Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Policy #   00639
Original Effective Date: 01/01/2019
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Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc.(collectively referred to as the “Company”), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Gland Cryoablation of Prostate Cancer is addressed separately in medical policy 00022.

Services Are Considered Investigational
Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers saturation biopsy in the diagnosis, staging, and management of prostate cancer to be investigational.*

Policy Guidelines
Saturation biopsy is generally considered obtaining more than 20 biopsy tissue cores from the prostate in a systematic manner; it is occasionally defined as obtaining more than 18 biopsy tissue cores.

Background/Overview
Prostate Cancer
Prostate cancer is common and is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in men in the U.S.

Diagnosis
The diagnosis of prostate cancer is made by biopsy of the prostate gland. The approach to biopsy has changed over time, especially with the advent of prostate-specific antigen screening programs that identify cancer in prostates that are normal to palpation and to transrectal ultrasound. For patients with an elevated prostate-specific antigen level but with a normal biopsy, questions exist about subsequent evaluation, because repeat biopsy specimens may be positive for cancer in a substantial percentage of patients.
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In the early 1990s, use of sextant biopsies involving 6 random, evenly distributed biopsies became the standard approach to diagnose prostate cancer. In the late 1990s, as studies showed high false-negative rates for this strategy (missed cancers), approaches were developed to increase the total number of biopsies and to change the location of the biopsies. While there is disagreement about the optimal strategy, most would agree that initial prostate biopsy strategies should include at least 10 to 14 cores. Additional concerns have been raised about drawing conclusions about the stage (grade) of prostate cancer based on limited biopsy specimens. Use of multiple biopsies has also been discussed as an approach to identify tumors that may be eligible for subtotal cryoablation therapy.

At present, many practitioners use a 12- to 14-core "extended" biopsy strategy for patients undergoing initial biopsy. This extended biopsy is done in an office setting and allows for more extensive sampling of the lateral peripheral zone; a sampling of the lateral horn might increase the cancer detection rate by approximately 25%.

Another approach to increasing the number of biopsy tissue cores is "saturation" biopsy. In general, saturation biopsy is considered as more than 20 cores taken from the prostate, with an improved sampling of the anterior zones of the gland, which may be under sampled in standard peripheral zone biopsy strategies and might lead to missed cancers. Saturation biopsy might be performed transrectally or transperineally; the transperineal approach is generally performed as a stereotactic template-guided procedure with general anesthesia.

Surveillance
In addition to the diagnosis of prostate cancer, some have suggested that saturation biopsy could be a part of active surveillance (a treatment approach that involves surveillance with prostate-specific antigen, digital rectal exam, and routine prostate biopsies in men whose cancers are small and expected to behave indolently). Saturation biopsy has the potential to identify tumor grade more accurately than standard biopsy.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval
U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
Saturation biopsy is a surgical procedure and, as such, is not subject to regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.
Rationale/Source
Saturation biopsy of the prostate, in which more cores are obtained than by standard biopsy protocol, has been proposed in the diagnosis (for initial or repeat biopsy), staging, and management of patients with prostate cancer.

For individuals who have suspected prostate cancer who receive initial saturation biopsy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials, observational studies, and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and treatment-related morbidity. A 2013 systematic review found higher rates of cancer detection with saturation biopsy than with extended biopsy overall, but, in the subgroup of men with prostate-specific antigen levels less than 10 ng/mL, the degree of difference was small and possibly not clinically significant. Health outcomes (eg, survival rate) were not reported. Although several studies were published after the systematic review, none showed that initial saturation biopsy improved the detection of clinically significant cancers and none reported progression or survival outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have suspected prostate cancer who receive repeat saturation biopsy, the evidence includes observational studies and a systematic review. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and treatment-related morbidity. Several studies have compared saturation with standard prostate biopsies in the repeat biopsy setting and have found significantly higher detection rates with saturation biopsy. However, at least one study found that about one-third of the positive findings with saturation biopsy were clinically insignificant cancers. Moreover, studies of saturation biopsy as the repeat prostate biopsy strategy focused on cancer detection rates and did not report health outcomes (eg, progression or survival). Evidence is lacking as to whether saturation biopsy leads to improved health outcomes, including the possibility of detecting clinically insignificant cancers, which could lead to unnecessary treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have prostate cancer and are candidates for active surveillance who receive saturation biopsy, the evidence includes two nonrandomized comparative studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and treatment-related morbidity. Both studies retrospectively compared standard biopsy with saturation biopsy for selecting patients for active surveillance; neither found that saturation biopsy improved the ability...
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to select patients. In one study, biopsy method was not a significant predictor of upstaging and, in the other study, biopsy method was not significantly associated with selecting patients with a high Gleason score. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Supplemental Information**

**Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

In response to requests, input was received from 3 physician specialty societies and 3 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2014. There were five responses from one specialty society, four responses from another, and one response from the third, for a total of ten specialty society responses. Most reviewers stated that saturation biopsy is considered investigational and did not think that saturation biopsy in patients with two prior negative biopsies and persistently rising prostate-specific antigen level is considered medically necessary. Clinicians proposed various options that could be used in the situation of prior negative biopsies and rising prostate-specific antigen level: there was no consensus on the best approach. Suggestions included magnetic resonance imaging with transrectal ultrasound, multi parametric magnetic resonance imaging, and 3T pelvic magnetic resonance imaging. There was near consensus that there is insufficient evidence to support the use of any of these techniques for the indications being considered.

**Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

**National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guidelines**

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines (v.2.2019) on early detection of prostate cancer state that routine use of advanced biopsy techniques, including saturation biopsy, is not recommended for initial biopsy. However, based on emerging evidence, the guidelines also state that saturation biopsy can be considered for "very high-risk" men with previous negative biopsies.
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U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (2012) recommendations on prostate cancer screening (now archived) did not address saturation biopsy. In May 2018, the Task Force released its updated recommendations on screening for prostate cancer. This update also did not address the use of saturation biopsy.

Medicare National Coverage
There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials
A search of ClinicalTrials.gov in June 2019 did not identify any ongoing or unpublished trials that would likely influence this review.
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Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), copyright 2018 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>55706</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCPCS</th>
<th>No codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 Diagnosis</td>
<td>C61, D07.5, D40.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or

B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

1. Consultation with the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association technology assessment program (TEC) or other nonaffiliated technology evaluation center(s);
2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
3. Reference to federal regulations.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.