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Policy # 00011
Original Effective Date: 05/01/1995
Current Effective Date: 11/01/2017

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the “Company”), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage
Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member’s contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation (EBGS) as treatment of fracture nonunion or congenital pseudoarthroses in the appendicular skeleton (the appendicular skeleton includes the bones of the shoulder girdle, upper extremities, pelvis, and lower extremities) to be eligible for coverage.

Patient Selection Criteria for the use of Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation (EBGS) of the Appendicular Skeleton
Coverage eligibility for the use of noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation (EBGS) of the appendicular skeleton as a treatment of fracture nonunion will be considered when the following criteria are met:

- At least three months have passed since the date of fracture; AND
- Serial radiographs have confirmed that no progressive signs of healing have occurred; AND
- The fracture gap is 1 cm or less; AND
- The patient can be adequately immobilized; AND
- The patient is of an age likely to comply with non-weight bearing for fractures of the pelvis and lower extremities.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation (EBGS) of the spine to augment primary lumbar or cervical spinal fusion in individuals at high risk for pseudoarthrosis to be eligible for coverage.

Patient Selection Criteria for Primary Cervical or Lumbar Fusion
Coverage eligibility for the use of noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation (EBGS) of the spine to augment primary lumbar or cervical spinal fusion in individuals at high risk for pseudoarthrosis will be considered when any of the following criteria are present:

- Diabetes; OR
- Metabolic bone disease (including osteoporosis, osteopenia, and bone disease secondary to renal disease, nutritional deficiency, or conditions in which bone healing is likely to be compromised); OR
- Immunocompromise; OR
- Systemic vascular disease; OR
- History of long term use of corticosteroids; OR
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- Fusion revision (e.g., repeat surgery due to prior unhealed fusion attempt) when at least six (6) months has passed since the original surgery and imaging studies confirm that healing has not progressed in the preceding three (3) months; OR
- Fusion performed at two (2) or more adjacent levels for lumbar fusion; OR
- Fusion performed at three (3) or more adjacent levels for cervical fusion; OR
- Current smokers in whom smoking cessation prior to surgery was not feasible because the surgery is not being performed on an elective basis.

When Services Are Considered Investigational
Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on available data, the Company considers the use of invasive or non-invasive electrical bone growth stimulation (EBGS) for other applications in the appendicular skeleton including, but not limited to, the treatment fresh fractures, delayed union, immediate postsurgical treatment after appendicular skeletal surgery, stress fractures, arthrodesis or failed arthrodesis, or when patient selection criteria are not met to be investigational*

(Note: Delayed union is defined as a decelerating fracture healing process, as identified by serial x-rays.)

Based on review of available data, the Company considers implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulators for use on the appendicular skeleton to be investigational.*

Based on review of available data, the Company considers noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation (EBGS) for primary cervical or lumbar fusion and for all spinal levels when patient selection criteria are not met to be investigational*, including but not limited to the following:
- Treatment of spondylolysis or pars interarticularis defect; OR
- Semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulation (EBGS) for any indication; OR
- As an adjunct for primary bone healing of a spinal fracture; OR
- As a nonsurgical treatment of an established pseudoarthrosis.

Based on available data, the Company considers the use of low-intensity ultrasound treatment to be investigational* for all indications, including but not limited to the following:
- Treatment of fresh fractures (surgically managed or nonsurgically managed); OR
- Treatment of fracture nonunion and delayed union fractures; OR
- Treatment of stress fractures, osteotomy, and distraction osteogenesis.
Policy Guidelines

Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation

Fracture Nonunion

No consensus on the definition of fracture nonunion currently exists. One proposed definition is failure of progression of fracture healing for at least 3 consecutive months (and for at least 6 months following the fracture), accompanied by clinical symptoms of delayed union or nonunion (pain, difficulty bearing weight).

The original U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling of fracture nonunions defined them as fractures not showing progressive healing after at least 9 months from the original injury. The labeling states: “A nonunion is considered to be established when a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing for minimum of 3 months.” This timeframe is not based on physiologic principles but was included as part of the research design for FDA approval as a means of ensuring homogeneous populations of patients, many of whom were serving as their own controls. Others have contended that 9 months represents an arbitrary cutoff point that does not reflect the complicated variables present in fractures (ie, degree of soft tissue damage, alignment of the bone fragments, vascularity, quality of the underlying bone stock). Some fractures may show no signs of healing, based on serial radiographs as early as 3 months, while a fracture nonunion may not be diagnosed in others until well after 9 months. The current policy of requiring a 3-month timeframe for lack of progression of healing is consistent with the definition of nonunion as described in the clinical literature.

Delayed Union

Delayed union is defined as a decelerating healing process as determined by serial radiographs, together with a lack of clinical and radiologic evidence of union, bony continuity, or bone reaction at the fracture site for no less than 3 months from the index injury or the most recent intervention. In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs (described above) show no evidence of healing. When lumped together, delayed union and nonunion are sometimes referred to as “ununited fractures.”

Fresh Fracture

A fracture is most commonly defined as “fresh” for 7 days after its occurrence. Most fresh closed fractures heal without complications with the use of standard fracture care (ie, closed reduction, cast immobilization).

Background/Overview

Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton

Delayed Fracture Healing

Most bone fractures heal spontaneously over a few months postinjury. Approximately 5% to 10% of all fractures have delayed healing, resulting in continued morbidity and increased utilization of health care services.

There is no standard definition of a fracture nonunion. The FDA labeling for one of the electrical stimulators included in this review defined nonunion as follows: “A nonunion is considered to be established when a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of
healing for a minimum of 3 months." Others have contended that 9 months represents an arbitrary cutoff point that does not reflect the complicated variables present in fractures (ie, degree of soft tissue damage, alignment of the bone fragments, vascularity, quality of the underlying bone stock). Other proposed definitions of nonunion involve 3 to 6 months from the original injury, or simply when serial radiographs fail to show any further healing. According to FDA labeling for a LIPUS device, “a nonunion is considered to be established when the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing.” Factors contributing to a nonunion include: which bone is fractured, fracture site, degree of bone loss, time since injury, extent of soft tissue injury, and patient factors (eg, smoking, diabetes, systemic disease).

Delayed union is generally considered a failure to heal between 3 and 9 months postfracture, after which the fracture site would be considered a nonunion. Delayed union may also be defined as a decelerating bone healing process, as identified in serial radiographs. (In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs show no evidence of healing.) Together, delayed union and nonunion are sometimes referred to as “ununited fractures.” To determine fracture healing status, it is important to include both radiographic and clinical criteria. Clinical criteria include the lack of ability to bear weight, fracture pain, and tenderness on palpation. Fractures at certain locations (eg, scaphoid, proximal fifth metatarsal) are at greater risk of delayed union due to a tenuous blood supply. Systemic factors, including immunosuppression, cancer, and tobacco use, may also predispose patients to fracture nonunion, along with certain medications (eg, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, fluoroquinolones).

Treatment
Individually with recognized delayed fracture unions might begin by reducing the risk factors for delayed unions or nonunions, but may progress to surgical repair if it persists.

**Electrical and Electromagnetic Bone Growth Stimulators**

Different applications of electrical and electromagnetic fields have been used to promote healing of delayed and nonunion fractures: invasive, noninvasive, and semi-invasive.

Invasive stimulation involves the surgical implantation of a cathode at the fracture site to produce direct current electrical stimulation. Invasive devices require surgical implantation of a current generator in an intramuscular or subcutaneous space, while an electrode is implanted within the fragments of bone graft at the fusion site. The implantable device typically remains functional for 6 to 9 months after implantation, and, although the current generator is removed in a second surgical procedure when stimulation is completed, the electrode may or may not be removed. Implantable electrodes provide constant stimulation at the nonunion or fracture site but carry increased risks associated with implantable leads.

Noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulators generate a weak electrical current within the target site using pulsed electromagnetic fields, capacitive coupling, or combined magnetic fields. In capacitive coupling, small skin pads/electrodes are placed on either side of the fusion site and worn for 24 hours a day until healing occurs or up to 9 months. In contrast, pulsed electromagnetic fields are delivered via treatment coils placed over the skin and worn for 6 to 8 hours a day for 3 to 6 months. Combined magnetic fields deliver a time-varying magnetic field by superimposing the time-varying magnetic field onto an additional static...
magnetic field. This device involves a 30-minute treatment per day for 9 months. Patient compliance may be an issue with externally worn devices.

Semi-invasive (semi-implantable) stimulators use percutaneous electrodes and an external power supply, obviating the need for a surgical procedure to remove the generator when treatment is finished.

**Noninvasive Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Spine**

Bone growth stimulators, also known as osteogenesis stimulators, are utilized to promote bone healing in spinal fusion through delivery of electrical current to the fusion site. Noninvasive devices are worn externally, beginning at any time from the date of surgery until up to six (6) months after surgery.

**Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device**

**Bone Fractures**

An estimated 7.9 million fractures occur annually in the United States. Most bone fractures heal spontaneously over the course of several months following standard fracture care (closed reduction if necessary, followed by immobilization with casting or splinting). However, approximately 5% to 10% of all fractures have delayed healing, resulting in continued morbidity and increased utilization of health care services. Factors contributing to a nonunion include which bone is fractured, fracture site, degree of bone loss, time since injury, extent of soft tissue injury, and patient factors (eg, smoking, diabetes, systemic disease).

**Fracture Nonunion**

There is no standard definition of a fracture nonunion. The FDA has defined nonunion as when “a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing for a minimum of 3 months.” Other definitions cite 3 to 6 months of time from the original injury, or simply when serial radiographs fail to show any further healing. These definitions do not reflect the underlying conditions in fractures that affect healing, such as the degree of soft tissue damage, alignment of the bone fragments, vascularity, and quality of the underlying bone stock.

**Delayed Union**

Delayed union is generally considered a failure to heal between 3 and 9 months post fracture, after which the fracture site would be considered a nonunion. Delayed union may also be defined as a decelerating bone healing process, as identified in serial radiographs. (In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs show no evidence of healing.) It is important to include both radiographic and clinical criteria to determine fracture healing status. Clinical criteria include the lack of ability to bear weight, fracture pain, and tenderness on palpation.

**Treatment**

LIPUS has been proposed to accelerate healing of fractures. LIPUS is believed to alter the molecular and cellular mechanisms involved in each stage of the healing process (inflammation, soft callus formation, hard callus formation, and bone remodeling). The mechanism of action at the cellular level is not precisely
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Known, but it is theorized that LIPUS may stimulate the production or the activities of the following compounds that contribute to the bone healing process: cyclooxygenase-2, collagenase, integrin proteins, calcium, chondroblasts, mesenchymal cells, fibroblasts, and osteoblasts.

LIPUS treatment is self-administered, once daily for 20 minutes, until the fracture has healed, usually for 5 months.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton

In 1984, the noninvasive OrthoPak® Bone Growth Stimulator (BioElectron, now Zimmer Biomet) was approved by the U.S. FDA through the premarket approval (PMA) process for treatment of fracture nonunion. Pulsed electromagnetic field systems with FDA premarket approval (all noninvasive devices) include Physio-Stim® (Orthofix), first approved in 1986, and OrthoLogic® 1000, approved in 1997, both indicated for treatment of established nonunion secondary to trauma, excluding vertebrae and all flat bones, in which the width of the nonunion defect is less than one-half the width of the bone to be treated; and the EBI Bone Healing System® (Electrobiology, now Zimmer Biomet), which was first approved in 1979 and indicated for nonunions, failed fusions, and congenital pseudoarthroses. No distinction was made between long and short bones. FDA has approved labeling changes for electrical bone growth stimulators that remove any timeframe for the diagnosis.

No semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulator devices with FDA approval or clearance were identified.

Electrical Stimulation of the Spine as an Adjunct to Spinal Fusion Procedures

The following implantable device was approved by the U.S. FDA through the PMA process:

- In 1986, the OsteoStim® (Electro-Biology), which may also be marketed under the trade name SPF (Biomet).

The following noninvasive bone growth stimulators have been approved by FDA through the PMA process:

- In 1999, the SpinalPak® bone growth stimulator system (Bioelectron, a subsidiary of Electro-Biology, Parsippany, NJ), a capacitive coupling system, was approved for use as an adjunct to primary lumbar spinal fusion at 1 or 2 levels.
- In 1979, the EBI Bone Healing System (Bioelectron, a subsidiary of Electro-Biology, Parsippany, NJ), a pulsed electromagnetic field system, was approved for nonunions, failed fusions, and congenital pseudoarthroses. The device is secured with a belt around the waist.
- In 1994, the SpinaLogic Bone Growth Stimulator® (Regentek, a division of dj Orthopedics [formerly OrthoLogic, Tempe, AZ]) was approved as a combined magnetic field portable device. This device is secured with a belt around the waist.
- In 1996, the Spinal-Stim Lite® (Orthofix, Richardson, TX) was approved as a spinal adjunct to the Physio-Stim. The Spinal-Stim Lite® device was approved to increase the probability of fusion
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success and as a nonoperative treatment for the salvage of failed spinal fusion, where a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since the last surgery.

- In 2004, the Stim® (Orthofix, Richardson, TX), a pulsed electromagnetic field system, was approved as an adjunct to cervical fusion surgery in patients at high risk for nonfusion.

No semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulator devices were identified with FDA approval or clearance.

FDA product codes: LOE (invasive bone growth stimulator), LOF (noninvasive bone growth stimulator).

**Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device**

In 1994, the Sonic Accelerated Fracture Healing System (SAFHS®; renamed Exogen 2000® and since 2006, Exogen 4000+; Bioventus) was approved by the U.S. FDA through the PMA process for treatment of fresh, closed, posteriorly displaced distal radius (Colles) fractures, and fresh, closed, or grade I open tibial diaphysis fractures in skeletally mature individuals when these fractures are orthopedically managed by closed reduction and cast immobilization. In February 2000, the labeled indication was expanded to include the treatment of established nonunions, excluding skull and vertebra. FDA product code: LPQ.

**Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)**

**Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton**

Noninvasive stimulators are covered for the following indications:

- “Nonunion of long bone fractures;
- Failed fusion, where a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since the last surgery;
- Congenital pseudarthroses….”

Invasive stimulators are covered for:

- “Nonunion of long bone fractures.”

“Effective April 1, 2000, nonunion of long bone fractures is considered to exist only when serial radiographs have confirmed that fracture healing has ceased for 3 or more months prior to starting treatment with the electrical osteogenic stimulator. Serial radiographs must include a minimum of 2 sets of radiographs, each including multiple views of the fracture site, separated by a minimum of 90 days.”

**Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device**

Effective January 2001, ultrasonic osteogenic stimulators were covered as medically reasonable and necessary for the treatment of nonunion fractures. Nonunion fractures of the skull, vertebrae, and those that are tumor-related are excluded from coverage. Ultrasonic osteogenic stimulators may not be used concurrently with other noninvasive osteogenic devices. Ultrasonic osteogenic stimulators for fresh fractures and delayed unions are not covered.
Rationale/Source
This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. FDA approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association technology assessment program (TEC) and other non-affiliated technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation

Noninvasive Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton
For individuals who have fracture nonunion who receive noninvasive EBGS, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The U.S. FDA has approved noninvasive EBGS for fracture nonunions and congenital pseudoarthroses in the appendicular skeleton, based largely on studies with patients serving as their own controls. There is also evidence from 2 small sham-controlled randomized trials that noninvasive electrical stimulators improve fracture healing for patients with fracture nonunion. However, there are few nonsurgical options in this population, and the pre-post studies of patients with nonhealing fractures support the efficacy of the treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have delayed fracture union, fresh or stress fracture(s), or who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive noninvasive EBGS, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. A meta-analysis of 5 RCTs found no statistically significant benefit of EBGS for fresh fractures. RCTs on delayed union of the other types of fractures were limited by small sample sizes and did not show significant differences in outcomes between study groups. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Invasive Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation
For individuals who have fracture, pseudoarthroses, or who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive implantable and semi-invasive EBGS, the evidence includes a small number of case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device
For individuals who have fresh fractures (surgically or nonsurgically managed) who receive LIPUS, the evidence includes RCTs and a 2017 cumulative meta-analysis of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and quality of life. The evidence base has recently evolved with the publication of a large RCT. Several earlier reported small RCTs, particularly those rated at high risk of bias, showed the potential benefit of LIPUS, while a large RCT published in 2016 rated at low risk of bias showed no benefit. A meta-analysis including only trials with low risk of bias found no difference in days to full weight bearing, pain reduction, or days to radiographic healing. Similarly, the overall results of the meta-
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analysis found no significant difference in return to work, subsequent operations, or adverse effect. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have fracture nonunion or delayed union fracture who receive LIPUS, the evidence includes only lower quality studies including a small systematic review in scaphoid nonunions, 3 low-quality RCTs, and 2 observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and quality of life. Reported outcomes do not include functional outcomes, and there is a wide range of healing rates have been reported across the studies with a lack of comparison with routine surgical care in the observational studies, limiting any meaningful interpretation of these results. Additionally, the evidence base on the use of LIPUS in the management of fresh fractures has evolved as described above and there is no demonstrated physiologic mechanism suggesting differential results of LIPUS in fracture nonunion or delayed union. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have stress fractures, osteotomy sites, or distraction osteogenesis who receive LIPUS, the evidence includes only lower quality studies including small RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and quality of life. Results do not generally include functional outcomes and results across various outcomes, primarily time to radiographic healing, are inconsistent. Additionally, the evidence base on the use of LIPUS in the management of fresh fractures has evolved as described above and there is no demonstrated physiologic mechanism suggesting differential results of LIPUS in stress fractures, osteotomy sites, or distraction osteogenesis. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

PRACTICE GUIDELINES AND POSITION STATEMENTS

Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device

British Medical Journal (BMJ) Rapid Recommendation

The BMJ Rapid Recommendations are a series of articles, produced by BMJ in collaboration with the MAGIC group, to provide clinicians with practice guidelines. In 2017, BMJ Rapid Recommendations published guidelines on the use of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) for bone healing. The guidelines were based on a 2017 systematic review, which included 26 randomized controlled trials evaluating patients with fresh fractures not surgically managed, fresh fractures surgically managed, nonunion fractures, osteotomy, and distraction osteogenesis. The committee concluded that there is “moderate to high certainty evidence to support a strong recommendation against the use of LIPUS for bone healing.” Furthermore, the guideline expert panel discussed whether the results of higher quality studies in patients with fresh fractures reported in Schandelmaier et al (2017) would apply to other types of fractures including nonunions and osteotomies. “After extensive deliberations, the panel found no compelling anatomical or physiological reasons why LIPUS would probably be beneficial in these other patient populations.”

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

The U.K.’s National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) published guidance in 2010 on LIPUS to promote fracture healing. NICE concluded that this procedure “can reduce fracture healing” and is particularly beneficial for “delayed healing and fracture non-union.”
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In 2013, NICE published guidance on Exogen for the treatment of long bone fractures with nonunion and delayed fracture healing. NICE concluded that use of the Exogen bone healing system to treat long-bone fractures with nonunion is supported by “clinical evidence” and “cost savings ... through avoiding surgery.” For long-bone fractures with delayed healing, defined as no radiologic evidence of healing after 3 months, there was “some radiologic evidence of improved healing.” However, due to “substantial uncertainties about the rate at which bone healing progresses without adjunctive treatment between 3 and 9 months after fracture” and need for surgery, “cost consequences” were uncertain. The next review by NICE of the Exogen system is scheduled to begin in April 2017.

American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons
The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons published 2009 guidelines on the treatment of distal radius fractures. The Academy issued a limited recommendation for the use of LIPUS for adjuvant treatment of distal radius fractures. While evidence from 1 study demonstrated an increased rate of healing (measured by the absence of pain and radiographic union), the additional cost of LIPUS, resulted in a “limited” recommendation.
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Next Scheduled Review Date:  08/2018

Coding
The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), copyright 2016 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>20974, 20979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCPCS</td>
<td>E0747, E0748, E0760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 Diagnosis</td>
<td>M45.50XA-M45.58XA M80.00XA-M80.00XP M80.011K-M80.019P M80.021A-M80.08XP M80.80XA-M80.88XP M81.0 M81.6 M81.8 M84.30KX-M84.339P M84.341K-M84.346P M84.350K-M84.359P M84.361K-M84.369P M84.371K-M84.379P M84.38XK-M84.38XP M84.40XA-M84.48XP M84.50XA-M84.58XP M84.60XA-M84.68XP M84.750G-M84.750S M84.751G-M84.751S M84.752G-M84.752S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more
costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic
results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, “nationally accepted standards of medical practice” means standards that are based on credible scientific
evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty
Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies
should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular
treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.