06/2001	Medical Policy Committee review
01/28/2002	Managed Care Advisory Council approval
12/06/2006	Medical Director review
12/20/2006	Medical Policy Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.
09/05/2007	Medical Director review

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

09/19/2007 Medical Policy Committee approval. Policy statement language regarding tandem transplants in newly diagnosed or responsive multiple myeloma clarified.

09/09/2008 Medical Director review

09/17/2008 Medical Policy Committee approval. No change to coverage eligibility.

09/03/2009 Medical Policy Committee approval

09/16/2009 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage eligibility.

09/09/2010 Medical Policy Committee review

09/15/2010 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Policy title changed from "High-Dose Chemotherapy with Stem-Cell Support for Multiple Myeloma" to "Hematopoietic Stem-Cell Transplantation for Multiple Myeloma". Policy language and statements extensively updated to reflect current practice.

09/01/2011 Medical Policy Committee review

09/14/2011 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No changes to coverage.

09/06/2012 Medical Policy Committee review

09/19/2012 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

03/04/2013 Coding updated

10/03/2013 Medical Policy Committee review

10/16/2013 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Title changed. Coverage for POEMS syndrome added.

11/06/2014 Medical Policy Committee review

11/21/2014 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.

03/05/2015 Medical Policy Committee review

03/19/2015 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Tandem autologous-autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation to treat multiple myeloma clarified.

03/03/2016 Medical Policy Committee review

03/16/2016 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.

01/01/2017 Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes

03/02/2017 Medical Policy Committee review

03/15/2017 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.

03/01/2018 Medical Policy Committee review

03/21/2018 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.

Next Scheduled Review Date: 03/2019

### **Coding**

*The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)†, copyright 2017 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.*

*The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT,*

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.





# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060  
Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002  
Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	38204, 38205, 38206, 38207, 38208, 38209, 38210, 38211, 38212, 38213, 38214, 38215, 38230, 38232, 38240, 38241, 38242, 38243
HCPCS	S2140, S2142, S2150
ICD-10 Diagnosis	C90.00-C90.02, E88.09

\*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. FDA and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
  - 1. Consultation with the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association technology assessment program (TEC) or other nonaffiliated technology evaluation center(s);
  - 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
  - 3. Reference to federal regulations.

\*\*Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



# Louisiana

## Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome

Policy # 00060

Original Effective Date: 01/28/2002

Current Effective Date: 03/21/2018

**NOTICE:** Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

©2018 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.