Immune Cell Function Assay

Policy # 00702
Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc.(collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of the immune cell function assay to monitor and predict immune function after solid organ transplantation to be **investigational.***

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of the immune cell function assay to monitor and predict immune function after hematopoietic cell transplantation to be **investigational.***

Based on review of available data, the Company considers the use of the immune cell function assay for all other indications to be **investigational.***

Background/Overview

Immunosuppression for Transplant

In current clinical practice, levels of immunosuppression in patients being managed after a solid organ transplant or hematopoietic cell transplantation are determined by testing for clinical toxicity (eg, leukopenia, renal failure) and by therapeutic drug monitoring when available. However, drug levels are not a surrogate for overall drug distribution or efficacy because pharmacokinetics often differ among individuals due to clinical factors such as underlying diagnosis, age, sex, and race; circulating drug levels may not reflect the drug concentration in relevant tissues; and serum level of an individual immunosuppressant drug may not reflect the cumulative effect of other concomitant immunosuppressants. The main value of therapeutic drug monitoring is the avoidance of toxic. Individual immune profiles, such as an immune cell function assay, could support clinical decision making and help to manage the risk of infection from excessive immunosuppression and the risk of rejection from inadequate immunosuppression.

Immune Cell Function Assay

Policy # 00702
Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020

Treatment
Several commercially available tests of immune cell function have been developed to support clinical decision making.

ImmuKnow measures the concentration of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) in whole blood after a 15- to 18-hour incubation with phytohemagglutinin (a mitogenic stimulant). Cells that respond to stimulation show increased ATP synthesis during incubation. Concurrently, whole blood is incubated in the absence of stimulants for the purpose of assessing basal ATP activity. CD4-positive T lymphocytes are immunoselected from both samples using anti-CD4 monoclonal antibody-coated magnetic particles. After washing the selected CD4-positive cells on a magnet tray, a lysis reagent is added to release intracellular ATP. A luminescence reagent added to the released ATP produces light measured by a luminometer, which is proportional to the concentration of ATP. The characterization of the cellular immune response of a specimen is made by comparing the ATP concentration for that specimen with fixed ATP production ranges.

Pleximmune measures CD154 expression on T-cytotoxic memory cells in patient's peripheral blood lymphocytes. CD154 is a marker of inflammatory response. To characterize the risk of rejection, the patient's inflammatory response to (transplant) donor cells is expressed as a fraction of the patient's inflammatory response to third-party cells. This fraction or ratio is called the Immunoreactivity Index (IR). If the donor-induced response exceeds the response to third-party cells, the individual is at increased risk for rejection. Cells are cultured and then analyzed with fluorochrome-stained antibodies to identify the cells expressing CD154. For posttransplant blood samples, an IR greater than 1.1 indicates an increased risk of rejection, and an IR less than 1.1 indicates a decreased risk of rejection. For pretransplant samples, the threshold for IR is 1.23.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
In April 2002, ImmuKnow®‡ (Cylex, acquired by ViraCor-IBT Laboratories), an immune cell function assay, was cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. The FDA-indicated use of ImmuKnow is for the detection of a cell-mediated immune response in populations undergoing immunosuppressive therapy for an organ transplant.

Immune Cell Function Assay

Policy # 00702
Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020

In April 2002, Immune Cell Function Assay (Cylex) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. The FDA-indicated use of the Immune Cell Function Assay is for the detection of a cell-mediated immune response in an immunosuppressed population. In 2010, a device modification for this assay was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k). There were no changes to the indications or intended use.

In August 2014, Pleximmune™‡ (Plexision) was approved by the FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process. The test is intended for use in the pre transplantation and early and late post transplantation period in pediatric liver and small bowel transplant patients for the purpose of predicting the risk of transplant rejection within 60 days after transplantation or 60 days after sampling.

Rationale/Source

Careful monitoring of lifelong immunosuppression is required to ensure the long-term viability of solid organ allografts without incurring an increased risk of infection. The monitoring of immunosuppression parameters attempts to balance the dual risks of rejection and infection. It is proposed that individual immune profiles, such as an immune cell function assay, will help assess the immune function of the transplant recipient and individualize immunosuppressive therapy.

For individuals who have a solid organ transplant or hematopoietic cell transplant who receive immune cell function assay testing with ImmuKnow, the evidence includes numerous studies on the association between assay test values and subsequent rejection or infection, and a randomized controlled trial in liver transplant patients. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, other test performance measures, and morbid events. The ImmuKnow test has shown variable associations with infection and rejection, depending on the type of transplant and context of the study. Across all the studies among various types of patients, ImmuKnow levels are associated with the risk of rejection when levels are high and risk of infection when levels are low. However, the absolute risk and increments of risk are uncertain because of the heterogeneity of the studies. The predictive characteristics of the test are still uncertain and do not allow a strong chain of evidence for clinical utility. The trial of the ImmuKnow test in liver transplant patients showed improvement in overall survival; however, the trial had several limitations. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Immune Cell Function Assay

Policy # 00702
Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020

For individuals who have a solid organ transplant or hematopoietic cell transplant who receive immune cell function assay testing with Pleximmune, the evidence includes the U.S. Food and Drug Administration documentation and a report on the test's development and validation. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, other measures of test performance, and morbid events. Small studies have shown that Pleximmune values correlate with long-term survival. Pleximmune test results correlated with rejection, but conclusions are uncertain because of extremely limited evidence deriving from a small number of patients described briefly in the Food and Drug Administration approval documents and a second study, in which the confidence interval bounds for sensitivity and specificity estimates were wide. No direct studies of clinical utility were identified. An argument for clinical utility using a chain of evidence would rely on both a demonstration of clinical validity and a rationale that specific clinical interventions based the results of the test decrease the risk of a poor health outcome. At present, the clinical interventions that would occur as a result of the test result are uncertain, and so the clinical validity is uncertain. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Supplemental Information

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Transplantation Society
The International Cytomegalovirus Consensus Group of the Transplantation Society (2018) updated its consensus statement on the management of cytomegalovirus in solid organ transplant. The statement indicated that "there are no clinical studies demonstrating that management decisions based on immunologic monitoring affect patient outcomes." Routine immunologic monitoring was not recommended.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage
There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Immune Cell Function Assay

Policy # 00702
Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials
A search of ClinicalTrials.gov in November 2019 did not identify any ongoing or unpublished trials that would likely influence this review.

References

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association, Medical Policy Reference Manual, "Immune Cell Function Assay", 2.04.56, January 2020.
2. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Special 510(k): Device Modification 2010 (K101911). n.d.; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K101911.pdf.
3. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Probable Benefit: Pleximmune. 2014; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf13/H130004b.pdf.
4. Ling X, Xiong J, Liang W, et al. Can immune cell function assay identify patients at risk of infection or rejection? A meta-analysis. Transplantation. Apr 15 2012;93(7):737-743. PMID 22357178
5. Rodrigo E, Lopez-Hoyos M, Corral M, et al. ImmuKnow((R)) as a diagnostic tool for predicting infection and acute rejection in adult liver transplant recipients: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Liver Transpl. Jun 27 2012;18(10):1245-1253. PMID 22740321
6. Rossano JW, Denfield SW, Kim JJ, et al. Assessment of the Cylex ImmuKnow cell function assay in pediatric heart transplant patients. J Heart Lung Transplant. Jan 2009;28(1):26-31. PMID 19134527
7. Wong MS, Boucek R, Kemna M, et al. Immune cell function assay in pediatric heart transplant recipients. Pediatr Transplant. Aug 2014;18(5):485-490. PMID 24930882
8. Ryan CM, Chaudhuri A, Concepcion W, et al. Immune cell function assay does not identify biopsy-proven pediatric renal allograft rejection or infection. Pediatr Transplant. Aug 2014;18(5):446-452. PMID 24930482
9. Wozniak LJ, Venick RS, Gordon Burroughs S, et al. Utility of an immune cell function assay to differentiate rejection from infectious enteritis in pediatric intestinal transplant recipients. Clin Transplant. Feb 2014;28(2):229- 235. PMID 24433466
10. Nishikawa K, Mizuno S, Masui S, et al. Usefulness of monitoring cell-mediated immunity for predicting post- kidney transplantation viral infection. Transplant Proc. Mar 2014;46(2):552-555. PMID 24656010
11. Sageshima J, Ciancio G, Chen L, et al. Lack of clinical association and effect of peripheral WBC counts on immune cell function test in kidney transplant recipients with T-cell depleting

induction and steroid-sparing maintenance therapy. Transpl Immunol. Mar 2014;30(2-3):88-92. PMID 24518158
12. Torio A, Fernandez EJ, Montes-Ares O, et al. Lack of association of immune cell function test with rejection in kidney transplantation. Transplant Proc. Jul-Aug 2011;43(6):2168-2170. PMID 21839223
13. Zhou H, Wu Z, Ma L, et al. Assessing immunologic function through CD4 T-lymphocyte ahenosine triphosphate levels by ImmuKnow assay in Chinese patients following renal transplantation. Transplant Proc. Sep 2011;43(7):2574-2578. PMID 21911125
14. Huskey J, Gralla J, Wiseman AC. Single time point immune function assay (ImmuKnow) testing does not aid in the prediction of future opportunistic infections or acute rejection. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. Feb 2011;6(2):423- 429. PMID 21088287
15. Reinsmoen NL, Cornett KM, Kloehn R, et al. Pretransplant donor-specific and non-specific immune parameters associated with early acute rejection. Transplantation. Feb 15 2008;85(3):462-470. PMID 18301338
16. Serban G, Whittaker V, Fan J, et al. Significance of immune cell function monitoring in renal transplantation after Thymoglobulin induction therapy. Hum Immunol. Nov 2009;70(11):882-890. PMID 19664673
17. Libri I, Gnappi E, Zanelli P, et al. Trends in immune cell function assay and donor-specific HLA antibodies in kidney transplantation: A 3-year prospective study. Am J Transplant. Dec 2013;13(12):3215-3222. PMID 24266972
18. Myslik F, House AA, Yanko D, et al. Preoperative Cylex assay predicts rejection risk in patients with kidney transplant. Clin Transplant. May 2014;28(5):606-610. PMID 24628326
19. Quaglia M, Cena T, Fenoglio R, et al. Immune function assay (immunknow) drop over first 6 months after renal transplant: a predictor of opportunistic viral infections? Transplant Proc. Sep 2014;46(7):2220-2223. PMID 25242755
20. Wang XZ, Jin ZK, Tian XH, et al. Increased intracellular adenosine triphosphate level as an index to predict acute rejection in kidney transplant recipients. Transpl Immunol. Jan 2014;30(1):18-23. PMID 24211610
21. Israeli M, Ben-Gal T, Yaari V, et al. Individualized immune monitoring of cardiac transplant recipients by noninvasive longitudinal cellular immunity tests. Transplantation. Apr 27 2010;89(8):968-976. PMID 20075792
22. Kobashigawa JA, Kiyosaki KK, Patel JK, et al. Benefit of immune monitoring in heart transplant patients using ATP production in activated lymphocytes. J Heart Lung Transplant. May 2010;29(5):504-508. PMID 20133166

23. Gupta S, Mitchell JD, Markham DW, et al. Utility of the Cylex assay in cardiac transplant recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant. Aug 2008;27(8):817-822. PMID 18656792
24. Shearer GM, Clerici M. In vitro analysis of cell-mediated immunity: clinical relevance. Clin Chem. Nov 1994;40(11 Pt 2):2162-2165. PMID 7955403
25. Cheng JW, Shi YH, Fan J, et al. An immune function assay predicts post-transplant recurrence in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. Oct 2011;137(10):1445-1453. PMID 21809031
26. Dong JY, Yin H, Li RD, et al. The relationship between adenosine triphosphate within CD4(+) T lymphocytes and acute rejection after liver transplantation. Clin Transplant. May-Jun 2011;25(3):E292-296. PMID 21470308
27. Hashimoto K, Miller C, Hirose K, et al. Measurement of CD4+ T-cell function in predicting allograft rejection and recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. Clin Transplant. Sep-Oct 2010;24(5):701-708. PMID 20047619
28. Cabrera R, Ararat M, Soldevila-Pico C, et al. Using an immune functional assay to differentiate acute cellular rejection from recurrent hepatitis C in liver transplant patients. Liver Transpl. Feb 2009;15(2):216-222. PMID 19177434
29. Jwa E, Hwang S, Kwon YJ, et al. In vitro immune cell monitoring as a guide for long-term immunosuppression in adult liver transplant recipients. Korean J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. Nov 2015;19(4):139-148. PMID 26693232
30. Piloni D, Magni S, Oggionni T, et al. Clinical utility of CD4+ function assessment (ViraCor-IBT ImmuKnow test) in lung recipients. Transpl Immunol. Jul 2016;37:35-39. PMID 27095000
31. Husain S, Raza K, Pilewski JM, et al. Experience with immune monitoring in lung transplant recipients: correlation of low immune function with infection. Transplantation. Jun 27 2009;87(12):1852-1857. PMID 19543064
32. Bhorade SM, Janata K, Vigneswaran WT, et al. Cylex ImmuKnow assay levels are lower in lung transplant recipients with infection. J Heart Lung Transplant. Sep 2008;27(9):990-994. PMID 18765191
33. Shino MY, Weigt SS, Saggar R, et al. Usefulness of immune monitoring in lung transplantation using adenosine triphosphate production in activated lymphocytes. J Heart Lung Transplant. Sep 2012;31(9):996-1002. PMID 22884386
34. Ravaioli M, Neri F, Lazzarotto T, et al. Immunosuppression modifications based on an immune response assay: results of a randomized, controlled trial. Transplantation. Aug 2015;99(8):1625-1632. PMID 25757214

35. Manga K, Serban G, Schwartz J, et al. Increased adenosine triphosphate production by peripheral blood CD4+ cells in patients with hematologic malignancies treated with stem cell mobilization agents. Hum Immunol. Jul 2010;71(7):652-658. PMID 20381567
36. Gesundheit B, Budowski E, Israeli M, et al. Assessment of CD4 T-lymphocyte reactivity by the Cylex ImmuKnow assay in patients following allogeneic hematopoietic SCT. Bone Marrow Transplant. Mar 2010;45(3):527-533. PMID 19718067
37. Ashokkumar C, Talukdar A, Sun Q, et al. Allospecific CD154+ T cells associate with rejection risk after pediatric liver transplantation. Am J Transplant. Jan 2009;9(1):179-191. PMID 18976293
38. Ashokkumar C, Soltys K, Mazariegos G, et al. Predicting cellular rejection with a cell-based assay: preclinical evaluation in children. Transplantation. Jan 2017;101(1):131-140. PMID 26950712
39. Kotton CN, Kumar D, Caliendo AM, et al. International consensus guidelines on the management of cytomegalovirus in solid organ transplantation. Transplantation. Apr 15 2010;89(7):779-795. PMID 20224515

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020
03/05/2020 Medical Policy Committee review
03/11/2020 Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. New policy.
Next Scheduled Review Date: 03/2021

Coding

The five character codes included in the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2019 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability

Immune Cell Function Assay

Policy # 00702
Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020

attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Louisiana Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	86352
HCPCS	No codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

Immune Cell Function Assay

Policy # 00702
Original Effective Date: 06/08/2020
Current Effective Date: 06/08/2020

1. Consultation with the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association technology assessment program (TEC) or other nonaffiliated technology evaluation center(s);
2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
3. Reference to federal regulations.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.