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Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the “Company”), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Whole Exome and Whole Genome Sequencing for Diagnosis of Genetic Disorders is addressed separately in medical policy 0038.

Note: Chromosomal Microarray Testing for the Evaluation of Pregnancy Loss is addressed separately in medical policy 00449.

When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage
Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member’s contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) as first-line testing to be eligible for coverage** in the initial evaluation of individuals with any of the following:

- Apparently nonsyndromic developmental delay/intellectual disability (DD/DI); or
- Autism spectrum disorder (ASD); or
- Multiple congenital anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome

When Services Are Considered Investigational
Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.
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Based on review of available data, the Company considers panel testing using next-generation sequencing (NGS) in all cases of suspected genetic abnormality in children with developmental delay/intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder, or congenital anomalies to be investigational.*

Based on review of available data, the Company considers chromosomal microarray for the evaluation of all other conditions of delayed development, including but not limited to idiopathic growth or language delay to be investigational.*

**Policy Guidelines**

Use of CMA testing as outlined in this policy is not intended for use in the prenatal period.

A guidelines update from American College of Medical Genetics (Schaefer et al [2013]) stated that a stepwise (or tiered) approach to the clinical genetic diagnostic evaluation of autism spectrum disorder is recommended, with the recommendation being for first tier to include fragile X syndrome and CMA testing.

Recommendations from the American College of Medical Genetics (Manning and Hudgins [2010]) on array-based technologies and their clinical utilization for detecting chromosomal abnormalities include the following: “Appropriate follow-up is recommended in cases of chromosome imbalance identified by CMA, to include cytogenetic/FISH [fluorescent in situ hybridization] studies of the patient, parental evaluation, and clinical genetic evaluation and counseling.”

In some cases of CMA analysis, the laboratory performing the test confirms all reported copy number variants with an alternative technology, such as fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis.

**Genetics Nomenclature Update**

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society’s nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the HUman Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.
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The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology—"pathogenic," "likely pathogenic," "uncertain significance," "likely benign," and "benign"—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>Disease-associated variant</td>
<td>Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant</td>
<td>Change in the DNA sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial variant</td>
<td>Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant Classification</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely pathogenic</td>
<td>Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant of uncertain significance</td>
<td>Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely benign</td>
<td>Likely benign change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Benign change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology.
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Genetic Counseling
Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing; further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

Background/Overview
Diagnostic Testing
Karyotyping and Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization
The goal of a cytogenetic evaluation is to identify chromosomal imbalances that cause a disorder. The most common imbalances are copy number variants (CNVs) or deletions and duplications of large segments of genomic material. CNVs are common in DD/ID and ASD but more often reflect the normal genetic variation. However, de novo CNVs are observed about four times more frequently in children with ASD than in normal individuals. Less frequently, other abnormalities such as balanced translocations (ie, exchanges of equally sized DNA loci between chromosomes) may be pathogenic. For many well-described syndromes, the type and location of the associated chromosomal abnormality have been established by studying large patient samples. For others, few patients with similar abnormalities may have been evaluated to establish genotype-phenotype correlation. Finally, in some patients, the cytogenetic analysis will discover chromosomal abnormalities that require study to determine their significance.

Prior to the advent of CMAs, the initial step in the cytogenetic analysis was G-banded karyotyping, which evaluates all chromosomes. High-resolution G-banding can detect changes as small as three to five megabases in size, although standard G-banding evaluates more than ten megabases changes. In children with DD/ID, a review by Stankiewicz and Beaudet (2007) found G-banded karyotyping diagnostic in approximately 3% to 5%. In ASD, high-resolution karyotyping appears to identify abnormalities in up to 5% of cases.
In contrast, molecular cytogenetic techniques can detect small submicroscopic chromosomal alterations. FISH, a targeted approach, is used to identify specific chromosomal abnormalities associated with suspected diagnoses such as DiGeorge syndrome. Prior to CMAs, FISH was also used to screen the rearrangement-prone subtelomeric regions. Subtelomeric FISH was found to identify abnormalities in children with DD and ID, diagnostic in approximately 5% to 6% of those with negative karyotypes, but uncommonly in ASD.

**Chromosomal Microarrays**

Two types of CMAs are considered here: array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) and single nucleotide variants (SNV) arrays. The aCGH approach uses DNA samples from a patient and normal control. Each is labeled with distinct fluorescent dyes (red or green). The labeled samples are then mixed and hybridized to thousands of cloned or synthesized reference (normal) DNA fragments of known genomic locus immobilized on a glass slide (microarray) to conduct thousands of comparative reactions simultaneously. CNVs are determined by computer analysis of the array patterns and intensities of the hybridization signals. If the patient sequence is missing part of the normal sequence (a deletion) or has the normal sequence plus additional genomic material within that genomic location (eg, a duplication), the sequence imbalance is detected as a difference in fluorescence intensity (Korf and Rehm [2013] offer an illustrative graphic). For this reason, aCGH cannot detect balanced chromosomal translations (equal exchange of material between chromosomes) or sequence inversions (same sequence is present in reverse base-pair order) because the fluorescence intensity would not change. A portion of the increased diagnostic yield from CMA over karyotyping comes from the discovery that chromosomal rearrangements that appear balanced (and therefore not pathogenic) by G-banded karyotype analysis are found to have small imbalances with greater resolution. It has been estimated that 40% of apparently balanced de novo or inherited translocations with abnormal phenotype are associated with cryptic deletion if analyzed by CMA testing.

Like aCGH, SNV arrays detect CNVs. In an SNV array, the two alleles for genes of interest are tagged with different fluorescent dyes. Comparative fluorescence intensity will be increased when there are duplications and diminished with deletions. The resolution provided by aCGH is higher than with SNV arrays. In addition, aCGH has better signal-to-background characteristics than SNV arrays. In contrast to aCGH, SNV arrays will also identify long stretches of DNA homozygosity, which may suggest uniparental disomy or consanguinity. Uniparental disomy occurs when a child...
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...inherits two copies of a chromosome from one parent and no copies from the other parent. Uniparental disomy can lead to syndromes such as Angelman and Prader-Willi.

Table 1 summarizes the cytogenetic tests used to evaluate children with DD/ID and autism. The table emphasizes the large difference in resolution between karyotyping and CMA.

Table 1. Resolution and Analysis Comparison of FISH, Karyotyping, and CMA Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Resolution in Kilobases&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karyotyping</td>
<td>3000-5000 kb</td>
<td>Genome-wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA</td>
<td>≈50 kb</td>
<td>Genome-wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISH</td>
<td>≈500 to 1000 kb (depending on probe)</td>
<td>Targeted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMA: chromosomal microarray; FISH: fluorescent in situ hybridization; kb: kilobases.

<sup>a</sup> One kb = 1000 bases, 1000 kb = 1 Mb.

Microarrays may be prepared by the laboratory using the technology or, more commonly, by commercial manufacturers, and sold to laboratories that must qualify and validate the product for use in their assay, in conjunction with computerized software for interpretation. The proliferation of laboratory-developed and commercially available platforms prompted the American College of Medical Genetics to publish guidelines for the design and performance expectations for clinical microarrays and associated software in the postnatal setting.

**Next-Generation Sequencing**

NGS has been proposed to detect single-gene causes of autism and possibly identify a syndrome that involves autism in patients with normal array-based testing. NGS involves the sequencing of millions of fragments of genetic material in a massively parallel fashion. NGS can be performed on segments of the genetic material of various sizes—from the entire genome (whole-genome sequencing) to small subsets of genes (targeted sequencing). NGS allows the detection of SNVs, CNVs, insertions, and deletions. With higher resolution comes a higher likelihood of detection of variants of uncertain significance.
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Genetic Associations With DD/ID and ASD
For common phenotypes and syndromes, the pathogenicity of CNVs may be supported by considerable evidence; for uncommon phenotypes and uncommon CNVs determining pathogenicity requires a systematic evaluation that includes parental studies, examining databases for reported associations, and considering the molecular consequences of the identified variant. Parental studies (eg, “trio” testing of affected child, father, and mother) can identify an inherited CNV from an unaffected parent and therefore considered benign. A variety of databases index the clinical implications of CNVs and their associations with a particular phenotype. CNVs are continuously cataloged and, with growth in CMA testing and improved resolution, databases have become increasingly extensive (eg, DECIPHER, ClinVar). For uncommon CNVs, in addition to reports of CNV-phenotype associations, the location and size of the CNV can offer clues to pathogenicity; larger CNVs are more often pathogenic and the role of affected genes in brain circuitry and effect of CNV on gene expression can implicate pathogenicity. Although uncommon, an observed phenotype can result from unmasking a mutated recessive allele on the unaffected (non-CNv) chromosome. Other considerations when determining pathogenicity include CNV dosage, X linkage, number of reports in the literature of an association between CNV and phenotype, and findings in “normal” individuals.

The American College of Medical Genetics has published guidelines for evaluating, interpreting, and reporting pathogenicity reflecting these principles. The recommended categories of clinical significance for reporting are pathogenic, uncertain clinical significance (likely pathogenic, likely benign, or no subclassification), or benign. The International Standards for Cytogenomic Arrays Consortium more recently proposed “an evidence-based approach to guide the development of content on chromosomal microarrays and to support the interpretation of clinically significant copy number variation.” The proposal defined levels of evidence describe how well or how poorly detected variants or CNVs correlate with phenotype.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval
U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Lab tests for CMA testing and NGS are available under the...
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Auspices of Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. FDA has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

In 2010, the FDA indicated that it would require microarray manufacturers to seek clearance to sell their products for use in clinical cytogenetics.

CMA Testing
CMA testing is commercially available through many laboratories and includes targeted and whole-genome arrays, with or without SNV microarray analysis.

In January 2014, the Affymetrix CytoScan®† Dx Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was cleared by the FDA through the de novo 510(k) process. The FDA’s review of the CytoScan Dx Assay included an analytic evaluation of the test’s ability to detect accurately numerous chromosomal variations of different types, sizes, and genome locations compared with several analytically validated test methods. The FDA found that the CytoScan Dx Assay could detect CNVs across the genome and adequately detect CNVs in regions of the genome associated with ID/DD. Reproducibility decreased with the CNV gain or loss size, particularly when less than approximately 400 kilobases (generally recommended as the lower reporting limit). As of July 2017, Affymetrix™ has reported 2.69 million markers for copy number, 750,000 biallelic probes, and 1.9 million polymorphic probes (Affymetrix was acquired by Thermo Fisher Scientific in 2016). FDA product code: PFX.

FirstStepDx PLUS®† (Lineagen) uses Lineagen’s custom-designed microarray platform manufactured by Affymetrix. As of July 2017, this microarray consists of a 2.8 million probe microarray for the detection of CNVs associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. The array includes probes that come standard on the Affymetrix CytoScan HD®† microarray, with an additional 88435 custom probes designed by Lineagen.

Ambry Genetics offers multiple tests (CMA and NGS) designed for diagnosing ASD and neurodevelopmental disorders. As of July 2017, the CMA offered by Ambry Genetics includes over 2.6 million probes for copy number and 750000 SNV probes. The expanded NGS panel for neurodevelopmental disorders includes assesses 196 genes.
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LabCorp offers the Reveal®‡ SNP Microarray-Pediatric for individuals with nonsyndromic congenital anomalies, dysmorphic features, DD/ID, and/or ASD. The Reveal® microarray has 2695 million probes as of July 2017.

Next-Generation Sequencing
A variety of commercial and academic laboratories offer NGS panels designed for the evaluation of ASD, DD/ID, and congenital anomalies, which vary in terms of the numbers of and specific genes tested.

Emory Genetics Laboratory offers an NGS ASD panel of genes targeting genetic syndromes that include autism or autistic features.

Greenwood Genetics Center offers an NGS panel for syndromic autism that includes 83 genes.

Rationale/Source
CMA testing has been proposed for detection of genetic imbalances in infants or children with characteristics of developmental delay/intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder, and/or congenital anomalies. CMA testing increases the diagnostic yield over karyotyping in children with the aforementioned characteristics, and CMA testing may impact clinical management decisions. Next-generation sequencing panel testing allows for the simultaneous analysis of a large number of genes and, in patients with normal CMA testing, the next-generation testing has been proposed as a way to identify single-gene causes of syndromes that have autism as a significant clinical feature.

For individuals who have developmental delay/intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder, or multiple congenital anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome who receive CMA testing, the evidence includes primarily case series. The relevant outcomes are test validity, changes in reproductive decision making, morbidity events, and resource utilization. The available evidence supports test validity. Although systematic studies of the impact of CMA on patient outcomes are lacking, the improvement in diagnostic yield over karyotyping has been well-demonstrated. Direct evidence of improved outcomes with CMA compared with karyotyping is also lacking. However, for at least a subset of the disorders potentially diagnosed with CMA testing in this patient population, there are well-defined and accepted management steps associated with positive test
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results. Further, there is evidence of changes in reproductive decision making as a result of positive test results. The information derived from CMA testing can accomplish the following: it could end a long diagnostic odyssey, or reduce morbidity for certain conditions by initiating surveillance/management of associated comorbidities, or it could impact future reproductive decision making for parents. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have developmental delay/intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder, or multiple congenital anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome who receive next-generation sequencing panel testing, the evidence includes primarily case series. The relevant outcomes are test validity, changes in reproductive decision making, morbid events, and resource utilization. The diagnostic yield associated with next-generation sequencing panel testing in this patient population is not well-characterized. The testing yield and likelihood of an uncertain result are variable, based on the gene panel, gene tested, and patient population; additionally, there are risks of uninterpretable and incidental results. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Supplemental Information
Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers
While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

2011 Input
In response to requests, clinical input was received from 2 physician specialty societies and 2 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2011. Input focused on the clinical utility of CMA testing. As in 2010, reviewers supported the use of CMA testing for the diagnosis in patients with developmental delay and an autism spectrum disorder. Reviewers acknowledged the lack of evidence in the literature on clinical utility, such as the lack of literature demonstrating improved outcomes as a result of testing. Reviewers cited multiple anecdotal and theoretical clinical situations in which management changes were made based on the results of CMA testing. Reviewers

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.

Policy # 00536
Original Effective Date: 11/16/2016
Current Effective Date: 12/14/2020

also agreed that this test was widely used in standard care with the support of the genetics community.

2010 Input
In response to requests, clinical input was received through 3 physician specialty societies and 2 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2010. Those providing input supported the use of targeted CMA testing in children with developmental delay, intellectual disability, and autism spectrum disorder in several situations. There was less support for whole-genome array testing. However, targeted array testing is now primarily available for prenatal analysis, whereas whole-genome arrays are recommended as standard.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

American Academy of Pediatrics
The American Academy of Pediatrics (2014) issued a clinical report on the optimal medical genetics evaluation of a child with DD or ID. Regarding CMA testing, this report stated “CMA now should be considered a first-tier diagnostic test in all children with [global] GDD/ID for whom the causal diagnosis is not known…. CMA is now the standard for diagnosis of patients with GDD/ID, as well as other conditions, such as autism spectrum disorders or multiple congenital anomalies.”

American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
The American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (2014) updated its guidelines on the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with ASD. The Academy recommended that “all children with ASD should have a medical assessment, which typically includes physical examination, a hearing screen, a Wood's lamp examination for signs of tuberous sclerosis, and genetic testing, which may include G-banded karyotype, fragile X testing, or chromosomal microarray.”

American Academy of Neurology and Child Neurology Society
The American Academy of Neurology and the Child Neurology Society (2011) updated their guidelines on the evaluation of unexplained DD and ID with information on genetic and metabolic (biochemical) testing to accommodate advances in the field. The guidelines concluded that CMA testing has the highest diagnostic yield in children with DD/ID, that the “often complex results
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require confirmation and careful interpretation, often with the assistance of a medical geneticist,” and that CMA should be considered the “first-line” test. The guidelines acknowledged that “Research is sorely lacking on the medical, social, and financial benefits of having an accurate etiologic diagnosis.”

American College of Medical Genetics
The ACMG (2010) published guidelines on array-based technologies and their clinical utilization for detecting chromosomal abnormalities. CMA testing for copy number variants was recommended as a first-line test in the initial postnatal evaluation of individuals with the following:

A. Multiple anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome
B. Apparently nonsyndromic DD/ID
C. ASD.

Other ACMG guidelines have addressed the design and performance expectations for clinical microarrays and associated software and for the interpretation and reporting of copy number variants, both intended for the postnatal setting. A 2013 update included recommendations on the validation of microarray methodologies for both prenatal and postnatal specimens.

The guideline revisions from ACMG (2013) stated that a stepwise or tiered approach to the clinical genetic diagnostic evaluation of ASD is recommended, with the first tier including fragile X syndrome and CMA, and the second tier MECP2 and PTEN testing. The guidelines stated that: “this approach will evolve with continued advancements in diagnostic testing and improved understanding of the ASD phenotype. Multiple additional conditions have been reported in association with an ASD phenotype, but none of these has been evaluated in a large prospective cohort. Therefore, a future third tier of evaluation is a distinct possibility. Further studies would be needed to elevate the evidence to the point of recommended testing. Alternatively, advances in technology may permit bundling of individual tests into an extended, more readily accessible, and less expensive platform. The accumulating evidence using next-generation sequencing (third-tier testing) will increase the diagnostic yield even more over the next few years.”

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
Not applicable.
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**Medicare National Coverage**
There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

**Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**
A search of ClinicalTrials.gov in August 2019 did not identify any ongoing or unpublished trials that would likely influence this review.

**References**
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Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), copyright 2019 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>81228, 81229, 81470, 81471, 81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Code added eff 1/1/2020: 0156U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Code added eff 4/1/2020: 0170U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Code added eff 10/1/2020: 0209U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCPCS</td>
<td>S3870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| ICD-10 Diagnosis | F70-F79, F80.0-F80.9, F81.0-F81.9, F82, F84.0, F88, F89, H93.25, R48.0 |

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or

B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

1. Consultation with the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association technology assessment program (TEC) or other nonaffiliated technology evaluation center(s);

2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or

3. Reference to federal regulations.

**Medically Necessary (or “Medical Necessity”) - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;

B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient’s illness, injury or disease; and

C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services.
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at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, “nationally accepted standards of medical practice” means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient’s health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.