



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Hematopoietic Stem-Cell Transplantation for Plasma Cell Dyscrasias, Including Multiple Myeloma and POEMS Syndrome is addressed separately in medical policy 00060.

Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers microarray-based gene expression profile (GEP) testing for multiple myeloma for all indications to be **investigational**.*

Policy Guidelines

According to Mayo Clinic recommendations, a large number of prognostic factors have been validated and categorized into 3 main groups: tumor biology, tumor burden, and patient-related factors. These factors must be considered to individualize the choice of therapy in multiple myeloma patients (see Table PG1).

Table PG1. Prognostic Factors in Multiple Myeloma

Tumor Biology	Tumor Burden	Patient-Related
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ploidy • 17p (p53 deletion) • t(14;16) • t(14;20) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Durie-Salmon stage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ECOG Performance Status • Age

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • t(4;14) • Deletion 13 on conventional cytogenetics • Alterations in chromosome 1 • t(11;14) • t(6;14) • Lactate dehydrogenase levels • Plasma cell proliferative rate • Presentation as plasma cell leukemia • High-risk GEP signature^a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • International Staging System stage • Extramedullary disease 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renal function
--	--	--

Adapted from Mikhael et al (2013).

ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; GEP: gene expression profile.

^a The Mayo Clinic does not currently recommend or routinely perform GEP analysis in a nonresearch setting. However, Mikhael et al (2013) have suggested GEP analysis will likely play a greater role in the management of multiple myeloma as evidence develops.

Background/Overview

Multiple Myeloma

Multiple myeloma is a genetically complex-and invariably fatal-neoplasm of plasma cells.

Disease Description

Multiple myeloma is a malignant plasma cell dyscrasia characterized by clonal proliferation of plasma cells derived from B cells in the bone marrow. It accounts for about 1 in every 100 cancers and 13% of hematologic cancers. The American Cancer Society has estimated 30,770 new cases of multiple myeloma will occur in the U. S. in 2018, and some 12770 deaths will occur due to the

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

disease. The annual age-adjusted incidence is about 6 cases per 100000 persons, with a median age-at-diagnosis of about 70 years. Before the advent of current treatment protocols, most patients with multiple myeloma succumbed to their disease within five to ten years; in the prechemotherapy era, median survival was less than one year. Among patients who present at an age younger than 60 years, 10-year overall survival with current treatment protocols may now exceed 30%.

Criteria for the diagnosis, staging, and response assessment of multiple myeloma developed by the International Myeloma Working Group are in widespread use. The decision to treat is based on criteria set forth in the diagnosis of multiple myeloma, which includes calcium elevation; renal insufficiency; anemia; and bone disease (CRAB). Patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) or smoldering myeloma do not require therapy, irrespective of any associated risk factors-except on specifically targeted protocols.

Pathogenesis and Genetic Architecture of Multiple Myeloma

Multiple myeloma is a complex disease that presents itself in distinct clinical phases and risk levels. They include MGUS and smoldering multiple myeloma (also known as asymptomatic myeloma). MGUS is a generally benign condition, with a transformation rate to symptomatic plasma cell disorders of about 1% to 2% annually. Smoldering multiple myeloma represents a progression from MGUS to frank multiple myeloma; the risk of the disease transforming to multiple myeloma is about 10% for the first five years. Although both of these conditions lack many clinical features of multiple myeloma, they may ultimately share characteristics that necessitate therapy. By contrast, symptomatic multiple myeloma is defined by specific clinical symptoms, accumulation of monoclonal immunoglobulin proteins in the blood or urine, and associated organ dysfunction (including nephropathy and neuropathy). The acronym CRAB reflects the hallmark features of multiple myeloma. Premyeloma plasma cells initially require interaction with the bone marrow microenvironment; however, during disease progression, the cells develop the ability to proliferate outside the bone marrow, manifesting as extramedullary myeloma and plasma cell leukemia. These “bone marrow independent” cells represent the end stages in a multistep transformation process from normal to multiple myeloma.

As outlined below, complex genetic abnormalities, commonly identified in multiple myeloma plasma cells, are considered to play major roles in disease initiation, progression, and pathogenesis; further, these abnormalities are used in conjunction with laboratory and radiographic studies to stratify patients for therapeutic decisions.

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

Diagnosis

Cytogenetic and other laboratory tests identify markers to classify newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients into high, intermediate, and standard clinical risk categories. The level of risk reflects the aggressiveness of the disease, and ultimately dictates the intensity of initial treatment. Thus, a risk-adapted approach provides optimal therapy to patients, ensuring intense treatment for those with the aggressive disease; further, this approach minimizes toxic effects, thereby delivering sufficient-but less-intense-therapy for those with a lower risk of disease. However, it should be noted that clinical outcomes can vary substantially, using even the most standard of methods, among patients with the same estimated risk who undergo a similar intensity of treatment.

Microarray-based gene expression profile (GEP) analysis can be used to estimate the underlying activity of cellular biological pathways, and these pathways control a host of mechanisms such as cell division, cell proliferation, apoptosis, metabolism, and other signaling pathways. Relative over- or underexpression of these pathways is considered to mirror disease aggressiveness, independent of cytogenetics and other laboratory measures. GEP analysis has been proposed as a means to more finely stratify multiple myeloma patients into risk categories for two purposes: (1) to personalize therapy selection according to tumor biology and (2) to avoid over- or undertreating patients. Moreover, GEP analysis could be used as a supplement to existing stratification methods, or as a stand-alone test; however, further study is needed to confirm that the analysis has the capability to perform those roles.

The term *gene expression* refers to the process by which the coded information of genes (DNA) is transcribed into messenger RNA (mRNA) and translated into proteins. A GEP assay simultaneously examines the patterns of multiple genes in a single tissue sample; it does this to identify those that are actively producing mRNA or not, ultimately producing proteins or not. By concurrently measuring the cellular levels of mRNA of thousands of genes, a GEP test creates a picture of the rate at which those genes are expressed in a tissue sample.

GEP tests are not “genetic” tests. Genetic tests measure an individual DNA signature to identify genetic changes or variants that remain constant in the genome. Gene expression tests measure the activity of mRNA in a tissue or bodily fluid at a single point, reflecting an individual’s current disease state (or the likelihood of developing a disease). However, because mRNA levels are dynamic and change as a result of disease processes or environmental signals, dynamic changes in

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

these processes can be studied over time. This information thus reflects the pathogenic process, and in theory, can be used to assess the effects of therapeutic interventions or select therapy based on specifically expressed gene targets.

Gene Expression Analysis of Cancer Using Microarray Technology

GEP analysis using microarray technology is based on the Watson-Crick pairing of complementary nucleic acid molecules. A collection of DNA sequences, referred to as “probes,” are “arrayed” on miniaturized solid support (the “microarray”). They are used to determine the concentration of the corresponding complementary mRNA sequences, called “targets,” isolated from a tissue sample. Laboratory advancements in attaching nucleic acid sequences to solid supports, combined with robotic technology, have allowed investigators to miniaturize the scale of the reactions. As a result of these advances, it is possible to assess the expression of thousands of different genes in a single reaction.

A basic microarray GEP analysis uses mRNA targets that have been both harvested from a patient’s tissue sample and labeled with a fluorescent dye. These samples are hybridized to the DNA probe sequences attached to the microarray medium, then incubated in the presence of mRNA from a different sample labeled with a different fluorescent dye. In a two-color experimental design, samples can be directly compared with one another or with a common reference mRNA, and their relative expression levels can be quantified. After hybridization, grayscale images corresponding to fluorescent signals are obtained by scanning the microarray with dedicated instruments; the fluorescence intensity corresponding to each gene is then quantified by specific software. After normalization, the intensity of the hybridization signals can be compared with to detect differential expression by using sophisticated computational and statistical techniques.

Technical variability is a major concern with microarray technologies for clinical management; eg, the source of mRNA is a technical variable that can affect test results. A typical biopsy sample from a solid tumor contains a mixture of malignant and normal (stromal) cells that, in turn, will yield total RNA that reflects all the cells contained in the specimen. To address this, tissue samples may be macro- or microdissected (prior to RNA extraction) to ensure that the specimens contain a sufficiently representative percentage of cancer cells to reflect the disease. For analysis of hematologic cancers, including multiple myeloma, immunomagnetic cell separation technology is used to isolate and enrich cancerous cells from bone marrow aspirates that contain a mixture of cell types.

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

The instability of mRNA relative to DNA complicates GEP analysis studies, especially when comparing the method with genomic analyses. Two factors that affect RNA quality include preanalysis storage time and the reagents used to prepare mRNA. Moreover, pH changes in the storage media can trigger mRNA degradation, as can ribonucleases present in cells, which can remain active in the RNA preparation if not stringently controlled.

As noted, Watson-Crick hybridization of complementary nucleic acid moieties in the sequences of mRNA and DNA is the basis of any microarray-based GEP test. This means that sequence selection and gene annotation are among the most important factors that can contribute to analytic variability, hence validity, in results. Different technologic platforms, protocols, and reagents can affect the analytic variability of the results, and therefore affect reproducibility within and across laboratories. Gene expression measures are virtually never used as raw output but undergo sequential steps of mathematical transformation; thus, data preprocessing and analysis may increase variability in results. Moreover, different levels of gene expression can be further processed and combined, according to complex algorithms, to obtain composite summary measurements that are associated with the phenotype(s) under investigation. A statistical analytic technique known as “unsupervised clustering analysis” is applied to the data to produce a visual display, known as a “dendrogram,” that shows a hierarchy of similar genes, differentially expressed as mRNA.

International standards have been developed to address the quality of microarray-based GEP analysis. These standards focus on documentation of experimental design, details, and results. Additional topics of interest include interplatform and interlaboratory reproducibility. Quality control efforts emphasize the importance of minimizing the sources of variability in gene expression analysis, thus ensuring that the information derived from such analyses is specific and does not represent accidental associations.

Prognosis and Risk Stratification

Two validated clinical systems are in widespread use to assess prognosis in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients: the Durie-Salmon Staging System and the International Staging System. The Durie-Salmon Staging System provides a method to measure multiple myeloma tumor burden, based on multiple myeloma cell numbers and clinical, laboratory, and imaging studies; however, the system has significant shortcomings due to its use of observer-dependent studies (eg, radiographic evaluation of bone lesions), primarily focused on tumor mass-not behavior. The International Staging System, incorporating serum albumin and β_2 -microglobulin measures, is considered

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

valuable because it permits comparison of outcomes across clinical trials; and it is even more reproducible than the Durie-Salmon Staging System. However, the International Staging System is useful only if a diagnosis of multiple myeloma has already been made; it has no role in MGUS, smoldering multiple myeloma, or related plasma cell dyscrasias. Further, the International Staging System does not provide a good estimate of tumor burden-nor is it generally useful for therapeutic risk stratification; in fact, it may not retain prognostic significance in the era of novel drug therapies.

Although multiple myeloma cells may appear morphologically similar across risk levels, the disease exhibits substantial genetic heterogeneity that may change with progression or at relapse. Investigators have used conventional cytogenetic methods (karyotyping) and fluorescence in situ hybridization to prognostically stratify multiple myeloma patients according to a host of recurrent chromosomal changes (immunoglobulin heavy chain translocations, chromosome deletions, or amplification). This stratification forms the basis of the Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy, an evidence-based algorithm to facilitate treatment decisions for patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (see Table 1).

Table 1. Mayo Clinic Stratification of Multiple Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy

Variables	High Risk	Intermediate Risk	Standard Risk
Variants	Any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Del 17p t(14;16) by FISH t(14;20) by FISH GEP high-risk signature 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> t(4;14) by FISH Cytogenetic del 13 Hypodiploidy Plasma cell labeling index >3.0 	All others including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> t(11;14) by FISH t(6;14) by FISH
Incidence	2%	20%	60%

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

Median overall survival	3 y	4-5 y	8-10 y
-------------------------	-----	-------	--------

Adapted from Mikhael et al (2013).

FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; GEP: gene expression profile.

In addition to the cytogenetic characteristics noted in Table 1, other findings are typically considered in this model. Although GEP analysis is included in Table 2, the Mayo Clinic does not currently recommend or routinely perform GEP analysis in a nonresearch setting.

The risk stratification model outlined in Table 2 is meant to prognosticate and to determine the treatment approach; it is not used to decide whether to initiate therapy (see Therapy Synopsis subsection). Furthermore, therapeutic outcomes among individuals in these categories may vary significantly, to the extent that additional means of subdividing patients into response groups are under investigation-in particular, molecular profiling using microarray-based methods.

Therapy Synopsis

Asymptomatic (smoldering) multiple myeloma and MGUS currently require only ongoing clinical observation (this is because early treatment with conventional chemotherapy has shown no benefit). However, for symptomatic patients diagnosed with multiple myeloma, prompt induction therapy is indicated. For patients younger than age 65 years who have adequate heart, liver, and lung function, induction therapy is comprised of combinations that may include melphalan, dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, or doxorubicin with thalidomide, lenalidomide, or bortezomib. Next, the therapy includes autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation. Older patients (or those with underlying liver, lung, or cardiovascular dysfunction) may be candidates for induction followed by reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation.

A program referred to as Total Therapy, developed primarily at the University of Arkansas for Medical Science and at the Mayo Clinic, uses all available agents as induction, followed by 2 cycles of high-dose melphalan and autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation support, with 4-year event-free survival as high as 78%. Despite the achievement of complete remission and apparent eradication of disease, the clinical response is transitory in all cases, and multiple myeloma is considered incurable with current approaches.

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

GEP Test

The MyPRS™[‡]/MyPRS *Plus*™[‡] GEP70 test analyzes the human genome to determine the level of aggressiveness of diagnosed multiple myeloma based on 70 of the most relevant genes involved in cellular signaling and proliferation.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. The MyPRS/MyPRS *Plus* GEP70 test was acquired by Quest Diagnostics in December 2016. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

Rationale/Source

Multiple myeloma is a genetically complex-and invariably fatal-disease. A host of well-characterized factors related to tumor biology, tumor burden, and patient-centered characteristics are used to stratify patients into high-, intermediate-, and standard-risk categories for prognostic purposes, as well as determining treatment intensity. However, clinical outcomes have varied among patients in the same risk category who received similar therapy. Thus, more specific methods have been sought to classify multiple myeloma; one such method being proposed is the utilization of a microarray-based gene expression profile (GEP) analysis, which serves to reveal the underlying activity of cellular biologic pathways. This method lends itself to a variety of benefits including the ability to risk-stratify patients with multiple myeloma, as well as guide treatment decisions.

For individuals who have multiple myeloma who received risk stratification using a GEP test, the evidence includes a retrospective series that correlate risk scores with survival. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, and other test performance measures. The microarray-based GEP70 test (MyPRS/MyPRS *Plus*) has been reported to risk-stratify multiple myeloma patients. Patients with a high GEP70 risk score have a substantially increased risk of mortality compared with patients without a high score. However, there is no evidence (from available studies) that this test would add incremental value to existing risk stratification methods; nor have any studies demonstrated the need to prospectively allocate patients

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

to risk-based therapies based on the GEP70 score. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network practice guidelines (v.3.2019) on multiple myeloma state that “although GEP [gene expression profiling] is not currently routinely used in clinical practice during diagnostic workup, GEP is a useful tool and may be helpful in selected patients to estimate the aggressiveness of the disease and individualize treatment.” The Network offered no specific recommendation for the use of the MyPRS GEP70 test.

Mayo Clinic Stratification of Multiple Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy

Guidelines from the Mayo Clinic (2017) have stated that “if indicated, gene expression profiling may be performed to further understand the behavior of the disease and guide therapy.”

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

Medicare does not have a national coverage determination for this testing. Novitas Solutions retired its local coverage decision on the MyPRS test (L32636) in 2014.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 2.

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

Table 2. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
<i>Ongoing</i>			
NCT00734877 ^a	UARK 2008-01, Total Therapy 4 - A Phase III Trial for Low-Risk Myeloma: A Randomized Trial Comparing Standard Total Therapy 3 (S-TT3) With TT3-LITE (L-TT3)	400	Sep 2019
NCT03409692	Validation of a Personalized Medicine Tool for Multiple Myeloma that Predicts Treatment Effectiveness in Patients	200	Dec 2019
NCT01169337	Randomized Phase III Trial of Lenalidomide Versus Observation Alone in Patients With Asymptomatic High-Risk Smoldering Multiple Myeloma	180	Mar 2020
NCT01863550	Randomized Phase III Trial of Bortezomib, Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone (VRd) Versus Carfilzomib, Lenalidomide, Dexamethasone (CRd) Followed	1080	Nov 2023

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

	by Limited or Indefinite Lenalidomide Maintenance in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Symptomatic Multiple Myeloma		
--	---	--	--

NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association, Medical Policy Reference Manual, “Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification”, 2.04.97, November 2019.
2. Fonseca R, Barlogie B, Bataille R, et al. Genetics and cytogenetics of multiple myeloma: a workshop report. *Cancer Res.* Feb 15 2004;64(4):1546-1558. PMID 14989251.
3. Palumbo A, Anderson K. Multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 17 2011;364(11):1046-1060. PMID 21410373.
4. American Cancer Society. Multiple Myeloma: What Are the Key Statistics About Multiple Myeloma? 2017; <http://www.cancer.org/cancer/multiplemyeloma/detailedguide/multiple-myeloma-key-statistics>.
5. Brenner H, Gondos A, Pulte D. Recent major improvement in long-term survival of younger patients with multiple myeloma. *Blood.* Mar 1 2008;111(5):2521-2526. PMID 17901246.
6. Munshi NC, Anderson KC, Bergsagel PL, et al. Consensus recommendations for risk stratification in multiple myeloma: report of the International Myeloma Workshop Consensus Panel 2. *Blood.* May 5 2011;117(18):4696- 4700. PMID 21292777.
7. Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV. Criteria for diagnosis, staging, risk stratification and response assessment of multiple myeloma. *Leukemia.* Jan 2009;23(1):3-9. PMID 18971951.
8. Blade J, Dimopoulos M, Rosinol L, et al. Smoldering (asymptomatic) multiple myeloma: current diagnostic criteria, new predictors of outcome, and follow-up recommendations. *J Clin Oncol.* Feb 1 2010;28(4):690-697. PMID 20026810.
9. Morgan GJ, Walker BA, Davies FE. The genetic architecture of multiple myeloma. *Nat Rev Cancer.* May 2012;12(5):335-348. PMID 22495321.

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

10. Kyle RA, Durie BG, Rajkumar SV, et al. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) and smoldering (asymptomatic) multiple myeloma: IMWG consensus perspectives risk factors for progression and guidelines for monitoring and management. *Leukemia*. Jun 2010;24(6):1121-1127. PMID 20410922.
11. Fonseca R, Bergsagel PL, Drach J, et al. International Myeloma Working Group molecular classification of multiple myeloma: spotlight review. *Leukemia*. Dec 2009;23(12):2210-2221. PMID 19798094.
12. Munshi NC, Avet-Loiseau H. Genomics in multiple myeloma. *Clin Cancer Res*. Mar 15 2011;17(6):1234-1242. PMID 21411439.
13. Mikhael JR, Dingli D, Roy V, et al. Management of newly diagnosed symptomatic multiple myeloma: updated Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy (mSMART) Consensus Guidelines 2013. *Mayo Clin Proc*. Apr 2013;88(4):360-376. PMID 23541011.
14. Johnson SK, Heuck CJ, Albino AP, et al. The use of molecular-based risk stratification and pharmacogenomics for outcome prediction and personalized therapeutic management of multiple myeloma. *Int J Hematol*. Oct 2011;94(4):321-333. PMID 22002477.
15. Zhou Y, Barlogie B, Shaughnessy JD, Jr. The molecular characterization and clinical management of multiple myeloma in the post-genome era. *Leukemia*. Nov 2009;23(11):1941-1956. PMID 19657360.
16. Shaughnessy J, Jr. Primer on medical genomics. Part IX: scientific and clinical applications of DNA microarrays-- multiple myeloma as a disease model. *Mayo Clin Proc*. Sep 2003;78(9):1098-1109. PMID 12962165.
17. Hubank M. Gene expression profiling and its application in studies of haematological malignancy. *Br J Haematol*. Mar 2004;124(5):577-594. PMID 14871244.
18. Zhou Y, Zhang Q, Stephens O, et al. Prediction of cytogenetic abnormalities with gene expression profiles. *Blood*. May 24 2012;119(21):e148-150. PMID 22496154.
19. Matsui S, Simon R, Qu P, et al. Developing and validating continuous genomic signatures in randomized clinical trials for predictive medicine. *Clin Cancer Res*. Nov 1 2012;18(21):6065-6073. PMID 22927484.
20. Meissner T, Seckinger A, Reme T, et al. Gene expression profiling in multiple myeloma-- reporting of entities, risk, and targets in clinical routine. *Clin Cancer Res*. Dec 1 2011;17(23):7240-7247. PMID 21986844.
21. Badros AZ. In the age of novel therapies, what defines high-risk multiple myeloma? *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. Feb 2010;8(Suppl 1):S28-34. PMID 20141672.

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

22. Usmani SZ, Crowley J, Hoering A, et al. Improvement in long-term outcomes with successive Total Therapy trials for multiple myeloma: are patients now being cured? *Leukemia*. Jan 2013;27(1):226-232. PMID 22705990.
23. Shaughnessy JD, Jr., Zhan F, Burington BE, et al. A validated gene expression model of high-risk multiple myeloma is defined by deregulated expression of genes mapping to chromosome 1. *Blood*. Mar 15 2007;109(6):2276-2284. PMID 17105813.
24. Kumar SK, Uno H, Jacobus SJ, et al. Impact of gene expression profiling-based risk stratification in patients with myeloma receiving initial therapy with lenalidomide and dexamethasone. *Blood*. Oct 20 2011;118(16):4359-4362. PMID 21860025.
25. Papanikolaou X, Alapat D, Rosenthal A, et al. The flow cytometry-defined light chain cytoplasmic immunoglobulin index and an associated 12-gene expression signature are independent prognostic factors in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. Aug 2015;29(8):1713-1720. PMID 25753926.
26. Agnelli L, Tassone P, Neri A. Molecular profiling of multiple myeloma: from gene expression analysis to next-generation sequencing. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. Jun 2013;13(Suppl 1):S55-68. PMID 23614397.
27. Amin SB, Yip WK, Minvielle S, et al. Gene expression profile alone is inadequate in predicting complete response in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. Nov 2014;28(11):2229-2234. PMID 24732597.
28. Chng WJ, Dispenzieri A, Chim CS, et al. IMWG consensus on risk stratification in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. Feb 2014;28(2):269-277. PMID 23974982.
29. Fonseca R, Monge J, Dimopoulos MA. Staging and prognostication of multiple myeloma. *Expert Rev Hematol*. Feb 2014;7(1):21-31. PMID 24483346.
30. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Multiple Myeloma. Version 3.2019. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/myeloma.pdf.
31. Dingli D, Ailawadhi S, Bergsagel PL, et al. Therapy for relapsed multiple myeloma: Guidelines from the Mayo Stratification for Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy. *Mayo Clin Proc*. Apr 2017;92(4):578-598. PMID 28291589.
32. Novitas Solutions. Medical Policy Update History for Jurisdiction H. 2018; https://www.novitas-solutions.com/webcenter/portal/MedicareJL/pagebyid;jsessionid=BpHN6XYcFavHcd_h7c0VzIvyI46lXrKu1tDjsqFb9UcLQxT2JMnF!1528408334!-2108564542?contentId=00006151&_afrLoop=426757660806142#!%40%40%3F_afrLoop%3D42675766080614

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

2%26centerWidth%3D100%2525%26contentId%3D00006151%26leftWidth%3D0%2525%26rightWidth%3D0%2525%26showFooter%3Dfalse%26showHeader%3Dfalse%26_adf.ctrl-state%3Dz281w4k0y_4

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

01/08/2015 Medical Policy Committee review

01/21/2015 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New policy.

08/03/2015 Coding update: ICD10 Diagnosis code section added; ICD9 Procedure code section removed.

01/07/2016 Medical Policy Committee review

01/22/2016 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

01/01/2017 Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes

01/05/2017 Medical Policy Committee review

01/18/2017 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

01/04/2018 Medical Policy Committee review

01/17/2018 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

11/29/2018 Coding update

01/10/2019 Medical Policy Committee review

01/23/2019 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

02/06/2020 Medical Policy Committee review

02/12/2020 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged.

Next Scheduled Review Date: 02/2021

Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2019

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	81479, 81599, 86849
HCPCS	No codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis	C90.00-C90.02

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.



Louisiana

Microarray-Based Gene Expression Profile Testing for Multiple Myeloma Risk Stratification

Policy # 00461

Original Effective Date: 01/21/2015

Current Effective Date: 03/09/2020

whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or

- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:
1. Consultation with the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association technology assessment program (TEC) or other nonaffiliated technology evaluation center(s);
 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
 3. Reference to federal regulations.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

©2020 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association and incorporated as Louisiana Health Service & Indemnity Company.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.